
From: Peres Da Costa, David
Sent: 30 May 2019 08:04
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Objection to Planning Application Number 2019/1515/P (Ames House, 26 Netherhall Gardens, London, NW3 5TL)

Can this be logged as an objection on M3 and added to HPE RM

Thanks

David Peres da Costa
Senior Planning Officer

Tel.: 020 7974 5262
Visit camden.gov.uk for the latest council information and news

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 May 2019 23:52
To: Peres Da Costa, David <David.PeresDaCosta@Camden.gov.uk>
Subject: Objection to Planning Application Number 2019/1515/P (Ames House, 26 Netherhall Gardens, London, NW3 5TL)

Dear David,

I'm Mark Amery. I reside at [REDACTED] Netherhall Gardens, London NW3 5RL. [REDACTED]

I'm writing to you to submit an objection to Planning Application Number 2019/1515/P as I have been unable to do so online.

I keep getting the following error message - Server Error in '/Northgate/PlanningExplorer' Application.

Would you kindly submit the following objection and confirm this has been done. Thank you.

I strongly object to the proposed development. The existing building is in the Fitzjohns/Netherhall conservation area. The Fitzjohns/Netherhall Conservation Area Statement identifies the existing building as making a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the conservation area. Our cherished conservation area is characterized by large detached and semi-detached houses and a great variety of architectural idioms. This diversity of architecture including differences in spacing/gaps between buildings is integral to the charm and unique characteristics of the conservation area. Anything that harms this, by reducing the diversity should be prevented. In the planning statement it is claimed the closure of the uncharacteristic gap between nos. 26 and 24A is considered to be a benefit. I strongly disagree with this assertion. On the contrary this "uncharacteristic gap" enhances the character of the area, it is part a diverse streetscape that characterizes our conservation area. To lose this "uncharacteristic gap" will do serious harm to the conservation area.

The proposed development involves the removal of T6 (lime), T7 (holly), T8 (lime), T9 (yew), T10 (holly) from the rear garden of the property. These beautiful mature trees are between 3m and 5m in height but unbelievably the developers do not consider them to be noteworthy examples of their species or to significantly contribute to the character of the conservation area. The Fitzjohns/Netherhall Conservation Area Statement states trees are an inherent and characteristic part of the Conservation Area. Large mature trees have a presence in nearly every view. As well as appearing as formal street planting they appear in front gardens, in gaps between properties and in rear gardens. The removal of these trees would be in contravention of the Fitzjohns/Netherhall Conservation Area Statement. It would be a travesty. Their removal would do serious harm to the streetscape, the conservation area and the environment. It is a scientific fact mature trees such as these capture carbon in the atmosphere and reduce air pollution. In London we have a serious problem with air quality and air pollution and anything that exacerbates this, as in the proposed removal of five healthy trees, should be prevented.

The proposed development should be prevented as it creates a significant subsidence risk to surrounding buildings from a proposed double basement in an area that is prone to subsidence. The proposed basement's proximity to boundaries of adjacent buildings could compromise the structural stability of surrounding buildings, and may adversely impact the local water environment and drainage.

I wish to be notified of the committee date and their decision.

Sincerely,

