

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1108/P	[REDACTED]	24/05/2019 17:23:45	OBJ	<p>I object to the expansion of the proposed upper level of the development. I am aware there will be considerable loss of light to my neighbours flats and also to the communal areas outside my flat which currently enjoys a good level of light which will only be compromised by the development. The site is already extremely close and the proposed addition to the top floor will bring the existing office space even closer. Noise and light pollution to our building and my flat will only be increased as a consequence of closing in the well between the two buildings will surely increase the reverberation. I am concerned that the proposed 'New Maintenance Walkway' will have the potential to be used as an outdoor space/access which is unacceptably close, implications for noise and loss of privacy to the building are great.</p> <p>In an area so densely developed I believe the proposed increase in size to the top floor, the addition of windows facing directly onto the Summers St flats, the addition of an outdoor walkway represents overdevelopment in an already densely built site. I believe such a development will only have a detrimental effect on the value of my property.</p>
2019/1108/P	[REDACTED]	24/05/2019 19:54:10	OBJ/FMPER	<p>I object to the proposals, for the reasons given by Helier Drage-Smith and by our independent consultants. I add that there is no reason to believe that this proposal would add to the local economy (eg by providing local jobs) to an extent which would outweigh the harm done to residents at Summers Street.</p> <p>Thank you and kind regards</p>
2019/1108/P	[REDACTED]	24/05/2019 18:44:49	OBJ/MAIL	<p>We live in flat 5 1-10 Summers Street. The proposed plans for 144A Clerkenwell RD has direct impact on our home in terms of. 1. Natural light - the extension will block all light to our flat from the south. 2. Privacy/Safety - The extension and proposed outside stairwell will give direct access to our terrace and flat. 3. Property value - if our flat loses its light, appeal of the terrace and has a direct/easy access for a break-in this will effect the resale value.</p>
2019/1108/P	[REDACTED]	24/05/2019 18:44:30	OBJ/MAIL	<p>We live in flat 5 1-10 Summers Street. The proposed plans for 144A Clerkenwell RD has direct impact on our home in terms of. 1. Natural light - the extension will block all light to our flat from the south. 2. Privacy/Safety - The extension and proposed outside stairwell will give direct access to our terrace and flat. 3. Property value - if our flat loses its light, appeal of the terrace and has a direct/easy access for a break-in this will effect the resale value.</p>
2019/1108/P	[REDACTED]	26/05/2019 20:01:08	OBJ	<p>I am concerned about the loss of light in many flats in my building. I am shocked by the report by our consultant as to the extent of light loss which contravenes my neighbours right to light.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1108/P	Janet Jones	27/05/2019 14:40:12	COMMENT	<p>The Daylight, Sunlight and Overshadowing Report submitted with the application identifies that the loss of daylight and outlook given the proximity and design of the scheme would be directly contrary to the requirements of Policy A1 of the Local Plan (2017) and chapters 6 and 7 of the Camden Policy Guidance 6 - Amenity. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, this direct conflict with policy weighs heavily against the application. Within the supporting planning statement, reference to the direct impacts on daylight and sunlight is conspicuous by its absence.</p> <p>There is no doubt that the amenity and quality of life for residents would be severely undermined due to the overshadowing of the proposed development. The Planning Statement in section 3.2 states 'The existing hierarchy, views, spaces and details between buildings have now been retained as part of the revised proposals, this is clearly inaccurate and does not have any regard to the impacts upon 1-10 Summers Street. Furthermore, the proposed scheme would mean residents' outlook would be solid wall in very close proximity to windows and external terrace space - in particular, close to the WC, kitchen and circulation space on 3rd floor apts 9, 10, and 11. In addition to the direct impacts on light, the resultant development would impose an oppressive and overbearing sense of enclosure to the affected apartments, to the extent that residents would feel they were being entombed. It is essential to emphasise that these are peoples homes that are being affected - habitable spaces where they spend time. I must make it clear that the concerns are not about a loss of view, but about a loss of open aspect.</p>
2019/1108/P	[REDACTED]	27/05/2019 16:36:16	INT	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to warn of the problems with this project.</p> <p>I live in Flat 17, 1-10 Summers Street. I specifically rented it because of the light in the apartment. If this project is approved, the bedrooms will essentially be blocked from all sunlight. This will drastically affect how I live. I will likely move.</p> <p>The proposed scheme also includes a terrace a walkway that will overlook my bedrooms. That will affect my privacy and my personal space will open to view by strangers. I also consider the architecture to be intrusive and overbearing.</p> <p>It seems to me that this will overdevelop the site and does not respect the setting or the nature of the building.</p> <p>I ask you to please refuse this plan. Thank you!</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1108/P	Bill Butcher	24/05/2019 18:23:15	OBJ	Application Number Application Type Address Development Type 2019/1108/P Full Planning Permission 144A Clerkenwell Road, London, EC1R 5DF Commercial Extension

24-05-2019

Dear Camden Council - Planning

In regard to the new 2019 proposal from the amended 2018 proposal I am shocked to see the inclusion again of a major roof extension which will block out a high percentage of light currently taken in to the windows at the rear of 1-10 Summers Street and to flats on all of its floors. The new 2019 proposal also includes a walkway and spiral staircase which will be literally a couple of metres from our rear windows and in our case our bedroom windows which will mean we will have to endure an increase in light/noise pollution at any time of day or night, something which anybody living in a RESIDENTIAL building should not have to endure.

The Section 6 Conclusions of the Developers proposal, which details the light assessment, show that the new proposal not only has a significant negative impact on our building as did 2018 proposal (withdrawn) but also demonstrates how the Developers disregard the BRE guidance, not only that they also regarded us as a 'Commercial' building, with no reference to our Residential status, in our defence areas defined as largely RESIDENTIAL should have the full weight of BRE behind them when surrounding buildings want to extend upwards.

To date we have had absolutely no consultation from the Developer.

Given the above, we argue that this 2019 proposal from the Developer does not follow the existing guidance and thus should be formally rejected by Camden Council.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1108/P	Bill Butcher	24/05/2019 18:23:21	OBJ	<p>Application Number Application Type Address Development Type 2019/1108/P Full Planning Permission 144A Clerkenwell Road, London, EC1R 5DF Commercial Extension</p> <p>24-05-2019</p> <p>Dear Camden Council - Planning</p> <p>In regard to the new 2019 proposal from the amended 2018 proposal I am shocked to see the inclusion again of a major roof extension which will block out a high percentage of light currently taken in to the windows at the rear of 1-10 Summers Street and to flats on all of its floors. The new 2019 proposal also includes a walkway and spiral staircase which will be literally a couple of metres from our rear windows and in our case our bedroom windows which will mean we will have to endure an increase in light/noise pollution at any time of day or night, something which anybody living in a RESIDENTIAL building should not have to endure.</p> <p>The Section 6 Conclusions of the Developers proposal, which details the light assessment, show that the new proposal not only has a significant negative impact on our building as did 2018 proposal (withdrawn) but also demonstrates how the Developers disregard the BRE guidance, not only that they also regarded us as a 'Commercial' building, with no reference to our Residential status, in our defence areas defined as largely RESIDENTIAL should have the full weight of BRE behind them when surrounding buildings want to extend upwards.</p> <p>To date we have had absolutely no consultation from the Developer.</p> <p>Given the above, we argue that this 2019 proposal from the Developer does not follow the existing guidance and thus should be formally rejected by Camden Council.</p> <p>Bill Butcher & Claire Christie Contact details: Address: Flat 23, 1-10 Summers Street, Clerkenwell, London EC1R 5BD. E-mail: bbutcher@btinternet.com</p>
2019/1108/P	Jonathan Storey	24/05/2019 17:33:44	OBJ	<p>My comments are that my Flat number 15 of Summers Street backs on to this proposed development at the moment in my upstairs mezzanine level I have a large window that is already overlooked by the 5th floor garden and extension to then raise this any higher and bring to the edge of the building would remove nearly all daylight that I currently have in on the mezzanine space from the Southerly aspects.</p>