A 1. (* NT		ъ	<b>G</b>	Printed on: 24/05/2019 09:10:0
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2293/P	M Carter	23/05/2019 15:36:35	OBJ	I object to this proposal on the following grounds:  1. Lack of sympathy with the conservation area and the buildings in the road - this building already makes a significant negative contribution to Hampstead Hill Gardens and to the conversation area for the combination of two reasons (it is not "neutral" by any measure): it is higher than any building in the immediate surrounding area, and its design is woefully unsympathetic to the Victorian Villas of the road and the conservation area more widely. Therefore as a general principle it cannot be acceptable that this building should be permitted to increase its height (or any other dimension).  2. Detrimental impact to the view from my property - this proposal increases the height of a building that already looms large over the rear view from our property. Obviously when I moved to the road in 2001 I was aware of this property, its detrimental architectural design and its over-bearing height. However I would not have expected that it could ever be permissible to increase the height of a property that would surely not obtain planning consent today given its height and design (accepting there were different planning policies in 1961)  3. Increased intrusion over my property - it will increase the number of floors overlooking our property from four floors to five floors. This extension will be visible from my property and vice versa. It is of little comfort that the additional intrusion might be less than the lower floors or less than from #6 – it still increases the overall intrusion over my property. The intrusion of the current rear windows from #8 & 8a property are such that some years ago we planted some trees at the rear of our garden to screen out lower level windows of #8 & 8a so that we didn't have to endure one of the residents who regularly sat in his large picture window in full view in his underwear watching television!  4. It contravenes the Hampstead Conversation Area statement which states that roof exte
2019/2293/P	M Carter	23/05/2019 15:51:32	ОВЈ	I object to this proposal in the following grounds:  1. Lack of sympathy with the conservation area and the buildings in the road  2. Detrimental impact to the view from my property  3.Increased intrusion over my property directly towards bedrooms  4.It contravenes the Hampstead Conversation Area statement regarding increasing the height of already tall buildings  5.It creates a bad precedent  The full text has been sent to Camden Planning due to the character limit