

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2095/P	Susan Sinclair	21/05/2019 14:45:29	OBJ	<p>Concerns</p> <p>This will reduce the resident's amenity, privacy and security and in addition several flats will experience a loss of day light and sunshine.</p> <p>The proposed bin and cycle store will likely create a nuisance from noise and smells. We request that the fire escape stairs at the rear of Market House and re-modelled and pushed back to the rear wall. We would like all A.C, units to be positioned on the top roof, well away from the bedrooms of the residential flats. We want conditions placed on the operating hours of the mixed use ground floor commercial units. We would like the two top floor terraces to be removed from the plans. We believe that the current proposal is an overdevelopment of the site and will create a building out of keeping with the neighbouring properties. Due to the location and size of the site and that another development has been granted across the street, we have great concerns that the construction will have a negative impact of the amenity and quality of life for the nearby residents and therefore request a reduction in the working day and sound mitigating measures.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2095/P	Simon Green	22/05/2019 08:13:34	OBJLETTE R	<p>Re Planning Application: 2019/2095/P</p> <p>I would like to OBJECT to the above planning application, on the following grounds:</p> <p>I have numerous concerns, which are detailed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed rear 1st floor extension and the additional two extra floors on the whole of the building will have an adverse impact on the amenity of the neighbouring residents</li> <li>• Inappropriate location of the bin store</li> <li>• The proposed new fire escape stair case and the treatment of the existing fire escape staircase at the rear of the residential building on Parker Street</li> <li>• The current fire door at the rear of Parker Street</li> <li>• The positioning of 8 new A.C. units within the new 1st Floor extension</li> <li>• Lack of details and conditions of the mixed A3 use of the ground floor units</li> <li>• The proposed new terraces and the impact they will have re a reduction in privacy and an increase in noise pollution of the residents</li> <li>• Construction management - Noise disruption, deliveries management, potentially blocking off Parker Street.</li> </ul> <p>The additional floors will severely impact the day light and sun light on several adjoining flats. For instance, Flat 1 &amp; Flat 2 at 158 &amp; 159 Drury Lane will have a VSC reduction of between 100% and 51.57% reduction and the ground floor Flat at 8 Parker Street will suffer a VSC reduction of 25.2% and the top floor flat, 6a a reduction of 31.7% VSC. I believe that these reductions are unacceptable.</p> <p>Regarding sunlight, 8 Parker Street will have a loss of 75% of Annual Probable Sunlight Hours to their living room and a 12.5% loss to their main bedroom. Flat 3, Flat 5 will experience losses of 27.8% and 15.9% respectfully. The top floor Flat 6a will suffer a 22.5% reduction. I believe these reductions are unacceptable and will have an adverse affect on the amenity of the residents.</p> <p>In addition, the building of the rear 1st floor extension and the additional top two floors, will create a feeling of being 'boxed in' as several flats at 158 &amp; 159 Drury Lane will</p>
2019/2095/P	Eric Stuart	22/05/2019 11:20:41	OBJ	<p>I object to the current proposal on the grounds that it would detract from the Seven Dials Conservation Area. The additional stories and height of the building leads to overmassing that is out of place for the site and its location within the conservation area. This will have an adverse impact on local residents. Although the design of the existing building, in particular the ground floor columns, could be improved, the proposed design is not an improvement but takes a facade that, overall, works within the conservation area and would replace it with one that is far more featureless and dull, detracting from the more richly detailed buildings that surround it. Although claiming to take its inspiration from original warehouse buildings in the area, those original warehouse buildings provide far more visual interest in terms of brickwork, detailing and fenestration that the current proposal which in the images provided comes across as monolithic and bland. Accordingly, this application should be denied.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2095/P	Eric Stuart	22/05/2019 11:20:29	OBJ	<p>I object to the current proposal on the grounds that it would detract from the Seven Dials Conservation Area. The additional stories and height of the building leads to overmassing that is out of place for the site and its location within the conservation area. This will have an adverse impact on local residents. Although the design of the existing building, in particular the ground floor columns, could be improved, the proposed design is not an improvement but takes a facade that, overall, works within the conservation area and would replace it with one that is far more featureless and dull, detracting from the more richly detailed buildings that surround it. Although claiming to take its inspiration from original warehouse buildings in the area, those original warehouse buildings provide far more visual interest in terms of brickwork, detailing and fenestration than the current proposal which in the images provided comes across as monolithic and bland. Accordingly, this application should be denied.</p>
2019/2095/P	Sue and Rupert Rhymes	22/05/2019 10:31:41	OBJ	<p>As leaseholders of long standing we strongly object to this redevelopment in a residential area. The alterations would seriously impact on our enjoyment of natural light and impinge on our privacy at the rear of the property. On the Drury Lane frontage the change of use with proposed restaurant activity would add disturbance to an area comparatively calm outside business and theatre hours We fully support objections set out in Fuller Long's letter on this planning application</p>
2019/2095/P	Sue and Rupert Rhymes	22/05/2019 10:31:41	OBJ	<p>As leaseholders of long standing we strongly object to this redevelopment in a residential area. The alterations would seriously impact on our enjoyment of natural light and impinge on our privacy at the rear of the property. On the Drury Lane frontage the change of use with proposed restaurant activity would add disturbance to an area comparatively calm outside business and theatre hours We fully support objections set out in Fuller Long's letter on this planning application</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2095/P	Simon Green	22/05/2019 08:15:42	OBJLETTE R	<p>Re Planning Application: 2019/2095/P</p> <p>I would like to OBJECT to the above planning application, on the following grounds:</p> <p>I have numerous concerns, which are detailed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed rear 1st floor extension and the additional two extra floors on the whole of the building will have an adverse impact on the amenity of the neighbouring residents</li> <li>• Inappropriate location of the bin store</li> <li>• The proposed new fire escape stair case and the treatment of the existing fire escape staircase at the rear of the residential building on Parker Street</li> <li>• The current fire door at the rear of Parker Street</li> <li>• The positioning of 8 new A.C. units within the new 1st Floor extension</li> <li>• Lack of details and conditions of the mixed A3 use of the ground floor units</li> <li>• The proposed new terraces and the impact they will have re a reduction in privacy and an increase in noise pollution of the residents</li> <li>• Construction management - Noise disruption, deliveries management, potentially blocking off Parker Street.</li> </ul> <p>The additional floors will severely impact the day light and sun light on several adjoining flats. For instance, Flat 1 &amp; Flat 2 at 158 &amp; 159 Drury Lane will have a VSC reduction of between 100% and 51.57% reduction and the ground floor Flat at 8 Parker Street will suffer a VSC reduction of 25.2% and the top floor flat, 6a a reduction of 31.7% VSC. I believe that these reductions are unacceptable.</p> <p>Regarding sunlight, 8 Parker Street will have a loss of 75% of Annual Probable Sunlight Hours to their living room and a 12.5% loss to their main bedroom. Flat 3, Flat 5 will experience losses of 27.8% and 15.9% respectfully. The top floor Flat 6a will suffer a 22.5% reduction. I believe these reductions are unacceptable and will have an adverse affect on the amenity of the residents.</p> <p>In addition, the building of the rear 1st floor extension and the additional top two floors, will create a feeling of being 'boxed in' as several flats at 158 &amp; 159 Drury Lane will</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2095/P	Simon Green	22/05/2019 08:24:22	OBJLETTE R	<p>Re Planning Application: 2019/2095/P</p> <p>I would like to OBJECT to the above planning application, on the following grounds:</p> <p>I have numerous concerns, which are detailed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed rear 1st floor extension and the additional two extra floors on the whole of the building will have an adverse impact on the amenity of the neighbouring residents</li> <li>• Inappropriate location of the bin store</li> <li>• The proposed new fire escape stair case and the treatment of the existing fire escape staircase at the rear of the residential building on Parker Street</li> <li>• The current fire door at the rear of Parker Street</li> <li>• The positioning of 8 new A.C. units within the new 1st Floor extension</li> <li>• Lack of details and conditions of the mixed A3 use of the ground floor units</li> <li>• The proposed new terraces and the impact they will have re a reduction in privacy and an increase in noise pollution of the residents</li> <li>• Construction management - Noise disruption, deliveries management, potentially blocking off Parker Street.</li> </ul> <p>The additional floors will severely impact the day light and sun light on several adjoining flats. For instance, Flat 1 &amp; Flat 2 at 158 &amp; 159 Drury Lane will have a VSC reduction of between 100% and 51.57% reduction and the ground floor Flat at 8 Parker Street will suffer a VSC reduction of 25.2% and the top floor flat, 6a a reduction of 31.7% VSC. I believe that these reductions are unacceptable.</p> <p>Regarding sunlight, 8 Parker Street will have a loss of 75% of Annual Probable Sunlight Hours to their living room and a 12.5% loss to their main bedroom. Flat 3, Flat 5 will experience losses of 27.8% and 15.9% respectfully. The top floor Flat 6a will suffer a 22.5% reduction. I believe these reductions are unacceptable and will have an adverse affect on the amenity of the residents.</p> <p>In addition, the building of the rear 1st floor extension and the additional top two floors, will create a feeling of being 'boxed in' as several flats at 158 &amp; 159 Drury Lane will</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2095/P	John Carson	22/05/2019 08:43:56	OBJ	<p>Regarding development plans for 160 - 161 Drury Lane</p> <p>I object to the proposed redevelopment and expansion by two floors on the following grounds.</p> <p>The proposed increase in height would be completely out of place on the side of Parker Street. While the current height is slightly higher than other surrounding buildings it is similar. An additional two floors would completely overshadow 158 Drury Lane and look very out of place on Parker Street. I understand this is a conservation area and sight lines and looks of streets should be protected.</p> <p>Its immediate impact on our home would be even more dramatic. A proposed new rear first floor built on a completely open terrace/landing, does not appear to provide the development much extra floor space. It would however completely block open views of flats 1 and 2, 158 Drury Lane and would significantly reduce the little light we already have on the ground and first floors of 8 Parker Street, the flat bordering the east side of this office. A high full height building and wall would replace a low/wall adjacent to our bedroom balcony. This will significantly reduce light to this room and to our living room below. Furthermore from what we can tell they propose to move a the fire escape closer to us from its current more central location on the current terrace. This will further block our light. All of these will impact our flat and at the least the one above us as well as the one above theirs.</p> <p>A proposal to site lots more ac and extractor units within this new floor is very concerning. This office already runs units 24/7 without permission which disturb our sleep and they are unresponsive about stopping these. While the promise is this will be below current noise levels, the lack of help on such matters so far from owner gives us zero hope they will adhere to such limits, or address problems. Surely it would be better to site these on any roof to minimise disruption, away from the many neighbouring flats.</p> <p>Bin store proposal</p>
2019/2095/P	Claire Bates	21/05/2019 15:44:25	OBJ	<p>it is felt that this work will be detrimental to the residential occupier of 158-159 Drury Lane.</p> <p>the proposed terraces will have a significant impact on the residents privacy and it is felt that the building proposal as a whole will affect their right to light</p> <p>Furthermore the proposed works in terms of construction are not suitable for a residential area and will cause undue stress and nuisance to the residents</p>