

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1108/P	Jonathan Morrell	23/05/2019 08:42:27	OBJ	<p>I object to the expansion of the proposed upper level of the development. I am aware there will be considerable loss of light to my neighbours flats and also to the communal areas outside my flat which currently enjoys a good level of light which will only be compromised by the development. The site is already extremely close and the proposed addition to the top floor will bring the existing office space even closer. Noise and light pollution to our building and my flat will only be increased as a consequence of closing in the well between the two buildings will surely increase the reverberation. I am concerned that the proposed 'New Maintenance Walkway' will have the potential to be used as an outdoor space/access which is unacceptably close, implications for noise and loss of privacy to the building are great.</p> <p>In an area so densely developed I believe the proposed increase in size to the top floor, the addition of windows facing directly onto the Summers St flats, the addition of an outdoor walkway represents overdevelopment in an already densely built site. I believe such a development will only have a detrimental effect on the value of my property.</p>
2019/1108/P	susan vaight	22/05/2019 19:52:00	OBJ	<p>As a resident of [REDACTED] I object to the proposed new design for extended office space on the current roof area of White bear Yard.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It does not address the previous problem of blocking daylight to numerous windows on the south facade of [REDACTED] and offends the Right to Light into these apartments. All objections previously submitted on the original application therefore still apply. 2. the 'walkway' along the edge of the new office floor will inevitably become a coffee/smoking terrace for those offices with serious consequent loss of privacy to windows in [REDACTED] as the two buildings are very close to one another across the lightwell, at present there are measures in place to shield our windows from the White Bear yard roof terrace which will be lost in this new design. Also a risk of pollution into our windows, should they be opened, from such smokers. from smokers. 3. the extension of the spiral staircase to the upper floor should be carefully examined, is it a fire escape or will it be used as an access? How close will it come to adjacent windows of the south facade of 1-10 Summers St and does it pose a security risk to our building via those windows. It is difficult to decide on proximity from the drawings on file. <p>This large office extension should be refused because of the adverse effect on our building and the amenity of our residents.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1108/P	susan vaight	22/05/2019 19:52:25	OBJ	<p>██████████ object to the proposed new design for extended office space on the current roof area of White Bear Yard.</p> <p>1. It does not address the previous problem of blocking daylight to numerous windows on the south facade of ██████████ and offends the Right to Light into these apartments. All objections previously submitted on the original application therefore still apply.</p> <p>2. the 'walkway' along the edge of the new office floor will inevitably become a coffee/smoking terrace for those offices with serious consequent loss of privacy to windows in ██████████ as the two buildings are very close to one another across the lightwell, at present there are measures in place to shield our windows from the White Bear yard roof terrace which will be lost in this new design. Also a risk of pollution into our windows, should they be opened, from such smokers. from smokers.</p> <p>3. the extension of the spiral staircase to the upper floor should be carefully examined, is it a fire escape or will it be used as an access? How close will it come to adjacent windows of the south facade of 1-10 Summers St and does it pose a security risk to our building via those windows. It is difficult to decide on proximity from the drawings on file.</p> <p>This large office extension should be refused because of the adverse effect on our building and the amenity of our residents.</p>
2019/1108/P	Susan Schulman	21/05/2019 18:04:16	OBJ/EMPER	<p>As the owner resident of ██████████ I am writing to register my complaint to the proposed planning application on the following grounds .</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This would overlook my flat and profoundly diminish the light into my south facing windows which directly back up to the proposed extension. 2. It would severely compromise my privacy and significantly add to the noise levels from the same south exposures --- which literally back up to my windows . 3. These factors would seriously diminish and have a significant adverse impact on the property value of my flat --- as well as our wellbeing and enjoyment of our property. 4. Additionally , it is overdeveloped, out of scale and character and fails to respect the neighboring buildings. <p>I implore you to take these complaints into account.</p>
2019/1108/P?	Paul Newdick	22/05/2019 08:54:42	OBJ	<p>I am a resident of ██████████ and I object to the proposed development, specifically the in-filling of the existing open areas on the roof space. Creating this density of occupation at this level will have a massive effect on the residents of our block, especially on the lower floors. It does not respect the planning considerations when the existing roof development took place in 1999, which was mindful of the impact on adjacent premises.</p> <p>The addition of a walkway and staircase will undoubtedly be used for smoking and access, increasing overlooking and noise.</p> <p>The current proposal merely seeks to maximise profit for the developer by ignoring the impact it will have on those who live here. It fails to respect the setting and character of the two buildings and is a considerable over-development of the site. We do agree, however, that the final development should have a green roof.</p>