				Printed on: 21/05/2019 09:10:04
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1171/P	Sevgi Akdeniz	17/05/2019 22:50:02	OBJ	We object to the retrospective part of the application.
				Other residents, e.g. No. 73 Parkhill Rd, have attempted planning permission for an expanded side dormer of a similar size since 2012 without success; this is because the conservation area came into force in that year. This retrospective application should therefore also be rejected for that reason.
				The plans refer to previous developments: all of which were completed before 2012 and therefore are not relevant to the application.
				The side dormer should be shrunk back to its original size.
2019/1171/P	Mrs. M. Garbacz	17/05/2019 22:59:28	OBJ	Side dormer too big. Builder blatantly ignored change in regulations and built an oversize dormer covered in grey slate not harmonious with the style of the house.