

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 May 2019 16:34
To: Planning [REDACTED]
Subject: Objection re: Planning application 2019/2128/P - FAO Planning Officer Joshua Ogunleye

Dear Mr Ogunleye,

Please take into account these further details by way of objection to Planning application 2019/2128/P

(1) Camden Local Plan - Policy A1 Managing the impact of development

The proposals do not meet the requirements set out in Camden Local Plan Policy A1 & 6.5 - Sunlight, daylight and overshadowing

(2) CPG: Design

The proposals do not meet the requirements set out in CPG: Design - 5.11 - 5.12

(3) CPG: Altering and extending your home (March 2019)

The proposals do not meet the requirements set out in section 3.1 of CPG: Altering and extending your home.

3.1 The following considerations should be reflected in an extension to ensure it is not insensitively or inappropriately designed and to ensure it does not spoil the appearance of the property or group of properties or harm the amenity of neighbouring properties. Proposals should:

f. not cause a loss of amenity to adjacent properties with regard to daylight, sunlight, outlook, light pollution/spillage, privacy. Please ensure the extension complies with the 45 degree test and 25 degree test as set out in the CPG for Amenity – or demonstrate BRE compliance via a daylight test.

(4) CPG: Amenity (March 2018)

The proposals do not meet the requirements set out in section 3 of CPG: Amenity

(5) 2015/0562/P - Decision Notice

Erection of new single storey extension Granted March 2015

Informative (1) Extract

The proposed extension will extend approximately 1m along the boundary with the adjacent flat 15B and then step in at an angle to protect the light and outlook amenity to the rear window of Flat 15B. The rear window on the adjacent flat is South-West facing and only receives direct sunlight for a short portion of the day. The proposed rear extension would reduce some light received by the window at the end of the day but the reduction is to a modest and acceptable extent that would be further reduced by the glazed exterior.

Neighbouring occupiers were consulted on the application. Two objections have been received prior to making this decision. The objections relate to the excessive use of glass on the extension and the potential

overshadowing of an adjacent window on the neighbouring flat. The comments have duly been taken in to account prior to making this decision.

(6) Other issue
Rights to light

Many thanks,

Robin Makin

- **Case Officer / Tel**Joshua Ogunleye 1843

Dear Mr Ogunleye,

I was astonished to see this application. The situation was considered a few years ago. The current extension at 15C was built so as to ensure my rights were preserved.

Please can you provide me with the previous consideration in respect of this aspect and the communications which took place. I believe that there were calculations undertaken in accordance with recognised standards.

Many thanks,

Robin Makin

From: [REDACTED]

Date: 14 May 2019 at 06:51:33 BST

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Comments on 2019/2128/P have been received by the council.

The present application ignores the refusal to permit such an extension. There have been no material changes in circumstances. The proposal would blight my light. The previous refusal should remain

Comments made by Robin Makin of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Comment Type is Object and Notify of Committee Date