Printed on: 15/05/2019 09:10:05 **Application No: Consultees Name:** Received: **Comment:** Response: 2019/1515/P 14/05/2019 15:25:01 OBJ I object to the new proposal for ames house 26 netherhall gardens. The application is for a side extension miriam madar and basement . whereas i welcome an improvement to the current unsightly garage , the proposed scheme has a number of very concerning issues and should not extend beyond current volume. 1) The appearance of the new building is substantially different to the neighbouring properties of 28 and 24a and the building of 26 of which it is attached to. It is substantially different in fenestration design and looks very odd in character and design in this conservation area. It should be much more aligned to the existing building .The council would be remiss if it doesn't consider this a problem. 2) The top floor 2nd terrace of the proposed building would substantially overlook 24a garden and this is totally unacceptable. This would cause a loss of amenity and 24a won't have privacy. It would also overlook gardens of 28 netherhall. 3) the rear extension goes beyond the building line of 28 netherhall, beyond 26 netherhall and beyond the line of 24a netherhall. The building lines should be respected. 4) the proposal would cause a massive loss of daylight distribution to a habitable room on 24a .The developers call window 78 a hall. on inspection its a study. The loss of light is unacceptable. The volume of the proposed building should be kept in line with what is currently in place so as to not cause overshadowing . 5) the proposed cutting of trees between 24a and 26 would lead to a loss of screening and privacy to 24a and is not acceptable. 6) double basements should not be allowed. 7) The basement proximity

to neighbouring buildings is unacceptable.and could compromise their structures.