

**From:** Karen Beare [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 May 2019 18:58  
**To:** Thuair, Charles [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Bob Warnock [REDACTED]; Harley Atkinson [REDACTED];  
Kathy Lambie [REDACTED]; John Kennedy [REDACTED];  
Steve Barber [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Condition 15: 2019/0711/P - lighting strategy

Charles

I am following up on the 5 conditions that FPRA commented on on 20 March 2019.

I note there are no further documents uploaded for this Condition 16, relating to a lighting strategy.

In particular we note that "**Point 4: Recommendations for Further Work by the Applicant's advisors themselves in Condition 14, Bats - A suitable lighting strategy should be prepared and implemented within the site to protect retained features suitable for commuting and foraging bats**" has not been done.

As we have already pointed out the Lighting strategy fails to address any wildlife, let alone the resident bat population of the area.

Please can you let us know when the Council is hoping to receive outstanding information from the Applicant.

Thanks  
Karen

On 21 Mar 2019, at 01:49, Karen Beare [REDACTED] wrote:

Charles

FPRA has the following concerns regarding Condition 15:

On page 3, RSK wrongly states the site border has a number of trees forming a natural barrier to any artificial light that may spill beyond the site to the North, South (to the ecologically sensitive pond in No55) or West. The site clearance has already been completed and I can confirm there are only a handful of trees having been retained. In fact we can see directly into the site from our property despite our being two houses from the site.

It is noted in the report analysis that no mention is made of the potential impact on ecology and wildlife of the surrounding area of the pond and towards the Heath. We note in Appendix F there are more than 70 lights proposed, many of which are to be located in the rear garden. Given the area supports a bat population (as determined at neighbouring No55), let alone numerous other wildlife, no consideration has been given to the impact of quite so many lights metres from the Heath.

It is also noted there is expected to be considerable light spillage toward the front of the house along Fitzroy Park directly opposite Sunbury which is unacceptable. We have also been unable to ascertain from the plans if the external lift shaft will also be lit as initially intended. This would also be unacceptable.

We would respectfully request this report is revised and the full ecological impacts of any increase light spillage considered before discharging this condition 15. As a courtesy I have copied Bob Warnock into this email given the potential impact on the Heath of increased lighting at this site.

Kind regards  
Karen  
Chair FPRA

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.