

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2155/T	Christian Schuster	07/05/2019 22:30:58	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this application.</p> <p>The character of Hampstead Village is crucially depending on hillside gardens and trees and shrubs. The application would erode this character in several respects:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The trees and shrubs referred to in the application are highly visible from the street and from adjoining properties. 2) The trees referred to in the application for removal are mostly species indigenous to the heath and as such provide the wild qualities that are so central to Hampstead Village 3) The Garrya shrubs make a significant contribution to the appearance of the street side of Christchurch Hill. 4) The vegetation provides a habitat for a diverse wild life, including nesting of many birds 5) Currently, the trees, shrubs and hedges appear healthy without any signs of disease. <p>It is clear that, even if the applicant puts forward a landscape proposal, it is far from certain that it would be implemented or could mitigate the damage to Hampstead Village's character outlined above from removing these trees and shrubs.</p> <p>Given that potentially irreversible damage of this application to the character of Hampstead Village, I strongly object to it.</p>
2019/2155/T	Lesley Stevad	05/05/2019 19:00:58	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to the felling of these trees and shrubs.</p> <p>The trees and shrubs are readily visible from the public highway and make a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the immediate neighbourhood and the Conservation Area as a whole. They form a vital part of the verdant hillside gardens and spaces that characterise the area.</p> <p>The photographs submitted with the application confirm the above and show a cohesive group of green screening between the street and the property.</p> <p>The reason given for the removal of the trees and shrubs is to 'allow for a new planting scheme in the bed area to suit the new garden design'</p> <p>however, there is no planting scheme or garden design submitted and it seems that this application is a direct result of the recent development works approved under application 2017/5996/P. This re-landscaping (i.e. the removal of these trees and shrubs) should have been included as part of that planning application. It should also be noted that the design and access statement submitted by the applicant under application 2017/5996/P makes the following statement:</p> <p>'2.8 Landscaping.</p> <p>The proposal will include new landscaping at both the rear and side gardens, creating two distinct and appropriate spaces. The rear will maintain the current formal feel of the garden, making use of the terraces to provide both hard and soft landscapes. The side garden will be re-planted using indigenous plants, trees and grasses from the heath, aiming to bring in some of the wild qualities of the heath into the village. To maximise these new outdoor spaces, we have proposed the removal of one tree which is supported by an arboriculturist report by CBA Trees. The intention is to replace this tree with something of a similar life span and size to ensure the house retains its dense perimeter shield.'</p> <p>A key point I'd like to make is that the design and access statement recognises the dense perimeter shield provided by the trees and shrubs that will be los</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2155/T	Lesley Stevas	05/05/2019 18:49:23	OBJ	<p>Planning App 2019/2155/T 2 Cannon Place</p> <p>I strongly object to the felling of these trees and shrubs.</p> <p>The trees and shrubs are readily visible from the public highway and make a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the immediate neighbourhood and the Conservation Area as a whole. They form a vital part of the verdant hillside gardens and spaces that characterise the area.</p> <p>The photographs submitted with the application confirm the above and show a cohesive group of green screening between the street and the property.</p> <p>The reason given for the removal of the trees and shrubs is to 'allow for a new planting scheme in the bed area to suit the new garden design'</p> <p>however, there is no planting scheme or garden design submitted and it seems that this application is a direct result of the recent development works approved under application 2017/5996/P. This re-landscaping (i.e. the removal of these trees and shrubs) should have been included as part of that planning application. It should also be noted that the design and access statement submitted by the applicant under application 2017/5996/P makes the following statement:</p> <p>'2.8 Landscaping.</p> <p>The proposal will include new landscaping at both the rear and side gardens, creating two distinct and appropriate spaces. The rear will maintain the current formal feel of the garden, making use of the terraces to provide both hard and soft landscapes. The side garden will be re-planted using indigenous plants, trees and grasses from the heath, aiming to bring in some of the wild qualities of the heath into the village. To maximise these new outdoor spaces, we have proposed the removal of one tree which is supported by an arboriculturist report by CBA Trees. The intention is to replace this tree with something of a similar life span and size to ensure the house retains its dense perimeter shield.'</p> <p>A key point I'd like to make is that the design and access statement recognises the dense perimeter shield provided</p>
