

RECEIVED 04 DEC 2006

[Bryan, Sylvia] -----Original Message-----

From: Arbery, Bethany
Sent: 04 December 2006 13:57
To: 'John Alderton'
Cc: Bryan, Sylvia
Subject: RE:

Dear Mr Alderton,

Thank you for your e-mail I have forwarded it to our support team for them to log onto the computer system, you should receive a formal letter acknowledging receipt of your objection shortly.

Regards,

Bethany Arbery

-----Original Message-----

From: John Alderton [mailto:]
Sent: 04 December 2006 09:33
To: Arbery, Bethany
Subject:

Pauline Collins JohnAlderton

Bethany,

If we can get agreement on the top floor then I will withdraw this objection.

Copy sent to the Development Control Team.

Monday, December 4, 2006

APPLICATION REF; 2006/4977/P, 14A REDINGTON RD. NW3 7RG

I write to object to the planning application at 14A Redington Road.

In March I successfully argued that the council should refuse the previous application for a four story building with a three and a half increase of mass.

The Council agreed with me and refused that application. The Inspector agreed with the Councils view and dismissed the appeal.

The Inspectors judgement of this site is one of a critical position in the road, and that the relationship between any new building and the Listed building at 16 is of great importance. The Inspector's view is that the modest two story existing building respects and is subservient to No. 16.

This New proposal is again four stories and has the same mass as the rejected previous proposal. The developers clearly seem determined to build the biggest structure they can get away with. The height is still more than 200% of the existing at street level.

The Inspector makes more than one reference to the listed studio cottage at 16. This cottage

04/12/2006

would also be again be dwarfed and adversely affected.

If the Planning Dept. recommends this application, then I would strongly object at the council meeting and urge the established previous Council and Inspectors clear views on sensitive size and height to be upheld by the members.

If the developers would agree to not include the top second floor level then I would withdraw this objection.

04/12/2006