

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2019/0893/P     | David O Keefe    | 24/04/2019 13:14:41 | NOBJ     | Adding to the Mayford Estates security and taking in the concerns of the many residents /children and pedestrians and to instantly take the matter very seriously and put all the financial costs aside is very commendable and be celebrated,safestore have the full support of the Mayford Estate .  |
| 2019/0893/P     | Len Stassi       | 25/04/2019 02:42:06 | SUPPRT   | <p>Dear Madams/Sirs,</p> <p>I fully support this application that will finally close the weakest link on the Mayford Estate and also seamlessly tie into the railings of identical design that you have already recently kindly granted permission. It is important in the health and safety of both SafeStore and residents Mayford that permission is granted due to the long ongoing issues of drug dealing, drug taking, related crime and thefts to feed addictions; and anti-social behavior that have made the estate rather dangerous for us all, particularly for our children, elderly and vulnerable residents. Drug dealers/takers/abusers, rough sleepers and criminals etc use the currently open area outside SafeStore to ply their activities and discarding dangerous used drug paraphernalia and needles placing the health and safety of our children and residents at risk. Other criminal activities include the frequent illegal parking of large luxury type cars in this area, probably for drug dealing purposes, playing loud music, shouting and disturbing residents. Fires are often lit in the open area and neighboring undercroft next to gas pipes serving the estate and SafeStore; that could cause a Corydon type fire and the negative press this will bring, or a gas related explosion, leading to possible partial building collapse, deaths and related legalities. It is therefore fundamental that planning permission is granted, to allow SafeStore to erect their railings to cover the SafeStore/ Chalton Street side; that will then seamlessly link to our identically designed and Planning granted railings covering the adjoining undercroft on the Oakley Square side of the Mayford Estate NW1. This is in order to prevent access to these areas by such criminally nefarious individuals whose only selfish interest is to ply misery in drug dealing and those who have a selfish need to feed their addictions; to a point that children have already been threatened; as recently reported to the authorities.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2019/0893/P     | Gary Fry         | 24/04/2019 14:48:22 | AMEND    | <p>As a resident of Mayford and parent of two children, I fully support this change. Being a resident for 30+ years, I know the estate pretty well.</p> <p>A number photos in this application look very much like they were on the Oakley Square side of Mayford, or other parts of the estate. While these pictures are used, There appears to be no plans to rectify some of the locations of where the photos were taken.</p> <p>See original Safestore application Appendix B:<br/> Fig. 3 is inside Mayford Estate.<br/> Fig.4 is inside a Bin Store in the Mayford Estate<br/> Fig 9,10,12 are on the Oakley Square side of the estate. No plans for this side.<br/> Appendix C:<br/> Figs 2 and 3 are on Oakley Square side of the estate. No plans for this side.</p> <p>Figures 7,8,9 are inside the Mayford estate.</p> <p>To this end, the application exaggerates it's justification slightly, as the photos mentioned are very roughly in the vicinity of the store, up to about a minute's walk away, but a few would be unreachable to a safestore customer, however, I do appreciate that Safestore acknowledges the general sense of safety for residents as well as their own staff and customers.</p> <p>In summary - this planning application doesn't quite go far enough, as there's still a risk of gas explosion (Safestore Application Overview appx B, fig.9), which isn't being protected according to this submission (see squiggly lines to the right of the building containing numbers 159-163 and 180-184 on document 4458.10E PROP SITE PLAN 1of2), so it would be proper to extend the fence slightly to these recesses too , where possible.</p> |
| 2019/0893/P     | Meric Apak       | 25/04/2019 15:59:27 | COMM     | <p>I would like to add my support for this application. As Cabinet member responsible for the housing portfolio, I receive daily emails relating to crime and antisocial behaviour linked to drug dealing. I fully support and endorse all the submissions from residents and ward councillors and say that there is enough evidence to justify departing from our policy relating to gated communities as an exception in this case.</p>  |