# 369-377 Kentish Town Road

## **Supplementary Energy Statement.**



April 2019

Peter Deer and Associates Ltd Solar House, 282 Chase Road, Southgate, London N14 6HA

T: 020 3232 0080 • E: post pd-a.co.uk Registered in England No. 3022343

### **Document Control**

### **Revision History**

Number	Reason	Date
1	Issue v1	18 April 2019
2		
3		
4		

## **Quality Assurance**

Written	by:	Checked by:
Adrian I	lolmes	Michael Humphries

#### **Contact Author**

Adrian Holmes

Solar House, 282 Chase Road, Southgate, London N14 6HA

T: 020 3232 0080 • E: post@pd-a.co.uk

### **Contents**

Intro	oduction	4
	Existing Energy and Sustainability Report	4
	Air Quality	4
	Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHP)	4
	Carbon Emissions	5
	Summary	5
2	Appendices	6

#### Introduction

This note is written to accompany revisions to the planning application for the redevelopment of 369-377 Kentish Town Road taking into account the results of a detailed AQA and re-consideration of services proposal for the development in line with suggestions by LBC.

#### **Existing Energy and Sustainability Report**

An Energy and Sustainability Statement has already been submitted with the planning application with details related to a scheme utilising: -

- · Central ultra-low NOx gas fired boilers
- Heat interface units
- Ventilation by MVHR with carbon and particulate filtration
- Overheat resolved by increased mechanical ventilation in line with details provided.

This report remains valid and is the baseline proposal for the development.

#### **Air Quality**

A separate detailed AQA assessment has been prepared by XCO2 (Ref: 9.337 April 2019). Refer to the complete report for full information. This detailed report confirms that: -

- Central ultra-low NOx gas fired boilers do not provide unacceptable local air quality.
- Ventilation by MVHR with carbon and particulate filtration is required to the first floor only.

In line with this more detailed analysis and recommendation the M&E scheme as submitted is compliant with the requirement of LBC.

#### Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHP)

In email correspondence between David Peres de Costa (Senior Planning offices) and Nigel Dexter (Planning Consultant) it was suggested that the design team should revisit the scheme with a view to the potential use of Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHP) in lieu of central boilers and heat interface units. An evaluation exercise has been carried out that confirms it would be possible to adopt an alternative M&E scheme as follows: -

- Each dwelling to have multi-split ASHP with condensers located in a plant enclosure at GF level.
- Boilers and LPHW heating schemes to be omitted.
- Overheating to be controlled by MVHR and ASHP
- HWS to be electric shower with point of use HWS in basins and sinks.

### Peter Deer and Associates

Sustainability ■ Environmental Consultancy

#### **Carbon Emissions**

Appendix A (of this report) sets out the anticipated carbon emissions given in the April 2019 Energy and Sustainability statement. Appendix B (of this report) shows the emissions that would occur with the alternative ASHP scheme. Carbon savings from vary from 25% with district heating to 17% with ASHP.

The ASHP scheme reduces the local emissions of NOx to zero. Continued decarbonisation of the grid will further reduce the local emission over time.

#### **Summary**

Calculation indicates an increase in Carbon emissions but with the omission of NOx to the local environment. There is an increase in Carbon Offset payments estimated to be around £12,000. (Carbon offset: £37437 - £25440 = £11,997).

Both central plant with HIU and ASHP would be acceptable M&E solutions to heating at this development however, it is likely that running costs with heat pumps, taking into account the standing losses, will be lower than with District Heating.

## Peter Deer and Associates

Sustainability ■ Environmental Consultancy

## **Appendices**

## **District Heating: Scheme A - As Energy Report February 2019**

Oomestic			Non Domestic		
	Carbon Dioxide Em	nissions for domestic buildings		Carbon Dioxide Em	issions for non-domestic
	(Tonnes CO2 per ann	num)		buildings	
	Regulated	Unregulated		(Tonnes CO2 per annui	m)
Baseline: Part L 2013 of the				Regulated U	nregulated
Building Regulations Compliant Development	18	18	Baseline: Part L 2013 of the Building Regulations Compliant Development	10	2
After energy demand reduction	17	18	After energy demand reduction	8	2
After heat network / CHP	16	18	After heat network / CHP	8	2
After renewable energy	14	18	After renewable energy	8	2
Table 1: Carbon Dioxide Emiss	ions after each stage of th	ne Energy Hierarchy for domestic buildin	Table 3: Carbon Dioxide Emissions after	er each stage of the Ene	ergy Hierarchy for non-dome
				Regulated non-domesti	c carbon dioxide savings
	Regulated domes	etic carbon dioxide savings			
	(Tonnes CO2 per	annum) (%)		(Tonnes CO2 per annui	m) (%)
Savings from energy dema	nd 0	3%	Savings from energy demand reduction	1	14%
Savings from energy dema reduction Savings from heat network / CHP	nd 0	3% 8%	Savings from energy demand reduction  Savings from heat network / CHP	0	0%
reduction	nd 0 1 2			<u>'</u>	
reduction Savings from heat network / CHP	1	8%	Savings from heat network / CHP  Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings	0 0	0% 0% 14%
Savings from heat network / CHP Savings from renewable energy	1	14%	Savings from heat network / CHP  Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings  Table 4: Regulated Carbon Dioxide s	0 0	0% 0% 14%
Savings from heat network / CHP Savings from renewable energy	0 1 2 4	14%	Savings from heat network / CHP  Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings	0 0	0% 0% 14% age of the Energy Hierarch
Savings from heat network / CHP Savings from renewable energy Cumulative on site savings	0 1 2 4	14%	Savings from heat network / CHP  Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings  Table 4: Regulated Carbon Dioxide s	0 0 1 savings from each sta	0% 0% 14% age of the Energy Hierarch
Savings from heat network / CHP Savings from renewable energy Cumulative on site savings	0 1 2 4	14%	Savings from heat network / CHP  Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings  Table 4: Regulated Carbon Dioxide s	0 0 1 savings from each sta	0% 0% 14% age of the Energy Hierarch
Savings from heat network / CHP Savings from renewable energy Cumulative on site savings	0 1 2 4 : 13	8% 14% 25%	Savings from heat network / CHP  Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings  Table 4: Regulated Carbon Dioxide sbuildings	0 0 1 savings from each sta Annual Shortfall Cu (Tonnes CO2) (To	0%  0%  14%  Ige of the Energy Hierarch  Imulative Shortfall  Connes CO2)

## ASHP (Multi Split) & Electric HWS Scheme B – Alternative Option

omestic			Non Domestic		
	Carbon Dioxide Em	nissions for domestic buildings			Emissions for non-domestic
	(Tonnes CO2 per ar			buildings	
	Regulated	Unregulated		(Tonnes CO2 per ann	
Baseline: Part L 2013 of the Building				Regulated	Unregulated
Regulations Compliant Development	25	18	Baseline: Part L 2013 of the Building Regulations Compliant Development	10	2
After energy demand reduction	22	18	After energy demand reduction	8	2
After heat network / CHP	22	18	After heat network / CHP	8	2
After renewable energy	21	18	After renewable energy	8	2
able 1: Carbon Dioxide Emissions aft	er each stage of the	Energy Hierarchy for domestic	illdings Table 3: Carbon Dioxide Emissions af	ter each stage of the	Fnergy Hierarchy for non-dom
ible 1. Garbon bloxide Emissions and	or each stage of the	Energy meralony for domestic	Table 6. Garbon bloxide Emissions at		stic carbon dioxide savings
	Regulated domestic of	carbon dioxide savings		(Tonnes CO2 p annum)	er (%)
	(Tonnes CO2 per ann	num) (%)	Savings from energy demand	,	
Savings from energy demand	3	11%	reduction	1	14%
reduction					
reduction Savings from heat network / CHP	0	0%	Savings from heat network / CHP	0	0%
		0% 6%	Savings from heat network / CHP  Savings from renewable energy	0	0%
Savings from heat network / CHP			Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings	0	0%
Savings from heat network / CHP Savings from renewable energy	1	6%	Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings  Table 4: Regulated Carbon Dioxide	0	0%
Savings from heat network / CHP Savings from renewable energy Cumulative on site savings	1	6%	Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings	0 1 savings from each	0%
Savings from heat network / CHP Savings from renewable energy	0 1 4 21	6% 17%	Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings  Table 4: Regulated Carbon Dioxide buildings  Annua	0 1 savings from each	0% 14% stage of the Energy Hierarch
Savings from heat network / CHP  Savings from renewable energy  Cumulative on site savings  Annual savings from off-set payment  Cumulative savings for off-set	0 1 4 21	6%	Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings  Table 4: Regulated Carbon Dioxide buildings  Annua	0 1 savings from each	0%  14%  stage of the Energy Hierarch  Cumulative Shortfall
Savings from heat network / CHP Savings from renewable energy Cumulative on site savings Annual savings from off-set payment	0 1 4 21	6% 17%	Savings from renewable energy  Total Cumulative Savings  Table 4: Regulated Carbon Dioxide buildings  Annua (Tonna	o  1 savings from each Shortfall es CO2)	0%  14%  stage of the Energy Hierarch  Cumulative Shortfall