

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1097/P	Sean McDonagh	18/04/2019 16:04:23	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this proposal. The council will be profiting from a scheme that could have life changing effects on residents of the building.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the developers assertion that there are no health risks. This is a relatively new technology and nobody is able to categorically rule out possible effects in the long term. Indeed there has been research taken by The International Electromagnetic Fields Alliance and The World Health Organization that highlight the effects on the heart, blood flow and the increased risk of cancer in connection with exposure to electromagnetic fields around broadcast antennas. What evidence has the council seen in relation to this? Are they just taking the developers word at face value? Have they considered the effect of such a high density grouping in close relation to people living below them? The previous withdrawn proposal was for far less antennas mounted on higher poles and that seems to have been amended due to the aesthetic effect it would have had on the area. The fact that it's been replaced by a scheme containing an increased amount of lower antennas mounted at a lower level smacks of a 'Grenfell like' disregard for the care and safety of residents of lower cost housing and that is quite frankly shameful!</p> <p>My flat on the top floor is directly under most of the antennas and the low mounting means that they are VERY close to where I live and sleep. Nobody can categorically state that it will not have any detrimental effects on my health and the developer appears to have only presented a single glib assertion in support of the supposed 'safety' of this technology!</p> <p>There will also be disruption if the units and relating infrastructure are installed and presumably during its maintenance</p> <p>And what of possible noise that could be caused during high winds and possible effects on TV signals and electric equipment used by residents in the building? I cannot see reference to either of these issues</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1097/P	Sean McDonagh	18/04/2019 16:13:23	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this proposal. The council will be profiting from a scheme that could have life changing effects on residents of the building.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the developers assertion that there are no health risks. This is a relatively new technology and nobody is able to categorically rule out possible effects in the long term. Indeed there has been research taken by The International Electromagnetic Fields Alliance and The World Health Organization that highlight the effects on the heart ,blood flow and the increased risk of cancer in connection with exposure to electromagnetic fields around broadcast antennas. What evidence has the council seen in relation to this? Are they just taking the developers word at face value? Have they considered the effect of such a high density grouping in close relation to people living below them? the previous withdrawn proposal was for far less antennas mounted on higher polls and that seems to have been amended due to the aesthetic effect it would have had on the area. The fact that it's been replaced by a scheme containing an increased amount of lower antennas mounted at a lower level smacks of a 'grenfell like' disregard for the care and safety of residents of lower cost housing and that is quite frankly shameful!</p> <p>My flat on the top floor is directly under most of the antennas and the low mounting means that they are VERY close to where I live and sleep. Nobody can categorically state that it will not have any detrimental effects on my health and the developer appears to have only presented a single glib assertion in support of the supposed 'safety' of this technology!</p> <p>There will also be disruption if the units and relating infrastructure are installed and presumably during it's maintenance</p> <p>And what of possible noise that could be caused during high winds and possible effects on TV signals and electric equipment used by residents in the building? I cannot see reference to either of these iss</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1097/P	Sean McDonagh	18/04/2019 15:50:06	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this proposal. The council will be profiting from a scheme that could have life changing effects on residents of the building.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the developers assertion that there are no health risks. This is a relatively new technology and nobody is able to categorically rule out possible effects in the long term. Indeed there has been research taken by The International Electromagnetic Fields Alliance and The World Health Organization that highlight the effects on the heart, blood flow and the increased risk of cancer in connection with exposure to electromagnetic fields around broadcast antennas. What evidence has the council seen in relation to this? Are they just taking the developers word at face value? Have they considered the effect of such a high density grouping in close relation to people living below them? The previous withdrawn proposal was for far less antennas mounted on higher poles and that seems to have been amended due to the aesthetic effect it would have had on the area. The fact that it's been replaced by a scheme containing an increased amount of lower antennas mounted at a lower level smacks of a 'Grenfell like' disregard for the care and safety of residents of lower cost housing and that is quite frankly shameful!</p> <p>My flat on the top floor is directly under most of the antennas and the low mounting means that they are VERY close to where I live and sleep. Nobody can categorically state that it will not have any detrimental effects on my health and the developer appears to have only presented a single glib assertion in support of the supposed 'safety' of this technology!</p> <p>There will also be disruption if the units and relating infrastructure are installed and presumably during its maintenance</p> <p>And what of possible noise that could be caused during high winds and possible effects on TV signals and electric equipment used by residents in the building? I cannot see reference to either of these issues</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1097/P	Sean McDonagh	18/04/2019 15:50:41	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this proposal. The council will be profiting from a scheme that could have life changing effects on residents of the building.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the developers assertion that there are no health risks. This is a relatively new technology and nobody is able to categorically rule out possible effects in the long term. Indeed there has been research taken by The International Electromagnetic Fields Alliance and The World Health Organization that highlight the effects on the heart, blood flow and the increased risk of cancer in connection with exposure to electromagnetic fields around broadcast antennas. What evidence has the council seen in relation to this? Are they just taking the developers word at face value? Have they considered the effect of such a high density grouping in close relation to people living below them? The previous withdrawn proposal was for far less antennas mounted on higher poles and that seems to have been amended due to the aesthetic effect it would have had on the area. The fact that it's been replaced by a scheme containing an increased amount of lower antennas mounted at a lower level smacks of a 'Grenfell like' disregard for the care and safety of residents of lower cost housing and that is quite frankly shameful!</p> <p>My flat on the top floor is directly under most of the antennas and the low mounting means that they are VERY close to where I live and sleep. Nobody can categorically state that it will not have any detrimental effects on my health and the developer appears to have only presented a single glib assertion in support of the supposed 'safety' of this technology!</p> <p>There will also be disruption if the units and relating infrastructure are installed and presumably during its maintenance</p> <p>And what of possible noise that could be caused during high winds and possible effects on TV signals and electric equipment used by residents in the building? I cannot see reference to either of these issues</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1097/P	Sean McDonagh	18/04/2019 16:38:13	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this proposal. The council will be profiting from a scheme that could have life changing effects on residents of the building.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the developers assertion that there are no health risks. This is a relatively new technology and nobody is able to categorically rule out possible effects in the long term. Indeed there has been research taken by The International Electromagnetic Fields Alliance and The World Health Organization that highlight the effects on the heart ,blood flow and the increased risk of cancer in connection with exposure to electromagnetic fields around broadcast antennas. What evidence has the council seen in relation to this? Are they just taking the developers word at face value? Have they considered the effect of such a high density grouping in close relation to people living below them? the previous withdrawn proposal was for far less antennas mounted on higher polls and that seems to have been amended due to the aesthetic effect it would have had on the area. The fact that it's been replaced by a scheme containing an increased amount of lower antennas mounted at a lower level smacks of a 'grenfell like' disregard for the care and safety of residents of lower cost housing and that is quite frankly shameful!</p> <p>My flat on the top floor is directly under most of the antennas and the low mounting means that they are VERY close to where I live and sleep. Nobody can categorically state that it will not have any detrimental effects on my health and the developer appears to have only presented a single glib assertion in support of the supposed 'safety' of this technology!</p> <p>There will also be disruption if the units and relating infrastructure are installed and presumably during it's maintenance</p> <p>And what of possible noise that could be caused during high winds and possible effects on TV signals and electric equipment used by residents in the building? I cannot see reference to either of these iss</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1097/P	Sean McDonagh	18/04/2019 15:52:21	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this proposal. The council will be profiting from a scheme that could have life changing effects on residents of the building.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the developers assertion that there are no health risks. This is a relatively new technology and nobody is able to categorically rule out possible effects in the long term. Indeed there has been research taken by The International Electromagnetic Fields Alliance and The World Health Organization that highlight the effects on the heart, blood flow and the increased risk of cancer in connection with exposure to electromagnetic fields around broadcast antennas. What evidence has the council seen in relation to this? Are they just taking the developers word at face value? Have they considered the effect of such a high density grouping in close relation to people living below them? The previous withdrawn proposal was for far less antennas mounted on higher poles and that seems to have been amended due to the aesthetic effect it would have had on the area. The fact that it's been replaced by a scheme containing an increased amount of lower antennas mounted at a lower level smacks of a 'Grenfell like' disregard for the care and safety of residents of lower cost housing and that is quite frankly shameful!</p> <p>My flat on the top floor is directly under most of the antennas and the low mounting means that they are VERY close to where I live and sleep. Nobody can categorically state that it will not have any detrimental effects on my health and the developer appears to have only presented a single glib assertion in support of the supposed 'safety' of this technology!</p> <p>There will also be disruption if the units and relating infrastructure are installed and presumably during its maintenance</p> <p>And what of possible noise that could be caused during high winds and possible effects on TV signals and electric equipment used by residents in the building? I cannot see reference to either of these issues</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1097/P	Sean Mcdonagh	18/04/2019 16:59:04	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this proposal. The council will be profiting from a scheme that could have life changing effects on residents of the building.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the developers assertion that there are no health risks. This is a relatively new technology and nobody is able to categorically rule out possible effects in the long term. Indeed there has been research taken by The International Electromagnetic Fields Alliance and The World Health Organization that highlight the effects on the heart ,blood flow and the increased risk of cancer in connection with exposure to electromagnetic fields around broadcast antennas. What evidence has the council seen in relation to this? Are they just taking the developers word at face value? Have they considered the effect of such a high density grouping in close relation to people living below them? the previous withdrawn proposal was for far less antennas mounted on higher polls and that seems to have been amended due to the aesthetic effect it would have had on the area. The fact that it's been replaced by a scheme containing an increased amount of lower antennas mounted at a lower level smacks of a 'grenfell like' disregard for the care and safety of residents of lower cost housing and that is quite frankly shameful!</p> <p>My flat on the top floor is directly under most of the antennas and the low mounting means that they are VERY close to where I live and sleep. Nobody can categorically state that it will not have any detrimental effects on my health and the developer appears to have only presented a single glib assertion in support of the supposed 'safety' of this technology!</p> <p>There will also be disruption if the units and relating infrastructure are installed and presumably during it's maintenance</p> <p>And what of possible noise that could be caused during high winds and possible effects on TV signals and electric equipment used by residents in the building? I cannot see reference to either of these i</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1097/P	Sean Mcdonagh	20/04/2019 11:54:24	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this proposal. The council will be profiting from a scheme that could have life changing effects on residents of the building.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the developers assertion that there are no health risks. This is a relatively new technology and nobody is able to categorically rule out possible effects in the long term. Indeed there has been research taken by The International Electromagnetic Fields Alliance and The World Health Organization that highlight the effects on the heart, blood flow and the increased risk of cancer in connection with exposure to electromagnetic fields around broadcast antennas. What evidence has the council seen in relation to this? Are they just taking the developers word at face value? Have they considered the effect of such a high density grouping in close relation to people living below them? The previous withdrawn proposal was for far less antennas mounted on higher polls and that seems to have been amended due to the aesthetic effect it would have had on the area. The fact that it's been replaced by a scheme containing an increased amount of lower antennas mounted at a lower level smacks of a 'grenfell like' disregard for the care and safety of residents of lower cost housing and that is quite frankly shameful!</p> <p>My flat on the top floor is directly under most of the antennas and the low mounting means that they are VERY close to where I live and sleep. Nobody can categorically state that it will not have any detrimental effects on my health and the developer appears to have only presented a single glib assertion in support of the supposed 'safety' of this technology!</p> <p>There will also be disruption if the units and relating infrastructure are installed and presumably during it's maintenance</p> <p>And what of possible noise that could be caused during high winds and possible effects on TV signals and electric equipment used by residents in the building? I cannot see reference to either of these i</p>