



## **Document History and Status**

| Revision | Date            | Purpose/Status | File Ref   | Author    | Check  | Review |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|--|-----------|--------|--------|
| D1       | October<br>2018 | Comment        | FDjap-12985-07-<br>031018-5 Oval Road-<br>D1.doc         | F Drammeh | A Finn | A Finn |
| F1       | April<br>2019   | Planning       | FDgk-12985-07-<br>160419-5 Oval Road-<br>Scheme 1-F1.doc | F Drammeh | G Kite | G Kite |
|          |                 |                |  |           |        |        |
|          |                 |                |  |           |        |        |
|          |                 |                |  |           |        |        |
|          |                 |                |  |           |        |        |
|          |                 |                |  |           |        |        |

This document has been prepared in accordance with the scope of Campbell Reith Hill LLP's (CampbellReith) appointment with its client and is subject to the terms of the appointment. It is addressed to and for the sole use and reliance of CampbellReith's client. CampbellReith accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes, stated in the document, for which it was prepared and provided. No person other than the client may copy (in whole or in part) use or rely on the contents of this document, without the prior written permission of Campbell Reith Hill LLP. Any advice, opinions, or recommendations within this document should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. The contents of this document are not to be construed as providing legal, business or tax advice or opinion.

## © Campbell Reith Hill LLP 2015

## **Document Details**

| Last saved         | 16/04/2019 14:47                                 |
|--------------------|--|
| Path               | FDgk-12985-07-160419-5 Oval Road-Scheme 1-F1.doc |
| Author             | F Drammeh, MEng (Hons)                           |
| Project Partner    | E M Brown, BSc MSc CGeol FGS                     |
| Project Number     | 12895-07   |
| Project Name       | 7 Oval Road                                      |
| Planning Reference | 2018/3049/P & 2018/3048/P                        |

Structural ◆ Civil ◆ Environmental ◆ Geotechnical ◆ Transportation

Date: April 2019

i



## Contents

| 1.0 | Non-technical summary                       | 1  |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.0 | Introduction                                | 3  |
| 3.0 | Basement Impact Assessment Audit Check List | 5  |
| 4.0 | Discussion                                  | 8  |
| 5.0 | Conclusions                                 | 10 |

# Appendix

Appendix 1: Residents' Consultation Comments

Appendix 2: Audit Query Tracker Appendix 3: Supplementary Supporting Documents

Date: April 2019



#### 1.0 NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

- 1.1. CampbellReith was instructed by London Borough of Camden, (LBC) to carry out an audit on the Basement Impact Assessment submitted as part of the Planning Submission documentation for 5 Oval Road, NW1 7EA (planning reference 2018/3048/P & 2018/3049/P). The basement is considered to fall within Category B as defined by the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2. The Audit reviewed the Basement Impact Assessment for potential impact on land stability and local ground and surface water conditions arising from basement development in accordance with LBC's policies and technical procedures.
- 1.3. CampbellReith was able to access LBC's Planning Portal and gain access to the latest revision of submitted documentation and reviewed it against an agreed audit check list.
- 1.4. The updated BIA relates to one of the two proposals for the same address; 2018/3048/P excavation for a small boiler room beneath the front garden. The audit relates to this proposal; the alternative proposal will require a separate audit, if the application is taken further.
- 1.5. In the revised submissions, the qualifications of the individuals involved in the BIA have been demonstrated to be in accordance with LBC guidance.
- 1.6. In the revised submissions, the BIA makes reference to and has been undertaken in accordance with the current guidance documents.
- 1.7. In the revised submissions, appropriate information is presented including site investigation, geotechnical design parameters and outline structural and temporary works information.
- 1.8. The ground conditions at the site comprise Made Ground over the London Clay. No groundwater was encountered during monitoring. There will be no impact to the wider hydrogeological environment.
- 1.9. In the revised submissions, an arboricultural report has been provided. No trees are being removed due to the proposed development.
- 1.10. There will be no change in impermeable site area. The proposal will not impact the wider hydrological environment.
- 1.11. In the revised submissions, a ground movement assessment is presented. The predicted movements are broadly within the range accepted for the size, depth and methodology of construction. Considering the neighbouring foundation levels, it is accepted that damage impacts will be Category 0 to Category 1 (Negligible to Very Slight).

Date: April 2019



- 1.1. In the revised submissions, a preliminary structural monitoring strategy is presented to ensure construction is controlled and impacts are limited to within the predicted limits.
- 1.2. In the revised submissions, an indicative works programme is provided.
- 1.3. It is accepted that there are no slope stability concerns regarding the proposed development and it is not in an area prone to flooding.
- 1.4. Queries and requests for information are summarised in Appendix 2. Considering the revised submissions, the BIA meets the requirements of Camden Planning Guidance: Basements.

Date: April 2019



#### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

- 2.1. CampbellReith was instructed by London Borough of Camden (LBC) on to carry out a Category B Audit on the Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) submitted as part of the Planning Submission documentation for 5 Oval Road, NW1 7EA (planning reference 2018/3048/P and 2018/3049/P). This audit relates only to 2018/3048/P.
- 2.2. The Audit was carried out in accordance with the Terms of Reference set by LBC. It reviewed the Basement Impact Assessment for potential impact on land stability and local ground and surface water conditions arising from basement development.
- 2.3. A BIA is required for all planning applications with basements in Camden in general accordance with policies and technical procedures contained within
  - Guidance for Subterranean Development (GSD). Issue 01. November 2010. Ove Arup & Partners.
  - Camden Planning Guidance Basements. March 2018.
  - Camden Development Policy (DP) 27: Basements and Lightwells.
  - Camden Development Policy (DP) 23: Water.
  - Local Plan Policy A5 Basements.

#### 2.4. The BIA should demonstrate that schemes:

- a) maintain the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties;
- avoid adversely affecting drainage and run off or causing other damage to the water environment;
- avoid cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area, and;
- d) evaluate the impacts of the proposed basement considering the issues of hydrology, hydrogeology and land stability via the process described by the GSD and to make recommendations for the detailed design.

Status: F1

2.5. LBC's Audit Instruction described the planning proposal as "2018/3048/P: Erection of a single storey side extension and excavation of boiler room to front garden, installation of side gate and refuse store, sliding gate to front forecourt, enlargement of side stairwell and front lightwell, replacement of all windows, all to single family unit (Class C3). Demolish two storey building and erection of 2 x 3 bedroom, four storey dwellings including a new basement floor and



2018/3049/P: Two storey side extension to include basement exaction and provision of garage at ground floor level, with associated landscaping to the rear, installation of side gate and refuse store, sliding gate to front forecourt, enlargement of side stairwell and front lightwell, replacement of all windows, all to single family unit (Class C3).

- 2.6. The Audit Instruction confirmed 5 Oval Road is not listed, however, the terrace row across the site listed.
- 2.7. CampbellReith accessed LBC's Planning Portal on 6 September 2018 and gained access to the following relevant documents for audit purposes:
  - BIA Impact Screening Assessment Stage 1 screening, Ground & Water, dated June 2018.
  - Arboricultural Assessment Impact Assessment for Land at 5 Oval (Rev A) and screw pile detail, Keen Consultants, dated 8 March 2018 under 2018/3049/P.
  - Arboricultural Assessment Impact Assessment for Land at 5 Oval (Rev 0) and screw pile detail, Keen Consultants, dated 27 March 2018 under 2018/3048/P.
  - Design and Access Statement, 5 Oval Road, Charles Doe Architects Ltd, dated May 2018.
  - Charles Doe Architects Planning Application drawings:

Site plan (TP-200)

Existing plans (S-01)

Proposed lower and upper ground floor (TP-201)

Proposed Section AA, BB and CC (TP-204, TP-205 and TP-206)

Proposed northeast, northwest and southwest (TP-207, TP-208 and TP-209)

Existing and proposed Section DD (TP-210)

2.8. CampbellReith received the following relevant documents for audit purposes in March 2019:

Date: April 2019

- Email summarising audit query responses.
- BIA Impact Screening Assessment V1.01 ref GWPR2885/GIR, Ground & Water, dated January 2019.
- Arboricultural Assessment Impact Assessment for Land at 5 Oval (Rev B) and screw pile detail, Keen Consultants, dated February 2019.
- Method Statement, Underpinning and Plant Room Construction, V1, Sub-Tech Contractors Ltd, dated 12<sup>th</sup> December 2018.
- Movement Monitoring Statement, Inertia Structures Ltd, February 2019, including programme and Structural Drawing.



## 3.0 BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUDIT CHECK LIST

| Item   | Yes/No/NA | Comment  |  |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Are BIA Author(s) credentials satisfactory?  | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |  |
| Is data required by CI.233 of the GSD presented?   | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |  |
| Does the description of the proposed development include all aspects of temporary and permanent works which might impact upon geology, hydrogeology and hydrology? | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |  |
| Are suitable plan/maps included?   | Yes       | Relevant maps with site location indicated included in Ground & Water BIA. |  |
| Do the plans/maps show the whole of the relevant area of study and do they show it in sufficient detail?   | Yes       | As above.  |  |
| Land Stability Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?  | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |  |
| Hydrogeology Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?  | Yes       | Section 3.1.1 of the Ground & Water BIA.                                   |  |
| Hydrology Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?   | Yes       | Section 3.1.3 of the Ground & Water BIA.                                   |  |
| Is a conceptual model presented?   | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |  |
| Land Stability Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?   | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |  |



| Item   | Yes/No/NA | Comment  |
|--|-----------|--|
| Hydrogeology Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?       | Yes       | Section 3.2.1 of the BIA.  |
| Hydrology Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?          | Yes       | Section 3.2.1 of the BIA.  |
| Is factual ground investigation data provided?                                     | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |
| Is monitoring data presented?  | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |
| Is the ground investigation informed by a desk study?                              | Yes       | Section 2 of the BIA.  |
| Has a site walkover been undertaken?   | N/A       | Not specifically stated however the site description on Section 2.2 implies a walkover was undertaken. |
| Is the presence/absence of adjacent or nearby basements confirmed?                 | Yes       | Section 3.1.2 of the BIA states the neighbouring properties comprise lower ground floor.               |
| Is a geotechnical interpretation presented?  | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |
| Does the geotechnical interpretation include information on retaining wall design? | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |
| Are reports on other investigations required by screening and scoping presented?   | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |
| Are the baseline conditions described, based on the GSD?                           | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |
| Do the base line conditions consider adjacent or nearby basements?                 | Yes       | It is stated that lower ground floors are present beneath the nearby properties.                       |
| Is an Impact Assessment provided?  | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions   |



| Item   | Yes/No/NA | Comment                        |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------|
| Are estimates of ground movement and structural impact presented?  | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions |
| Is the Impact Assessment appropriate to the matters identified by screening and scoping?   | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions |
| Has the need for mitigation been considered and are appropriate mitigation methods incorporated in the scheme?                               | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions |
| Has the need for monitoring during construction been considered?   | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions |
| Have the residual (after mitigation) impacts been clearly identified?  | N/A       | Mitigation not identified.     |
| Has the scheme demonstrated that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties and infrastructure will be maintained? | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions |
| Has the scheme avoided adversely affecting drainage and run-off or causing other damage to the water environment?                            | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions |
| Has the scheme avoided cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area?                              | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions |
| Does report state that damage to surrounding buildings will be no worse than Burland Category 1?   | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions |
| Are non-technical summaries provided?  | Yes       | Updated in revised submissions |



## 4.0 DISCUSSION

- 4.1. The BIA was undertaken by Ground & Water Ltd. In the revised submissions, the qualifications of the individuals involved in the BIA have been demonstrated to be in accordance with LBC guidance.
- 4.2. In the revised submissions, the BIA makes reference to and has been undertaken in accordance with the current guidance documents: Camden Planning Guidance: Basements (2018).
- 4.3. In the revised submissions, non-technical summaries are provided.
- 4.4. The site comprises a four storey building including a lower ground floor semi-basement. The front of the site is indicated to be 1.50m above the rear garden. The proposed development is described in LBC Planning Application 2018/3048/P, involving the construction of a basement plant room. The lower ground floor level will be at 3.50m below the front garden level and 1.50m below the rear garden level.
- 4.5. In the revised submissions, appropriate information is presented including site investigation, geotechnical design parameters and outline structural and temporary works information.
- 4.6. In response to the previous audit, responses to the Screening and Scoping Sections have been updated and are accepted.
- 4.7. The ground conditions at the site comprise Made Ground over the London Clay. No groundwater was encountered during monitoring. There will be no impact to the wider hydrogeological environment.
- 4.8. An updated arboricultural report has been provided, in response to the previous audit. No trees will be removed.
- 4.9. The neighbouring properties are stated to have lower ground floors at the same depth as No.5.
- 4.10. In the revised submission, it is confirmed that for the smaller development application (2018/3048/P) there will be no change in impermeable site area. The proposal will not impact the wider hydrological environment.
- 4.11. In the revised submissions, a ground movement assessment is presented. The predicted movements are broadly within the range accepted for the size, depth and methodology of construction. Considering the neighbouring foundation levels, it is accepted that damage impacts will be Category 0 to Category 1 (Negligible to Very Slight).
- 4.12. In the revised submissions, a preliminary structural monitoring strategy is presented to ensure construction is controlled and impacts are limited to within the predicted limits.



- 4.13. In the revised submissions, an indicative works programme is provided.
- 4.14. It is accepted that there are no slope stability concerns regarding the proposed development and it is not in an area prone to flooding.



### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

- 5.1. This audit relates to Planning Application 2018/3048/P only.
- 5.2. The qualifications of the BIA authors are in accordance with LBC guidance.
- 5.3. The BIA makes reference to and has been undertaken in accordance with the current guidance documents.
- 5.4. Appropriate information is presented including site investigation, geotechnical design parameters and outline structural and temporary works information.
- 5.5. There will be no impact to the wider hydrogeological environment.
- 5.6. No trees are being removed due to the proposed development.
- 5.7. The proposal will not impact the wider hydrological environment.
- 5.8. Considering the neighbouring foundation levels, it is accepted that damage impact from ground movements will be Category 0 to Category 1 (Negligible to Very Slight).
- 5.9. A preliminary structural monitoring strategy is presented to ensure construction is controlled and impacts are limited to within the predicted limits.
- 5.10. An indicative works programme is provided.
- 5.11. It is accepted that there are no slope stability concerns regarding the proposed development and it is not in an area prone to flooding.
- 5.12. Queries and requests for information are summarised in Appendix 2. Considering the revised submissions, the BIA meets the requirements of Camden Planning Guidance: Basements.

Date: April 2019



Appendix 1: Residents' Consultation Comments

None

FDgk-12985-07-160419-5 Oval Road-Scheme 1-F1.doc

Status: F1

Date: April 2019

Appendices



Appendix 2: Audit Query Tracker

FDgk-12985-07-160419-5 Oval Road-Scheme 1-F1.doc Date: April 2019

Status: F1

Appendices



# **Audit Query Tracker**

| Query No | Subject    | Query   | Status | Date closed out |
|----------|------------|---|--------|-----------------|
| 1        | BIA format | Author qualifications.  | Closed | April 2019      |
| 2        | BIA format | BIA not undertaken in accordance with Arup GSD and Camden Planning Guidance requirements.   | Closed | April 2019      |
| 3        | BIA format | Works programme not included  | Closed | April 2019      |
| 4        | BIA format | Inconsistencies between BIA and arboricultural assessment.  | Closed | April 2019      |
| 5        | Hydrology  | Drainage strategy not provided  | Closed | April 2019      |
| 6        | Stability  | Proposal not sufficiently detailed. No outline retaining wall calculations, construction methodology, construction sequence sketches or temporary works proposal. | Closed | April 2019      |
| 7        | Stability  | Ground movement assessment (GMA) not undertaken.  | Closed | April 2019      |
| 8        | Stability  | Movement monitoring proposal not provided.  | Closed | April 2019      |



Appendix 3: Supplementary Supporting Documents

None

FDgk-12985-07-160419-5 Oval Road-Scheme 1-F1.doc

Status: F1

Date: April 2019

Appendices

# Birmingham London Friars Bridge Court Chantry House 41- 45 Blackfriars Road High Street, Coleshill London, SE1 8NZ Birmingham B46 3BP T: +44 (0)20 7340 1700 T: +44 (0)1675 467 484 E: london@campbellreith.com E: birmingham@campbellreith.com Manchester Surrey No. 1 Marsden Street Raven House 29 Linkfield Lane, Redhill Surrey RH1 1SS Manchester M2 1HW T: +44 (0)1737 784 500 T: +44 (0)161 819 3060 E: manchester@campbellreith.com E: surrey@campbellreith.com **Bristol** UAE Office 705, Warsan Building Hessa Street (East) Wessex House Pixash Lane, Keynsham PO Box 28064, Dubai, UAE Bristol BS31 1TP T: +44 (0)117 916 1066 E: bristol@campbellreith.com T: +971 4 453 4735 E: uae@campbellreith.com Campbell Reith Hill LLP. Registered in England & Wales. Limited Liability Partnership No OC300082 A list of Members is available at our Registered Office at: Friars Bridge Court, 41- 45 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 8NZ VAT No 974 8892 43