|                 |                  |                     |          | Pri   | ted on:                                     | 15/04/2019                                     | 09:10:05 |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|---|--|----------|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |   |  |          |
| 2019/1097/P     | Alex Cooke       | 08/04/2019 14:00:29 | OBJ      | Dear Sir/Madam,<br>I also have serious health concerns regarding the increasing number of antennas installe<br>roof.  | on the                                      | building's                                     |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | Concerns regarding the effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF) and radiofred (RFR) on health have been around for decades.   | iency ra                                    | adiation                                       |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | In 2011, the WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified rat electromagnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B), based on an increa a malignant type of brain cancer1, associated with wireless phone use. https://www.larc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf   |   |  |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | Recently, the International Electromagnetic Field Alliance (IEMFA) has sent an "Internatic Appeal", signed by 250 scientists, to the United Nations's (UN) Secretary-General exprese regarding "the ubiquitous and increasing exposure to EMF generated by electric and wire includebut are not limited toradiofrequency radiation (RFR) emitting devices, such as a phones and their base stations, Wi-Fi, broadcast antennas, smart meters". They have protective EMF guidelines, encouraging precautionary measures, and educating the publintps://www.emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal | ing con<br>ess devi<br>Ilular ar<br>opealed | cerns<br>ces. These<br>d cordless<br>for "more |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | With this in mind, I would like to know if the entities involved have conducted the necessa studies to ensures resident are not exposed to unnecessary radiation or above the estable these studies be made publicly available as there are other documents on this application roof isolation plans? How long does it going to take?   | hed lim                                     | its. Can                                       |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | Thanks,   |   |  |          |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:  | Received:           | Comment:   | Printed on: 15/04/2019 09:10.05 Response:  |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| 2019/1097/P     | Matk Asipowicz    | 08:04/2019 10:12:05 | COMMHMA IL | I would like to register following concerns about the proposals:  -residents and owners will not benefit from the proposed installation; on the contrary there are likely disadvantages  - there are no long-term studies (or if there are please provide details) that verify such installations are safe for people living within 5 meters of the antennas. This applies to all residents in teh top floor flats.  - the owners/residents of the top floor flats have tried for several years to have the flat froof renovated - there have been repeated leaks in two of the three top floor flats and the insulation level is poor. Possible renovation/replacement of the roof insulation and covering will be virtually impossible once the antenna units are installed on the roof.  I repectfully suggest the following:  - the applicant should submit an independent health assessment report to verify that it is safe to live in dose proximity to the installations. It may be that shielding of radio waves, in the form of metal nets or foils on the roof, is required to render the top floor flats safe, in which case this should be made a condition  - a condition should be placed on the planning permission, that the flat roof areas be renovated with insulation up to current standards before the installations are erected Camden Council presumably will benift from rental income from the installations; it would be appropriate if they invested in doing something for the benift of the building  - if residents are to have the antennas imposed on them, they should all at least have the benifit of free broadband! |
| 2019/1097/P     | Robson Santos     | 08/04/2019 11:52:16 | OBJ        | I do object this application planning because of the interference in our existing WiFi networks.   |
| 2019/1097/P     | Frankie<br>GRAHAM | 08/04/2019 11:37:43 | OBJ        | Residents have not been properly informed about the work. Impact of electricity/ electric on health and interference to our IT equipment   |

|                 |                  |                     |          | P   | nted on:   | 15/04/2019                                     | 09:10:05 |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|--|--|----------|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |  |  |          |
| 2019/1097/P     | Diogo Santos     | 08/04/2019 11:49:37 | OBJ      | Dear Sir/Madam, I also have serious health concerns regarding the increasing number of antennas installer of.   | d on the   | building's                                     |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | Concerns regarding the effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF) and radiofre (RFR) on health have been around for decades.  | quency ra  | adiation                                       |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | In 2011, the WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified re electromagnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B), based on an increa malignant type of brain cancer1, associated with wireless phone use. https://www.larc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/p/208_E.pdf  |  |  |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | Recently, the International Electromagnetic Field Alliance (IEMFA) has sent an "Internat Appeal", signed by 250 scientists, to the United Nations's (UN) Secretary-General expregarding "the ubiquitous and increasing exposure to EMF generated by electric and wir include-but are not limited to-radiofrequency radiation (RFR) emitting devices, such as phones and their base stations, Wi-Fi, broadcast antennas, smart meters". They have protective EMF guidelines, encouraging precautionary measures, and educating the put https://www.emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal | sing cond<br>less devi<br>ellular an<br>appealed | cerns<br>ces. These<br>d cordless<br>for "more |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | With this in mind, I would like to know if the entities involved have conducted the necess studies to ensures resident are not exposed to unnecessary radiation or above the estal these studies be made publicly available as there are other documents on this application roof isolation plans? How long does it going to take?  | ished lim  | its. Can                                       |          |
|                 |                  |                     |          | Thanks,   |  |  |          |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Printed on: 15/04/2019 09:10:05  Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2019/1097/P     | Ana Rita Pinho   | 08/04/2019 12:06:15 | OBJ      | Dear Sir/Madam, I also have serious health concerns regarding the increasing number of antennas installed on the building's roof.   |
|                 |                  |                     |          | Concerns regarding the effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF) and radiofrequency radiation (RFR) on health have been around for decades.  |
|                 |                  |                     |          | In 2011, the WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified radiofrequency electromagnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B), based on an increased risk for glioma, a malignant type of brain cancer1, associated with wireless phone use.<br>https://www.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf   |
|                 |                  |                     |          | Recently, the International Electromagnetic Field Alliance (IEMFA) has sent an "International EMF Scientist Appeal", signed by 250 scientists, to the United Nations's (UN) Secretary-General expressing concerns regarding "the ubiquitious and increasing exposure to EMF generated by electric and wireless devices. These include—but are not limited to-radiofrequency radiation (RFR) emitting devices, such as cellular and cordless phones and their base stations, Wi-Fi, broadcast antennas, smart meters". They have appealed for "more protective EMF guidelines, encouraging precautionary measures, and educating the public about health risks". https://www.emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal |
|                 |                  |                     |          | With this in mind, I would like to know if the entities involved have conducted the necessary and recommend studies to ensures resident are not exposed to unnecessary radiation or above the established limits. Can these studies be made publicly available as there are other documents on this application? Do you have any roof isolation plans? How long does it going to take?  |
| ×               |                  |                     |          | Thanks,   |
| 2019/1097/P     | Adnan Yassine    | 08/04/2019 11:54:58 | OBJ      | I am concerned with the interference in our existing WIFI and telecommunications. Also concerned about health and safety related issues and weren't properly consulted about the following changes.   |