Printed on: 15/04/2019 09:10:05

 Application No:
 Consultees Name:
 Received:
 Commercial

 2018/6048/P
 Dr Maya Wolf
 11/04/2019 10:53:41
 OBJ

I live with my 2 year old daughter and young son. I would like to object in the strongest terms available to me, to the creation of a raised rear extension and balcony on the existing flat roof at 1st floor level at 5 Hemstal Road.

The applicant, who is a businessman and landlord and NOT a resident, has already proposed several alterations in the last few years. The most recent application for a roof terrace was dismissed when he made an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate in February 2016. It is extremely distressing that yet again he is persisting with something that will be detrimental to me and my young family, my neighbours, as well as damage the surrounding outlook to the area, in order to pursue his pecuniary interest.

My objection stems primarily from the heavy adverse effect on the residential amenity of direct neighbours like ourselves; our houses are just a few metres from this proposed development.

1. PRIVACY. The extension and roof terrace will lead to a dramatic loss of privacy. As evident from the plans submitted, the existing extension at ground floor level is just a couple of metres from the back fence that 5 Hemstal Road shares with my property, and my house is only a couple of metres on the other side of this fence. This means that the distance between houses here is already far closer than is usual for terraced houses backing on to each other in Camden. Therefore, this plan envisages building a viewing gallery that will look directly into my house – and an extremely effective one, as we are just a few metres away. People in the new extension or on the proposed new balcony will have a direct view into my childrens bedrooms, which are at the back of the house, and directly into our kitchen and garden. With two small children, the kitchen is inevitably the centre of the house, and I believe we have a reasonable right of privacy here as well as in the bedrooms. Today we are less overlooked, as t

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response:

2018/6048/P Dr Maya Wolf 11/04/2019 11:09:11 OBJNOT

I live with my 2 year old daughter and young son. I would like to object in the strongest terms available to me, to the creation of a raised rear extension and balcony on the existing flat roof at 1st floor level at 5 Hemstal Road.

The applicant, who is a businessman and landlord and NOT a resident, has already proposed several alterations in the last few years. The most recent application for a roof terrace was dismissed when he made an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate in February 2016. It is extremely distressing that yet again he is persisting with something that will be detrimental to me and my young family, my neighbours, as well as damage the surrounding outlook to the area, in order to pursue his pecuniary interest.

My objection stems primarily from the heavy adverse effect on the residential amenity of direct neighbours like ourselves; our houses are just a few metres from this proposed development.

1. PRIVACY: The extension and roof terrace will lead to a dramatic loss of privacy. As evident from the plans submitted, the existing extension at ground floor level is just a couple of metres from the back fence that 5 Hemstal Road shares with my property, and my house is only a couple of metres on the other side of this fence. This means that the distance between houses here is already far closer than is usual for terraced houses backing on to each other in Camden. Therefore, this plan envisages building a viewing gallery that will look directly into my house - and an extremely effective one, as we are just a few metres away. People in the new extension or on the proposed new balcony will have a direct view into my childrens bedrooms, which are at the back of the house, and directly into our kitchen and garden. With two small children, the kitchen is inevitably the centre of the house, and to believe we have a reasonable right of privacy here as well as in the bedrooms. Today we are less overlooked, as t

Printed on: 15/04/2019 09:10:05

 Application No:
 Consultees Name:
 Received:
 Comment:

 2018/6048/P
 Maya Wolf
 11/04/2019
 10:56:10
 OBJNOT

I live with my 2 year old daughter and young son. I would like to object in the strongest terms available to me, to the creation of a raised rear extension and balcony on the existing flat roof at 1st floor level at 5 Hemstal Road.

The applicant, who is a businessman and landlord and NOT a resident, has already proposed several alterations in the last few years. The most recent application for a roof terrace was dismissed when he made an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate in February 2016. It is extremely distressing that yet again he is persisting with something that will be detrimental to me and my young family, my neighbours, as well as damage the surrounding outlook to the area, in order to pursue his pecuniary interest.

My objection stems primarily from the heavy adverse effect on the residential amenity of direct neighbours like ourselves; our houses are just a few metres from this proposed development.

1. PRIVACY. The extension and roof terrace will lead to a dramatic loss of privacy. As evident from the plans submitted, the existing extension at ground floor level is just a couple of metres from the back fence that 5 Hemstal Road shares with my property, and my house is only a couple of metres on the other side of this fence. This means that the distance between houses here is already far closer than is usual for terraced houses backing on to each other in Camden. Therefore, this plan envisages building a viewing gallery that will look directly into my house – and an extremely effective one, as we are just a few metres away. People in the new extension or on the proposed new balcony will have a direct view into my childrens bedrooms, which are at the back of the house, and directly into our kitchen and garden. With two small children, the kitchen is inevitably the centre of the house, and I believe we have a reasonable right of privacy here as well as in the bedrooms. Today we are less overlooked, as t

I live with my 2 year old daughter and young son. I would like to object in the strongest terms available to me, to the creation of a raised rear extension and balcony on the existing flat roof at 1st floor level at 5 Hemstal Road.

The applicant, who is a businessman and landlord and NOT a resident, has already proposed several alterations in the last few years. The most recent application for a roof terrace was dismissed when he made an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate in February 2016. It is extremely distressing that yet again he is persisting with something that will be detrimental to me and my young family, my neighbours, as well as damage the surrounding outlook to the area, in order to pursue his pecuniary interest.

My objection stems primarily from the heavy adverse effect on the residential amenity of direct neighbours like ourselves; our houses are just a few metres from this proposed development.

1. PRIVACY. The extension and roof terrace will lead to a dramatic loss of privacy. As evident from the plans submitted, the existing extension at ground floor level is just a couple of metres from the back fence that 5 Hemstal Road shares with my property, and my house is only a couple of metres on the other side of this fence. This means that the distance between houses here is already far closer than is usual for terraced houses backing on to each other in Camden. Therefore, this plan envisages building a viewing gallery that will look directly into my house – and an extremely effective one, as we are just a few metres away. People in the new extension or on the proposed new balcony will have a direct view into my childrens bedrooms, which are at the back of the house, and directly into our kitchen and garden. With two small children, the kitchen is inevitably the centre of the house, and I believe we have a reasonable right of privacy here as well as in the bedrooms. Today we are less overlooked, as t