From: Garry Williams

 Sent:
 09 April 2019 11:49

 To:
 Planning

Subject: 6 Lisenden gardens (planning Objection)

current application 2018/3957/P - Patrick Marfleet (Objection to planning)

Marfleet, Patrick

https://contact.camden.gov.uk/planning-search/index.xhtml?faces-redirect=true&search=6+Lissenden+Gardens&page=1

Dear Patrick.

Cc:

Thank you for your time today, and for confirming that after their last "multiple application" that the "affordable housing" element of previous submissions has actually disappeared on the new build.

The property 6 Lissenden Gardens NW5 has been something of a nightmare for the residents at the bottom end of Glenhurst Ave (namely 21-23) NW5 1PT and surrounding streets. (My address is 21 Glenhurst Avenue)

I should perhaps state my previous prejudice regarding planning permission. (For many years now a thorn in our side)

Existing businesses were thrown out of the building many years previously as the request to convert to six luxury flats (then adding the caveat of "some affordable" when it was in fashion) – the usual constant amending of planning permission has **been ongoing for years in order to secure these luxury flats.** (So certainly I am no fan of the owners-Now they yet gain want even more!)

The last update from the builder on site was that he had to build three luxury flats, the council had to inspect and then for him to tear them down and if "approved" six flats space may be granted sounded a little "iffy" (they seem to be using small increments in multiple submissions to gain major concessions) but I have little to no knowledge as to how these practices are negotiated.

I feel the law is against us and we have lost any input regarding the eventual build. (Thus far, they seem content to amend and then having added value, not to complete works I am presuming some form of valuation exercise is taking place?)

The Kwik Fit downstairs in the building is still rented and is not moving anywhere - the rest of the build has remained vacant for many years now. (This build just will not happen through the current agent in my view)

One of the matters I hope you can help with concerns all neighbours and the addition of "**yet more flats" the previous two new units, having a new unit**. I realise that "overlooking" is not a concern in the area. However, the NEW addition of two further flats appears (from the drawings) to completely obscure the skyline from our windows.

I realise you can do very little regarding the profiteering and opportunism of the building's owners. That said, the pure profiteering element of these further flats really does also take away our view

of any skyline – which I find completely unacceptable and is one of my reasons for objecting to the FURTHER build.

The area is already highly populated (Previous exclusions existed for Car Parking) and really has no need for further expensive flats to add to the area.

Could I politely request that you visit either our house or that of Doctor Allen our neighbour (No 23) so you can view just how unacceptable this additional build would be? (Should you wish - you can reach me on

Regards,

Garry Williams



Garry Williams Sold Out Sales and Marketing Ltd. 36 Whitefriars Street London EC4Y 8BQ United Kingdom

This e-mail, its contents and any attachments are confidential to the intended recipient. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient please do not use or publish its contents. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us and delete it. Sold Out Sales & Marketing Limited (company number 6989121), is a company registered in England and Wales whose registered office is 311 Ballards Lane, North Finchley, London, N12 8LY.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message, as well as any attachment, contains information from Sold Out Sales & Marketing Ltd and is for the designated recipient only and may be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original. Any other use of the email by you is prohibited. Unless expressly stated in this email, nothing in this message should be construed as a digital or electronic signature.