Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

27/03/2019 15:54:07 OBJ

Comment:

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

grounds

I am objecting to this change of use on the following

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and posse a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

 Application No:
 Consultees Name:
 Received:

 2019/1070/P
 27/03/2019

Received: Comment: 27/03/2019 15:55:40 OBJ

Response

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

am objecting to this change of use on the following grounds:

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and posse a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

27/03/2019 15:56:49 OBJ

Comment:

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

grounds

I am objecting to this change of use on the following

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and posse a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

A. II T

27/03/2019 16:07:32 OBJ

Comment:

Response:

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

grounds:

I am objecting to this change of use on the following

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and posse a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

A. H. T.

27/03/2019 15:58:17 OBJ

Comment:

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

I am objecting to this change of use on the following grounds

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and posse a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

Comment

7 15:48:03 OBJEMAIL Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

I am objecting to this change of use on the following grounds

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and posse a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P 28/03/2019 08:56:14 OBJ

Comment:

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

I object wholly to this change of use on the following

- It has come to my attention that Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 SLB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

 To be planning application states that there is a separate street entrance for the coach house, separate from the residential gate / communal areas of the development. This is not true. The only street entrances are althrough the private residential courtyard and playground where children play and private homes are located. Or b) through the private homes are located. Or b) through a car park garage door. There is no way for patients to access the clinic directly. There is no additional door on College Crescent directly into the Coach house properly.

 2) There is a safeguarding issue for the young children who play in the courtyard. The residents of College Crescent count eight small children and two older children within the development itself. This presents the following issues a) The introduction of a clinic for patients with various psychological and psychiatric disorders into a residential development without proper separation of access is inappropriate and poses a children's safeguarding issue. b) our children play in the courtyard and make some noise during the hours of 7am to 8pm which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties.

 3) When the building was used as an office, under the recent tenant Lily's Kitchen, there were roughly 6-10 office workers present, who were the same people all the time and employees of the business. There was no access for the general public and very low foot traffic (only the office workers when they arrived and left each day and for lunch breaks). With the proposed scheme we would be confronted with over a hundred patients and possibly more arriving and leaving the premi

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

Comment: 28/03/2019 09:01:26 OBJNOT

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

grounds

I object wholly to this change of use on the following

- 1) The planning application states that there is a separate street entrance for the coach house, separate from the residential gate / communal areas of the development. This is not true. The only street entrances are a) through the private residential courtyard and playground where children play and private homes are located. Or b) through a car park garage door. There is no way for patients to access the clinic directly. There is no additional door on College Crescent directly into the Coach house property.
- 2) There is a safeguarding issue for the young children who play in the courtyard. The residents of College Crescent count eight small children and two older children within the development itself. This presents the following issues a) The introduction of a clinic for patients with various psychological and psychiatric disorders into a residential development without proper separation of access is inappropriate and poses a children's safeguarding issue. b) our children play in the courtyard and make some noise during the hours of 7am to 8pm which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties.
- 3) When the building was used as an office, under the recent tenant Lily's Kitchen, there were roughly 6-10 office workers present, who were the same people all the time and employees of the business. There was no access for the general public and very low foot traffic (only the office workers when they arrived and left each day and for funch breaks). With the proposed scheme we would be confronted with over a hundred patients (and possibly more) arriving and leaving

Printed on: 28:03/2019 09:10:04

Consulters Name: Received: Comment: Response:

 Application No:
 Consultees Name:
 Received:
 Comment:

 2019/1070/P
 27/03/2019
 15/42/51
 OBJEMPER

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

I am objecting to this change of use on the following grounds:

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and poses a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

 Application No:
 Consultees Name:
 Received:
 Comment:

 2019/1070/P
 ;
 27/03/2019 15:43:37
 OBJEMPER

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

I am objecting to this change of use on the following grounds:

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and poses a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

27/03/2019 15:44:56 OBJEMPER

Comment

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

I am objecting to this change of use on the following

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and poses a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

Comment

27/03/2019 15:46:50 OBJEMAIL Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

grounds

I am objecting to this change of use on the following

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and posse a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P 27/03/2019 11:54:35 OBJ

Comment:

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

I object wholly to this change of use on the following grounds

- The planning application states that there is a separate street entrance for the coach house, separate from the residential gate / communal areas of the development. This is not true. The only street entrances are a) through the private residential courlyard and playground where children play and private homes are located. Or b) through a car park automated garage door, down a car park ramp and into the lower ground communal or park. The communal car park has an open staircase into the communal courtyard (again where children play) and private home access as well for the private houses. There is no way for patients of the clinic to access the clinic other than these two entrances. There is no additional door on College Crescent directly into the Coach house property.
- 2) There is a safeguarding issue for the young children who play in the courtyard. The residents of College Crescent count eight small children and two older children within the development itself. This presents the following issues: a) The introduction of a clinic for patients with various psychological and psychiatric disorders into a residential development without proper separation of access is inappropriate and poses a children's safeguarding issue. b) our children play in the courtyard and make some noise during the hours of 7am to 8pm which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties.
- 3) When the building was used as an office, under the recent tenant Lily's Kitchen, there were roughly 6-10 office workers present, who were the same people all the time and employees of the business . T

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

Comment: 27/03/2019 16:25:36 OBJ

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

grounds

I am objecting to this change of use on the following

Firstly the planning applicant has entered incorrect information into the planning application as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and posse a childrens safeguarding issue. Our children play in the courtyard which can be sometimes quite noisy which might disturb the therapeutic process and might lead to friction between the parties. Our courtyard is a quiet oasis where our children can play safely which would be rendered impossible if patients were waiting and congregating in the court yard as they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the premises are too small to sustain a business operation of this scale.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2019/1070/P

27/03/2019 11:56:50 OBJ

Comment:

Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

- Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

 It has come to my attention that Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (81a) to a theirapy clinic (Class D1), and the silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (81a) to a theirapy clinic (Class D1). If open the control of the control o