Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1070/P	Zhigang Yuan	05/04/2019 10:58:10	OBJ	As a resident and Leaseholder ,39 College Crescent, I strongly object to the change of use of the office (known until now as Lily's Kitchen) to D1 (Therapy Clinic) on the following grounds: Loss of office Space and unsuitability of D1 Use being permitted in this private and enclosed residential area 39 College Crescent is an unusual development where one office unit is embedded inside a residential terrace of private family homes. For this reason the conversion of this office into a clinic is not feasible and in breach with the residents rights to quiet enjoyment of their homes. Furthermore, : Camden has limited office space per the Camden Local Plan, and per the Camden Local Plan Section E2, office space should not be converted to other use unless "a). the site or building is no longer suitable for its existing business use; and b). that the possibility of retaining, reusing or redeveloping the site or building for similar or alternative type and size of business use has been fully explored over an appropriate period of time." Neither of these tests have been met. The space has only been vacant for a short while. Other suitable office tenants could be found, and there is anecdotal evidence that other tenants could be interested in the space. This development was designed to be 6 family homes with a locked single entrance from College Crescent. Office Use (B1 use) is suitable with the employees of the business utilising the premise during working hours (typically 9.00am to 5.30pm) from a planning perspective but D1 Clinic use is not a suitable use because it will have a very detrimental on the recreational and amenity space for the communal front gardens with patents having access up 10.00pm in the evening. Please note that all of these house have extremely small rear gardens and the front area is a crucial amenity space for the existing residential unit.
				The applicant made grave errors in their application: The planning application says in secti

Printed on: 08/04/2019

09:10:02

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1070/P	LILI ZHANG	04/04/2019 13:57:22	OBJ	As a resident and Leaseholder ,39 College Crescent, I strongly object to the change of use of the office (known until now as Lily's Kitchen) to D1 (Therapy Clinic) on the following grounds: Loss of office Space and unsuitability of D1 Use being permitted in this private and enclosed residential area 39 College Crescent is an unusual development where one office unit is embedded inside a residential terrace of private family homes. For this reason the conversion of this office into a clinic is not feasible and in breach with the residents rights to quiet enjoyment of their homes. Furthermore, : Camden has limited office space per the Camden Local Plan, and per the Camden Local Plan Section E2, office space should not be converted to other use unless "a). the site or building is no longer suitable for its existing business use; and b). that the possibility of retaining, reusing or redeveloping the site or building for similar or alternative type and size of business use has been fully explored over an appropriate period of time." Neither of these tests have been met. The space has only been vacant for a short while. Other suitable office tenants could be found, and there is anecdotal evidence that other tenants could be interested in the space. This development was designed to be 6 family homes with a locked single entrance from College Crescent. Office Use (B1 use) is suitable with the employees of the business utilising the premise during working hours (typically 9.00am to 5.30pm) from a planning perspective but D1 Clinic use is not a suitable use because it will have a very detrimental on the recreational and amenity space for the communal front gardens with patents having access up 10.00pm in the evening. Please note that all of these house have extremely small rear gardens and the front area is a crucial amenity space for the existing residential unit.
				The applicant made grave errors in their application: The planning application says in secti

Printed on: 08/04/2019

09:10:02

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:
2019/1070/P	Jennifer Akgul	04/04/2019 18:10:08	OBJNOT

Response:

Dear Mr Benmbarek

There is one woman and possibly more that are attacking me in emails to you and on the Camden planning site and on social media. They are saying I am against people with mental health issues and "disgusting".

I just wanted to appeal to your good sense.

Regarding the change of use from B1 office to D1 clinic.

I would be opposed to any additional shop or clinic in 39 College Crescent that would bring additional foot traffic into the courtyard.

The proposed D1 use is inherently incompatible with a residential area. It would involve a lot more activity than the office use.

While no-one is prejudiced against people with mental health issues, it would not be appropriate for patients to be able to mix in an uncontrolled manner with residents' children in what is their only play area.

The proposed use is not intended to serve the local community; it is to be a relocation from Wimpole St. It could relocate anywhere over a wide area.

This is an opportunist application. It happens that the applicant owns the property, and he can doubtless save money by relocating here. However, that is not a reason for planning permission to be granted in the face of clear policy and local opposition within the development itself and on College Crescent.

I am not stigmatising anyone with mental health conditions. I have family with mental health issues. A close family member has schizophrenia and we love her very much. That said she can't look after my two young children if they are alone with her. That is only sensible.

I have been very hurt by these women attacking me. I have never been attacked before on social media and so it is a first and a learning experience.

But I just hope you can look at this issue with a level head.

Of course mental health provision is important and welcome in Camden.

Just not in this residential development with a small office.

If the applicant wants to move only administrative staff to the I

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1070/P	Zhigang Yuan	05/04/2019 10:59:53	OBJ	As a resident and Leaseholder ,39 College Crescent, I strongly object to the change of use of the office (known until now as Lily's Kitchen) to D1 (Therapy Clinic) on the following grounds: Loss of office Space and unsuitability of D1 Use being permitted in this private and enclosed residential area 39 College Crescent is an unusual development where one office unit is embedded inside a residential terrace of private family homes. For this reason the conversion of this office into a clinic is not feasible and in breach with the residents rights to quiet enjoyment of their homes. Furthermore, : Camden has limited office space per the Camden Local Plan, and per the Camden Local Plan Section E2, office space should not be converted to other use unless "a). the site or building is no longer suitable for its existing business use; and b). that the possibility of retaining, reusing or redeveloping the site or building for similar or alternative type and size of business use has been fully explored over an appropriate period of time." Neither of these tests have been met. The space has only been vacant for a short while. Other suitable office tenants could be found, and there is anecdotal evidence that other tenants could be interested in the space. This development was designed to be 6 family homes with a locked single entrance from College Crescent. Office Use (B1 use) is suitable with the employees of the business utilising the premise during working hours (typically 9.00am to 5.30pm) from a planning perspective but D1 Clinic use is not a suitable use because it will have a very detrimental on the recreational and amenity space for the communal front gardens with patents having access up 10.00pm in the evening. Please note that all of these house have extremely small rear gardens and the front area is a crucial amenity space for the existing residential unit.
				The applicant made grave errors in their application: The planning application says in secti

Printed on: 08/04/2019

09:10:02