


---



Dear Mr Benmbarek.

It seems that the Camden-Planning- Site is not working properly as I receive an error message whenever I attempt to log my objection against application 2019/1070/P.  
For this reason I am sending you my objection via email, so it is logged for your attention. I am very happy to meet with you at the premises to explain the problem in situ.  
Thank you very much for your attention in this matter.  
Kind regards,



Re: Planning Application 2019/1070/P

It has come to my attention that the Dr Mark Silvert has applied to change the use of the first floor of 39 College Crescent, NW3 5LB from office use (B1a) to a therapy clinic (Class D1).

As a resident and leaseholder of 39 College Crescent I am objecting to this change of use on the following grounds:

Firstly the planning applicant has entered **incorrect information into the planning application** as the proposed clinic has no direct pedestrian entrance way from the main road. Patients would need to enter our private residential courtyard and playground in order to access the clinic as the only pedestrian entryway into the building in question is on our internal courtyard.

Secondly, the residents of College Crescent count ten small children within the development itself and we are concerned that the introduction of a clinic for patients with psychiatric disorders into our community (where patients would mingle with our children in the courtyard) is inappropriate and potentially harmful to the patients and children and **poses a children's safeguarding issue**. Our courtyard is a private oasis where our children can play safely, protected from entering the busy street, which would be rendered impossible if patients were coming and going through the gate as well as waiting and congregating in the court yard whilst they are awaiting their appointments. This would most certainly happen as the **premises are too small** to sustain a business operation of this scale. As our children play in the courtyard it can be sometimes

quite noisy which would disturb the therapeutic process of the patients and would most certainly lead to **friction between the parties** as well.

Thirdly, College Crescent is a very busy road without parking facilities that is frequented by parents and children of UCS school, South Hampstead school, clients of the flower stall and large amounts of guests staying at Palmers Lodge Hostel. The introduction of an additional institution on College Crescent will **add to further congestion, foot traffic and illegal parking arrangements** on a road that already struggles to cope. 39 College Crescent was built as a residential development. The introduction of a commercial enterprise will **destroy the residential aspect of College Crescent**.

Fourthly, when the building in question was used as an office in the past there were only six office workers present. With the proposed scheme we would be confronted with hundreds of patients arriving and leaving the premises every week which will cause serious **disruption to our right to quiet enjoyment of our homes**.