

---

**From:** Garry Wall <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 01 April 2019 18:16  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Planning application number 2018/6048/P

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to submit my objection to the above application for planning permission. It is concerning in its duplicity insofar as the Application form, Design and Access Statement and Proposed Drawing are contradictory and less than upfront:

Item 10 on the application on 10/12/2018: Are there trees or hedges on land adjacent to the proposed development site that could influence the development or might be important as part of the local landscape character? The applicant answered no but there is in fact a large plane tree close to the building in the garden of the property applied for.

Item 18: Will the proposed development require the employment of any staff? Answer again 'NO'. Won't builders be required?

Item 22: Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land? Answer 'NO'. In fact, the site will be clearly visible from Dynham Road.

**On the Design and Access Statement:**

**Point 3.3** states: The effect of the proposal on the living conditions of the occupiers of neighbouring properties on Dynham Road with particular reference to privacy. It is considered that these issues have been addressed.

**Point 5.2** These measures will ensure that the existing privacy of neighbouring occupiers is safeguarded.

**Point 5.3** It is also proposed that a small balcony will be installed adjoining the extension with closely spaced railings to provide safety for the occupiers whilst safeguarding the amenity of neighbouring properties.

**Point 6.1** Furthermore, it is considered that the existing living arrangements for neighbouring occupiers would not be compromised because of this proposal as it would protect their outlook and visual privacy whilst ensuring there is no prospect of overlooking.

The above four statements contradict each other and a balcony will affect the privacy of the tenants of 21 Dynham Road whilst using the garden as this will directly overlook. There is no balcony included in the Proposed Drawing that was submitted and I am concerned this may be added when building works have started.

Thank you

Garry Wall  
[REDACTED]

ps: Please redact my details from this email if uploaded as a consultation response!