

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1113/P	T. Marton	27/03/2019 18:20:18	COMMNT	<p>The main grounds for objection are:</p> <p>The introduction of a habitable room into a rear garden would be harmful to the character of the conservation area and its gardens and contrary to Camden policies A3 6.37 and D2 7.45, 7.46, 7.53 and 7.54</p> <p>The proposal is for a building three times the size of the existing shed. With a footprint of 3.4 metres by 10 metres the proposed building is excessively large. It compares with a Camden maximum garden building size of 5 metres x 4 metres x 3 metres high.</p> <p>Glazing on the side and the rooflight will shine light into the rear garden tree corridor and have a harmful impact on biodiversity</p> <p>It is also too close to the boundary and likely to have a damaging effect on two trees (contrary to policy A3 6.74 and 6.75)</p> <p>It is likely that the "study" with toilet will be used as a self-contained dwelling and the design and access statement implies that the proposed building will have its own occupants</p> <p>No biodiversity enhancing measures have been set out (as required by policy A3 6.67 and 6.80)).</p>
