				Printed on:	27/03/2019	09:10:05
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:		
2019/1035/P	Lisa	26/03/2019 15:05:27	OBJ	I am writing this objection as, whilst this may appear to be a minor change, I think there comes a potential the disruption caused to those in the surrounding area outweighs the needs of one individual.	oint where	
				The rebuilding of what was already a very large house built less than 20 years ago, into an even large has meant nothing but disruption, dirt, dust, inconvenience and upset to the many neighbours surrouse. My own home is a fair distance along from 17 Branch Hill (next to Firecrest Drive) but we are constantly disturbed by the noise and dusty works that takes place 6 days a week.	ounding this	
				Parking bays are regularly suspended (this past week we lost 4 parking spaces for further works from into the property). That in itself, whilst a massive inconvenience for those of us who park there, at less communicated in advance. However what is not communicated are the constant lorries making delignated and throughout the entire day, all of which double park on the road in front of the narrow entrance property and block access and sight lines to the whole of Branch Hill. The residents of Branch Hill, Drive and Mansion Gardens then have to listen to the constant car horns beeping as all traffic is blownyself have had occasions when I can't move my car out of the parking bay as there is a lorry park alongside me unloading heavy bags of cement and other building materials. Whenever I or others a move we are met with verbal abuse and told to wait.	east is iveries from to the Firecrest ocked. I ed	
				Similarly I have concerns about the CCTV which appears to be trained directly onto the road outsid entrance to the property and therefore beyond the boundary of the household. This is a public high having the CCTV aimed onto the street is a breach of GDPR, as according to the legislation identification imagery is considered as personal data and as such requires the same considerations. Every time parks outside the entrance to the property (which	way and able	

				Printed on: 27/03/2019	09:10:05
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:	
2019/1035/P	Lisa	26/03/2019 15:05:37	OBJ	I am writing this objection as, whilst this may appear to be a minor change, I think there comes a point where the disruption caused to those in the surrounding area outweighs the needs of one individual.	
				The rebuilding of what was already a very large house built less than 20 years ago, into an even larger one has meant nothing but disruption, dirt, dust, inconvenience and upset to the many neighbours surrounding this house. My own home is a fair distance along from 17 Branch Hill (next to Firecrest Drive) but we are constantly disturbed by the noise and dusty works that takes place 6 days a week.	
				Parking bays are regularly suspended (this past week we lost 4 parking spaces for further works from utilities into the property). That in itself, whilst a massive inconvenience for those of us who park there, at least is communicated in advance. However what is not communicated are the constant lorries making deliveries from 8am throughout the entire day, all of which double park on the road in front of the narrow entrance to the property and block access and sight lines to the whole of Branch Hill. The residents of Branch Hill, Firecrest Drive and Mansion Gardens then have to listen to the constant car horns beeping as all traffic is blocked. I myself have had occasions when I can't move my car out of the parking bay as there is a lorry parked alongside me unloading heavy bags of cement and other building materials. Whenever I or others ask them to move we are met with verbal abuse and told to wait.	
				Similarly I have concerns about the CCTV which appears to be trained directly onto the road outside the entrance to the property and therefore beyond the boundary of the household. This is a public highway and having the CCTV aimed onto the street is a breach of GDPR, as according to the legislation identifiable imagery is considered as personal data and as such requires the same considerations. Every time anyone parks outside the entrance to the property (which	

				Printed on:	27/03/2019	09:10:05
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:		
2019/1035/P	Lisa	26/03/2019 15:04:08	OBJ	I am writing this objection as, whilst this may appear to be a minor change, I think there comes a pot the disruption caused to those in the surrounding area outweighs the needs of one individual.	oint where	
				The rebuilding of what was already a very large house built less than 20 years ago, into an even large has meant nothing but disruption, dirt, dust, inconvenience and upset to the many neighbours surrounce. My own home is a fair distance along from 17 Branch Hill (next to Firecrest Drive) but we are constantly disturbed by the noise and dusty works that takes place 6 days a week.	ounding this	
				Parking bays are regularly suspended (this past week we lost 4 parking spaces for further works from into the property). That in itself, whilst a massive inconvenience for those of us who park there, at less communicated in advance. However what is not communicated are the constant lorries making delignated throughout the entire day, all of which double park on the road in front of the narrow entrance property and block access and sight lines to the whole of Branch Hill. The residents of Branch Hill, Drive and Mansion Gardens then have to listen to the constant car horns beeping as all traffic is blownyself have had occasions when I can't move my car out of the parking bay as there is a lorry park alongside me unloading heavy bags of cement and other building materials. Whenever I or others a move we are met with verbal abuse and told to wait.	east is iveries from to the Firecrest ocked. I ed	
				Similarly I have concerns about the CCTV which appears to be trained directly onto the road outsid entrance to the property and therefore beyond the boundary of the household. This is a public high having the CCTV aimed onto the street is a breach of GDPR, as according to the legislation identification imagery is considered as personal data and as such requires the same considerations. Every time parks outside the entrance to the property (which	way and able	

					:10:05
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2019/1035/P	Lisa	26/03/2019 15:03:54	OBJ	I am writing this objection as, whilst this may appear to be a minor change, I think there comes a point where the disruption caused to those in the surrounding area outweighs the needs of one individual.	
				The rebuilding of what was already a very large house built less than 20 years ago, into an even larger one has meant nothing but disruption, dirt, dust, inconvenience and upset to the many neighbours surrounding this house. My own home is a fair distance along from 17 Branch Hill (next to Firecrest Drive) but we are constantly disturbed by the noise and dusty works that takes place 6 days a week.	
				Parking bays are regularly suspended (this past week we lost 4 parking spaces for further works from utilities into the property). That in itself, whilst a massive inconvenience for those of us who park there, at least is communicated in advance. However what is not communicated are the constant lorries making deliveries from 8am throughout the entire day, all of which double park on the road in front of the narrow entrance to the property and block access and sight lines to the whole of Branch Hill. The residents of Branch Hill, Firecrest Drive and Mansion Gardens then have to listen to the constant car horns beeping as all traffic is blocked. I myself have had occasions when I can't move my car out of the parking bay as there is a lorry parked alongside me unloading heavy bags of cement and other building materials. Whenever I or others ask them to move we are met with verbal abuse and told to wait.	
				Similarly I have concerns about the CCTV which appears to be trained directly onto the road outside the entrance to the property and therefore beyond the boundary of the household. This is a public highway and having the CCTV aimed onto the street is a breach of GDPR, as according to the legislation identifiable imagery is considered as personal data and as such requires the same considerations. Every time anyone parks outside the entrance to the property (which	

Total: 3