Dear Samir

I am writing once more, following the updated plans and rebuttal letter (19/23/2019) from the developers of 67-74 Saffron Hill, to discuss the impact on 60-66 Saffron Hill of the proposed development and expansion. The developer has done essentially nothing to change the huge impact on the outlook and the light of several apartments, including our own (5.8), in response to our previous objections and questions.

Not only has he failed to provide information about the positioning and size of air handling and other essential machinery that is likely to contribute additionally to the loss of outlook and light, but he has dismissed without proper analysis the idea that there would be loss of light or outlook. He simply claims, without facts, that the now "more accurate plans" suggest less intrusion. Hoping is not proving and there needs to be proper analysis to back up such illusory thinking. Moreover, the idea that inaccurate plans should ever have been submitted to a regulatory body is untenable.

In fact, he has been dismissive and mocking of the entire regulatory process by Camden Council, declaring that our outlook was already "marred" by his building and that since there are already lots of overly close buildings, his new extension will likely make little difference. Clearly, this approach is not the intention of your committee.

Yours sincerely

