

**From:** jim beggs [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 11 March 2019 12:12  
**To:** Meynell, Charlotte [REDACTED] Nick Bradfield  
**Subject:** 68 Highgate Road; Application 2018/5514/P

Personal comments on recent, late revisions and additions to the documents as follows -

Reject specific amendments as noted.

- Latest document revisions welcomed. However, all the more reason to regret the illegal start on site. - indicative of reckless attitude. ignorance of the law is no defense.
  - Amendments dated 10/03/2019 - I am not aware of any public notification by LBC of these - noticed by a resident only 'by accident' , or indeed of a revised latest date for comment - please ensure my comments below are published in appropriate location (original date for comments has long expired).
  - Documents cannot be read other than being supportive of unsanctioned work - problem of applicant/agent.
  - No remorse or apologies to Camden or public groups and individuals for time wasting.
  - Illegal work is disruptive to all concerned and will not be tolerated by the general public who give freely of their time. Our area deserves greater respect - please advise applicant/agent
- 
- Rear extension - reject - redevelopment represents overdevelopment with unacceptable reduction to the remaining small area of private open space. Social aspects of listed buildings - whether or not specifically referred to - requires a professional approach by architects and planners. Refer to Code of Conducts, if necessary.
  - LBC to decide on internal modifications - and to provide written reasons to all respondents prior to final decision.
  - Elsewhere in the submission, the applicant states that the condition of College Lane surfacing is not their concern - incorrect - Listed Building Applications are required to preserve and enhance the historic environment, College Lane is a valuable asset to the historic development of Highgate Road. The applicant acknowledges that the surface treatment is very poor, and even if not their financial liability, they should not refrain from advising LBC of it's poor condition. 'The Council will seek to secure high quality design in development' - LBC to evaluate and advise.
  - Materials should be.. sympathetic...LBC to comment on boundary wall to College Lane
  - LBC to approve roof slate details.
- 
- Despite the poor start, we trust this project can, with appropriate care and attention expressed in part by these late revisions, result in a worthwhile addition to our area.
  - Suggest the south side infill to No 66 facing Highgate Road and to rear courtyard, be capped with simple stone coping; Highgate Road elevation rendered and painted white to match ground floor west elevation

Jim Beggs RIBA

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.