BS5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations

Arboricultural Method Statement

Channing Junior School

(Hall)

Fairseat,

Highgate Hill,

N6 5JR

22 February 2019

Author: Jon Hartley BSc(Hons) MArborA

Table of Contents

If this report has been released electronically the appendices referred to herein can be found in the annexed zip folder/s as .pdf files. If this report has been released in hard copy the appendices will be bound into the back of this report. Plans are annexed separately as A0, A1, A2 or A3 as appropriate.

Introduction	3
General Information	5
Tree Survey	5
Arboricultural Impact Assessment	7
Arboricultural Method Statement	10
Tree Works	11
Protected Species (general informative for tree works)	14
Site Management	16
Prohibition	17
Sequencing of works	18
Protective Measures	19
Demolition	23
Construction	24
Services	27
Landscaping	29
Monitoring and Supervision	30
Appendix 1: Tree Survey Schedule	32
Appendix 2: Tree Protection Notice	39
Appendix 3: Contact Details	41
Document Production Record	42



Introduction

Arbtech Consulting Limited (Arbtech) received written instruction on 27th September 2017 from Channing School to attend Channing Junior School, Fairseat, Highgate Hill, N6 5JR (site) to undertake an arboricultural survey to BS5837:2012 guidance to assess trees, hedges and major shrub groups growing on and within influencing distance of the site and to produce a schedule of trees, tree constraints plan, arboricultural impact assessment, arboricultural method statement and tree protection plan.

Executive Summary

This report describes the extent upon individual trees and groups of trees within and adjacent to the Site represented by this proposed development plan.



Figure 1: Site location in the context of its immediate setting

Trees within the site were surveyed; using a methodology guided by British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations' ("BS5837").

Subsequently, this report has been produced, balancing the layout of the proposed development against the competing needs of trees. This report comprises all of the requisite elements of an arboricultural implications assessment, method statement and supporting plans.

Checklist for Submission to Local Planning Authority

Tree survey	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$
Tree constraints plan	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$
Arboricultural impact assessment	\boxtimes
Arboricultural method statement	
Tree protection plan	\boxtimes

This report and its appendices follow precisely the strategy for arboricultural appraisal intended to provide local planning authorities with evidence that trees have been properly considered throughout the development process.

It is the conclusion of this report that the overall quality and longevity of the amenity contribution provided for by the trees and groups of trees within and adjacent to the site will not be adversely affected as a result of the local planning authority consenting to the proposed development. It is considered that any issues raised in this report, or beyond the scope of it can be dealt with by planning conditions.

General Information

Client: Channing School

Site: Channing Junior School, Fairseat, Highgate Hill, N6 5JR.

Brief proposal description: Proposed rear ground floor extension to the main building.

Planning application reference: 2018/4925/P

Table 1: Documents referred to.

Document	Reference No.
Levels survey	343.36/PL001
Proposed site plan	343.36/PLA57/B
Temporary construction access off Highgate Hill Option 3	CA1272/CT005/A
British Standard 5837:2012	"BS5837"
Arboricultural Impact Assessment	Arbtech AIA 03
Tree Protection Plan	Arbtech TPP 03

Tree Survey

Survey: An arboricultural survey to BS5837 of all trees within impacting distance of the site was undertaken by Aran Nearn on 12th October 2017.

A total of 26no individual trees and 3no groups of trees were surveyed. Details for each of the trees surveyed are provided in the Schedule of Trees (see Appendix 1)



Table 2: Documents upon which this tree survey has been based

Document	Originator	Reference Number	Title
Levels survey	Prime Meridian Architect and structural engineers	343.36/PL001	Levels Survey

Limitations: The survey was made at ground level using visual observation only. Detailed examinations, such as climbing inspections and decay detection equipment were not employed, though may form part of the survey's management recommendations. Measurements were taken using specialist tapes, laser and GPS devices. Where this was not possible, measurements are estimated.

Scope: Pre-development tree surveys make arboricultural management recommendations based exclusively upon the individual tree or group of trees condition relative to their present context (*i.e. not in relation to the proposed development*).

Legal Status: No statutory protection check has been performed. BS5837 does not draw any distinction between trees subject to statutory protection, such as a Tree Preservation Order ("TPO"), and those trees without. This is principally because a detailed planning consent overrides any TPO protection. Consequently, we do not seek to offer any comparison between or infer any difference in the quality or importance of TPO trees and other trees.

* For more information on the surveyed trees please see Arbtech Consulting Ltd, Tree Survey Schedule (Appendix 1), Tree Survey Report and Tree Constraints Plan.

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Document	Originator	Reference Number	Title
Levels survey	Prime Meridian Architect and structural engineers	343.36/PL001	Levels Survey
Proposed site plan	Prime Meridian Architect and structural engineers	343.36/PLA57/B	Proposed site plan
Temporary construction access off Highgate Hill Option 3	Caneparo Associates	CA1272/CT005/A	Temporary construction access off Highgate Hill Option 3

Table 3: Documents upon which this assessment has been based

There are a number of issues that may need to be addressed in an arboricultural impact assessment between the trees and the proposed development, these are as follows:

- The effect and extent of the proposed development within the root protection areas (RPAs) of retained trees;
- The potential conflicts of the proposed development with canopies of retained trees; and
- The likelihood of any future remedial works to retained trees beyond which would have been scheduled as a part of usual management.

Tree	Tree Species Structure DDA (m ²)		Incu	rsion	
Number	Species	Structure RPA (m ²)		(m²)	(%)
	Pedestrian surface		13.5	6.4%	
1 Horse chestnut	Vehicular surface	228.1	4.7	2.1	
	Hall structure	structure		8.5	
2	Common vou	Pedestrian surface	00.4	1.1	1.3
3 Common yew	Vehicular surface	03.4	10.0	12.0	
6	Common lime	Pedestrian surface	162.9	9.7	6.0

Table 4: Impacts upon the RPAs of retained trees

It is accepted that hard surfacing within a trees RPA is acceptable if it is installed in such a way as to limit the impact to the underlying soil allowing it to remain a viable medium for root development. There exists a traditional bitumen vehicular hard surface in the RPA of trees 2 and 3, This will be replaced by a porous surface over a no-dig sub-base to improve the current levels of irrigation and aeration available to the RPA.

Installation of the hall will require excavation through the notional, circular RPA of tree 2. The prolonged use of the site and resultant multiple structures are likely to have limited root development in this direction, however, additional protected soil area will be maintained to the north and west of the existing to compensate for the loss of this section and maintain the prescribed RPA total area.

These impacts can be seen on the Arboricultural Impact Assessment drawing number Arbtech AIA 03.

Trees to be removed

The implementation of this phase of development requires the removal of 1no individual trees.

A breakdown of all tree removals can be seen at Table 8: Summary of Tree Works.

Table 5: Number of individual trees to be removed.

U	А	В	С
N/A	0	1	0

Table 6: Number of groups to be removed.

U	А	В	С
N/A	N/A	N/A	0

Canopy cover is ecologically important and the loss of canopy cover by these trees will be mitigated with planting within the development.

Arboricultural Method Statement

The purpose of this method statement is to demonstrate how any aspect of the development that has potential to result in loss or damage to a tree may be implemented and provide an adequate level of protection for those trees that are to be retained during the proposed works.

Details of key site personnel, including site / project manager will be submitted to the Council's Tree Officer prior to the commencement of site works.

This method statement is to be approved and agreed to in writing by all key personnel prior to the commencement of site works.

No site personnel are to be present and no demolition, site clearance, building work or delivery of materials is to occur until the protective measures are in accordance with this method statement and the Tree Protection Plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 03.

Protective measures should be in accordance with this method statement and the Tree Protection Plan; drawing number Arbtech TPP 03 will remain unaltered and in situ, unless otherwise specified, for the entire duration of the construction.

Document	Originator	Reference Number	Title
Levels survey	Prime Meridian Architect and structural engineers	343.36/PL001	Levels Survey
Proposed site plan	Prime Meridian Architect and structural engineers	343.36/PLA57/B	Proposed site plan
Temporary construction access off Highgate Hill Option 3	Caneparo Associates	CA1272/CT005/A	Temporary construction access off Highgate Hill Option 3



Tree Works

For reasons of public safety, all tree works referred to herein must be carried out prior to any site personnel commencing works or any building materials being delivered.

Table 8: Summary of Tree Works

No.	Species	Works	Category
G1, G3, 3-6, 9- 13, 25 & 26	Various	Various works already approved under previous scheme	Various
1	Pittosporum	Fell tres to ground level; remove stump	B1



Notes

All tree work is to be undertaken in accordance with British Standard BS 3998:2010, Recommendations for tree work. All arising's are to be removed and the site is to be left as found. Care is to be taken of the ground around retained trees to make sure that it does not become compacted as a result of tree surgery operations. No equipment or vehicles such as timber lorries, tractors, excavators or cranes shall be parked or driven beneath the crowns of any retained trees, to prevent subsequent compaction and root death.

Tree removal

A tree should be felled in one piece only when there is no significant risk of damage to people, property or protected species (see Annex A).

Where restrictions (e.g. lack of space, buildings, other features, land ownership or use, or other trees which are to be retained) cannot be overcome, trees should be dismantled in sections.

This also applies where a tall stump is being retained but where branches are to be removed/pruned.

Extensively decayed trees can be unpredictable when they are being felled, and special precautions should therefore be taken, such as the use of a winch to guide the direction of fall.

Stump removal – stump grinding

Stump grinding should be to a minimum of 300mm deep or to extend through the base of the stump leaving the major roots disconnected if the intention is to reduce the potential for the spread of Honey fungus.

The grinding residue should be treated as arising's and removed from site.

NOTE Mechanical destruction of a stump by stump grinding is less disruptive to the site than digging out.

The hole left by stump removal, should be filled with soil or other material. The filling should be appropriate for future site usage, and for any surface treatment that is to be installed.

Where future plant growth is desired, the backfill material should be firmed in 150 mm layers by treading, avoiding excessive compaction and destruction of the soil structure.



After stump removal

The hole left by stump removal, whether by digging out or grinding, should be filled with soil or other material. The filling should be appropriate for future site usage and for any surface treatment that is to be installed.

Where future plant growth is desired, the back-fill material should be firmed in 150mm layers by treading, avoiding excessive compaction and destruction of the soil structure.



Protected Species (general informative for tree works)

British fauna enjoys a level of statutory protection. Undertaking tree work can impact upon some species directly. Bats and nesting birds should be considered when undertaking tree works. The below information is not intended to be exhaustive but gives a brief overview of the protection afforded to these two groups of animals.

Conservation Status of British Bats

The general consensus in Britain and Europe is that virtually all bat species are declining and vulnerable. Our understanding of population status is poor as there is very little historical data for most bat species. Certain species, such as the horseshoe bats, are better understood and have well documented contractions in range and population size.

Given this general picture of decline in UK Government within the UK Biodiversity Action Plan has designated five species of bats as priority species (greater and lesser horseshoe bats, barbastelle, Bechstein's and pipistrelle). These plans provide an action pathway whereby the maintenance and restoration of the former populations levels are investigated.

Legal Status of British Bats

Given the above position all British bats as well as their breeding sites and resting places enjoy national and international protection.

All bat species in the UK are fully protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) through inclusion in Schedule 5. All bats are also listed on Annex IV (and some on Annex II) of the EC Habitats Directive giving further, European protection. Taken together the act and Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2012 (as amended)* make it an offence to; intentionally or deliberately kill, injure or capture (take) bats;

- Deliberately disturb bats (whether in a roost or not);
- Damage, destroy or obstruct access to bat roosts;
- Possess or transport a bat or any part of a bat, unless acquired legally;
- Sell, barter or exchange bats, or parts of bats

The legislation although not strictly affording protection to foraging grounds does protect roost sites. Bat roosts are protected at all times of the year whether or not bats are present. Any disturbance of a roost due to development must be licenced.

*the regulations that delivered by the UK's commitments to the Habitats Directive.



Breeding birds

All nesting birds are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (as amended) 1981, which makes it an offence to intentionally kill, injure or take any wild bird or take, damage or destroy its nest whilst in use or being built, or take or destroy its eggs. Furthermore, a number of birds enjoy further protection under that Act and are listed on Schedule 1 of the Act. These further protected birds are also protected from disturbance and it may be necessary to operate "no-go" buffer zones around such nests – typically out to 100m.

Planning policy guidance on the treatment of species identified as priorities under the biodiversity action programme suggests that local authorities should take measures to protect the habitats of these species from further decline through policies in local development documents and should ensure that they are protected from the adverse effects of development, where appropriate, by using planning conditions or obligations. The conservation of these species should be promoted through the incorporation of beneficial biodiversity designs within developments.



Site Management

The site manager will be responsible for briefing and inducting all personnel who will be working on any stage of this development and especially those who will be working within or adjacent to the canopies or RPAs of retained trees; and will make them aware of, and provide a copy of this method statement and tree protection plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 03; this is to include but not exclusively the movement and or operation of plant, excavations, unloading deliveries, mixing and or pouring of cement and concrete.

The site manager will be responsible for the day to day running and protection of all retained trees and for liaising with the project arborist about any tree related matters and prior to any works that may or will affect the RPAs or canopies of retained trees; this is to include but not exclusively the movement and or operation of plant, excavations, unloading deliveries, mixing, pouring and storage of all caustic materials that may cause harm to retained trees.

Any incidents of damage to retained trees or of tree protection measures will be documented by the site manager who will then report these incidents to the project arboriculturist immediately and make sure that works within this area cease until the project arborist has had an opportunity to inspect the damage and where appropriate, agree a mitigation plan with the local planning authority tree officer.

The site manager may designate another person to take charge of briefing and inducting process of new site personnel or visitors in his absence.

If the site manager is replaced or is absent from site for more than three consecutive working days the project arborist will be informed and a pre-start meeting will be held with the new or acting site manager.

It is the responsibility of the site manager to ensure that the planning conditions attached to the planning consent are adhered to at all times and that a monitoring regime and supervision of any works within or adjacent to the RPAs are adopted.

If at any time pruning works are required other than those previously approved, permission must be sought from the LPA tree officer and once permission is granted they are to be carried out by a suitably qualified person in accordance with BS3998:2010 Tree work – Recommendations.



Prohibition

- Mechanical digging or scraping is not permitted within a defined root protection area or within areas cordoned off by protective barrier fencing.
- No access will be permitted within the protected areas;
- No materials, equipment or debris will be stored within any of the fenced areas, or against the fencing;
- Fires are not permitted within 10m of any vegetation.
- Leaning objects against or attaching of objects to a tree is not permitted.
- Machinery, plant and vehicles are not permitted to be washed down within 10m of vegetation.
- Chemicals and materials are not to be transported, stored, used or mixed within a root protection area or within areas cordoned off by protective barrier fencing.
- Cement silos, mixing site to be situated within a bunded area to prevent pillage/leaking of chemicals harmful to trees. These areas are to be sited well clear of protected trees.
- Refuelling of plant or machinery is prohibited within 10m of the construction exclusion zones.
- It is essential that allowance should be made for the slope of the ground so that damaging materials such as concrete washings, mortar or diesel oil cannot run towards trees.
- Where machinery is to be used within 5m of retained tree canopies a banks man will be required at all times whilst setting up, moving or operating within this distance of retained trees canopies.
- Storage of all caustic material and chemicals are to be situated well clear of protected areas and preferably on lower ground if slopes are present, or to be situated within a bonded area to prevent any spills or leaks entering the ground.



Sequencing of works

A logical sequence of events is to be observed and shall be phased as follows.

Table 9:	Sequence	of	Events
----------	----------	----	--------

Stage	Event
Stage 1	Pre-commencement site meeting
Stage 2	Carry out tree works as specified within the summary of tree works
Stage 3	Installation of protective measures in accordance with the approved tree protection plan
Stage 4	Site set up
Stage 5	Undertake and complete construction works
Stage 6	Removal of all machinery from site.
Stage 7	Dismantle and removal of protective measures
Stage 8	Undertake landscaping works outside of the construction exclusion zones
Stage 9	Removal of all machinery and materials form site
Stage 10	Dismantle and remove protective measures
Stage 11	Undertake landscaping works within the construction exclusion zones
Stage 12	Sign off from project arboriculturist



Protective Measures

Protective measures are to be installed immediately following the completion of the tree works and are to be sited and aligned in accordance with the tree protection plan (Arbtech TPP 03) prior to the commencement of any works or the introduction of any machinery or material to site.

Upon installation of the protective measures around the retained trees the project arboriculturist will visit the site to inspect and document the position and specifications of the protective measures.

In the event that the protective measures and their positions do not comply with this arboricultural method statement document number Arbtech AMS 03 (**22 February 2019**) and tree protection plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 03, the project arboriculturist shall inform the client and fencing contractor so adjustments can be made.

When the protective measures comply with document number Arbtech AMS 03 (22 **February 2019**) and tree protection plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 03, the project arboriculturist will sign off the protective measures in writing to the client and will send a copy to the fencing contractor, site agent and local authority tree officer.

If the protective measures become damaged or there is any accident or emergencies involving trees, these areas are to be cordoned off immediately with high visibility plastic mesh fencing. The site agent is to photograph and document the damage and inform the project arboriculturist immediately after the incident and all work within in this area is to cease until the project arboriculturist has made a visit to the site. Any and all damaged sections of protective measures shall be replaced within 48 hours of the initial incident.

The protected area is sacrosanct and will not be invaded by the storage of materials, mixing of concrete or other products, accessed by machinery, equipment or pedestrians or in any other way disturbed by construction activity.

The protective measures will remain in place until the completion of stage 9 (see **Sequencing of Works**), there after they will be carefully dismantled only with the agreement of the project arboriculturist and or the local authority tree officer.

The existing site boundary measures are to be retained for the duration of the development. If for any reason the existing boundary measures are not to be used protective barrier fencing is to be installed along the line of the boundaries and is only to be removed upon the written permission of the project arboriculturist or LPA tree officer upon the completion of the development or immediately prior to the installation of the permanent boundary measures.

Arbtech Consulting Ltd 5678552 GB903660148 Directors: R. M. Oates Unit 3 Well House Barn, Chester Road, Chester, CH4 0DH Tel. 01244 661170 Web. <u>www.arbtech.co.uk</u>



No equipment, vehicles or plant shall operate beyond the tree protection fencing. Booms, hoists and rigs should be kept as far away from the canopies of retained trees at all times. Where it is necessary to operate within 5m of a tree canopy, it will be done with the utmost caution and under the control of a banks man. Damage to trees will be considered a breach of this tree protection plan, which in turn could be a breach of planning permission.

Protective Barrier Fencing

Protective barrier fencing should be appropriate for the intensity and proximity of the development to protect trees where development activity is in close proximity.

<u>Default specification</u>: To comprise either 2.4m wooden site hoarding; or a 2.3m high scaffold framework, well braced to resist impacts, with uprights to be spaced at a maximum of 3.0m intervals and driven into the ground by a minimum of 600mm. On to this, standard anti-climb welded mesh panels are to be securely fixed to each other with at least two scaffold clamps and to the scaffold frame work with wire.



<u>Secondary specification</u>: To comprise of 2m tall welded mesh panels on rubber or concrete feet. Panels are to be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers, installed so that they can only be removed from inside the fence. The panels

should be supported on the inner side by stabiliser struts, which should be attached to a base plate and secured with ground pins.



Signage denoting the words "*tree protection area*" at 5.0m intervals should be fixed to the protective barrier fencing (**See Appendix 2**).

Protective fencing is to be removed ONLY with the written permission of the arboricultural consultant and approval of the local planning authority (LPA).



Ground boarding

Where it is determined by the project engineer that the any hard surfacing is not adequate protection from any expected loading, ground boarding is to be installed to the engineer's specification on top of the hard surfacing within the root protection areas of retained trees.

New temporary ground protection should be capable of supporting any traffic entering or using the site without being distorted or causing compaction of underlying soil.

Where machinery will be stored or used from the ground boarding within the RPAs of the retained trees an impervious barrier and or bunding to prevent oils, fuel or chemicals is to be installed to prevent leaching into the soil within or adjacent to the RPAs.

Note The ground protection might comprise of one of the following:

- a) for pedestrian movements only, a single thickness of scaffold boards placed either on top of a driven scaffold frame, as to form a suspended walkway, or on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 100mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;
- b) for pedestrian-operated plant up to a gross weight of 2t, proprietary inter-linked ground protection boards placed on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 150mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;
- c) for wheeled or tracked construction traffic exceeding 2t gross weight, an
 alternative system (e.g. proprietary system or pre-cast reinforced concrete slabs)
 to an engineering specification designed in conjunction with arboricultural advice,
 to accommodate the likely loading to which it will be subjected.

For any situations other than those described in a) or b) (as above), the ground boarding is to be designed by a suitably qualified person to an engineering specification in conjunction with arboricultural advice, to be suitable of supporting the expected loading to be placed upon it.

In all cases, the objective of the ground boarding is to avoid compaction of the soil beneath, so that tree root functions remain unimpaired.



Demolition

Prior to the demolition of the existing site features, all tree works are to have been completed, tree protection measures are to be in place as per Arbtech Consulting Ltd. tree protection plan document number Arbtech TPP 03 and have been signed off and a copy of the demolition method statement has been submitted and approved by the project arboriculturist and LPA tree officer, to ensure that there is no conflict with this method statement.

All demolition work within or immediately adjacent to RPAs or canopies of retained trees is to be undertaken under the direct on-site supervision of an arboriculturist.

Structures / Buildings / Walls – Trees 2 and 3

Demolition of the existing landscape structures beneath the canopy and within the RPA retained tree 3 is to be undertaken carefully under arboricultural supervision.

The structures are to be taken down so that all debris and materials are to fall outside of the RPAs and away from the canopies of all retained trees.

Foundations within and adjacent to the RPAs of retained trees are to be left in situ where ever possible. Where this is not possible demolition of the existing foundations are to be undertaken to the minimum depth required to allow for the installation of the new soft and hard landscaping.

The removal of the existing foundations within the RPA of retained trees are to be undertaken using a hand-held pneumatic breaker, hand tools and wheel barrows to break up and remove the debris out of the RPA. In some situations, and only at the discretion of the arborist it may be possibly to use an excavator using a hydraulic breaker and a suitably sized toothless grading bucket.

It may be permitted by the project arboriculturalist for an excavator to undertake the demolition and removal of the foundation, but it must be situated outside of the RPA, on top of the hard surfacing working away from the RPAs or from suitable ground boarding capable of handling the expected loading.

If it is likely that there will be any soil collapse, or the trench begins to collapse within the RPAs of retained trees which will lead to the loss of rooting environment, excavations are to be stopped immediately and the trench is to be shored up to prevent further soil collapse.

Where the removal of foundations occurs within the RPAs of retained trees these voids are to be back filled with clean top soil.

Arbtech Consulting Ltd 5678552 GB903660148 Directors: R. M. Oates Unit 3 Well House Barn, Chester Road, Chester, CH4 0DH Tel. 01244 661170 Web. <u>www.arbtech.co.uk</u>



Hard Surfacing - Trees 2 and 3

Where it is required for hard surfacing is to be removed and or re-surfaced within the RPAs of retained trees it is to be undertaken under direct on-site arboricultural supervision, during the landscaping phase of the development.

The wearing course will be broken up using a hand-held pneumatic breaker, hand tools and wheel barrows to break up and remove the surfacing. Where is necessary to remove the sub base this is to be undertaken using a fork to loosen the material and moved using shovels and wheel barrows.

In some situations, and at the discretion of the arborist it may be possibly to use an excavator using a hydraulic breaker and a suitably sized toothless grading bucket. If an excavator is to be used it must be situated outside of the RPAs, on top of the hard surfacing working away from the RPAs or from ground boarding.

Whichever system is used there is to be **NO** disturbance of the soil beneath. If roots are found they are to be covered over with damp hessian and a layer of either sharp sand, wood chip or top soil will be applied as soon as practicably possible to prevent desiccation.

Existing Underground Services

Existing services within the site should be retained where ever possible. Where existing services within RPAs require upgrading, the upmost care must be taken to minimise disturbance, and where feasible trenchless techniques are to be employed, and only where necessary should open excavations be considered.

Construction

Prior to the construction of the proposed development scheme, a copy of the construction method statement should have been submitted and approved by the project arboriculturist and LPA tree officer, to ensure that there is no conflict with this method statement.

All excavations and construction work within or immediately adjacent to RPAs or canopies of retained trees is to be undertaken under the direct on-site supervision of an arboriculturist.

Installation of Hall structure

The proposed Hall has been designed to be situated almost entirely outside of the RPAs of all retained trees with the exception for a minor incursion within the RPA of tree 2.



Table 10: Impacts upon RPAs of retained trees – Subterranean construction

Tree No.	Species	RPA (m²)	Incursion (%)
2	Horse chestnut	228.1	8.5%

Prior to the excavation/installation the Hall, a temporary retaining system (TRS) will be installed along the outside line of the footprint to retain the surrounding soil and to remove the need for any over dig.

Within the RPA of tree no.2 excavations will be undertaken along the line of the TRS, these excavations will be undertaken manually under arboricultural supervision (see Manual excavation).

Temporary Retaining System (TRS)

Prior to the excavations for the lower ground floor a TRS (sheet piling or similar) is to be installed along the <u>blue</u> hatched line (see drawing No. Arbtech TPP 03) to retain the surrounding rooting environment and remove the need for any over dig.

The type of TRS and its nature of being temporary or permanent are to be confirmed by the project engineer but the TRS is to be capable of retaining the retained rooting environment during the excavation and construction phases.

The installation of the TRS is to be undertaken prior to the removal of the driveway. A strip of the concrete driveway along the line of the TRS will be removed wide enough to be able to undertake manual excavation down to a depth of 1000mm, during this excavation the roots exposed during the trial excavations will be severed cleanly by the project arboriculturist.

The excavation of the lower ground floor in this area is to be undertaken using the methodology of supervised excavation, under direct on-site arboricultural supervision to a minimum depth of 1000mm or to a depth that will be determined by the project arboriculturist whilst on site.

Concrete within RPAs

Prior to concrete being poured within or immediately adjacent to the RPAs of retained trees the excavation is to be lined and sealed to prevent any leaching of the concrete into the soil and causing desiccation of retained roots by concrete run off.



Manual excavation

Excavation within RPAs will be undertaken by hand under direct on-site arboricultural supervision of the required depth of the foundation; Or to a minimum of 600mm deep of any excavation, whether for proposed foundations, hard surfacing or underground services. The total depth of the manual excavation will be determined by the arboriculturist whilst on site.

The soil is to be loosened with the aid of a fork or pick axe and then cleared with the aid of an Air-spade, Air-vac and or shovel. Any roots found will be cleanly severed by the arboricultural consultant with either a hand saw or secateurs.

Any roots found with a diameter of less than 25mm shall be cleanly severed by the arboricultural consultant. Any roots of 25mm and above shall be excavated around without damaging them; the arboricultural consultant shall decide if it's feasible or necessary to retain the root, if not it shall be severed.

The edge of the excavation closest to the trees will be covered with damp hessian to prevent soil collapse or contamination by concrete.

Soil beneath the depth may be sheet piled, regular piled or excavated deeper. Machinery may be used for this providing that it is situated outside of the RPA or has appropriate ground protection in place to move around on and work upon.



Services

Detailed drawings of proposed underground services are not available at this time; hence it is not possible to identify any specific potential impacts associated with the scheme at this stage.

Existing services within the site should be retained where ever possible. Where existing services within RPAs require upgrading, the upmost care must be taken to minimise disturbance, and where feasible trenchless techniques are to be employed, and only where necessary should open excavations be considered.

Where new services are to be introduced into the site they should be located outside of RPAs, where they will not interfere with tree roots. If any excavations are required within the RPAs all trenches are to be excavated by hand and radially to the tree trunks under direct on-site arboricultural supervision and are to be carried out under NJUG guidelines.

Final positions of any proposed services should be verified and approved by the arboricultural consultant and local authority tree officer before implementation.

New Underground services

Trenching for installation of underground services and drainage routes could sever any roots that may be present and as such adversely affects the health of the tree. For this reason, particular care should be taken in routing and methods of installation of all underground services. All underground services and drainage routes should be located so that no excavations are required within RPAs.

Where it has been impossible to keep underground services from passing through RPAs or within close proximity to trees, these sections are to be installed in one of three ways in accordance with the guidance set out in National Joint Utilities Group guidelines (NJUG 4), under on site arboricultural supervision.

Trenchless Techniques

There are three main types of trenchless techniques, these include, guided and unguided boring and pipe replacement by lining or bursting. These allow for the installation, maintenance or renewal of underground services, without the disturbance of soil in which roots are likely to be growing. Starting and receiving pits for the boring machinery are to be located outside of the RPAs of any retained trees, with the bore depth being maintained at a minimum depth of 600mm below the existing ground level. Techniques involving external lubrication of the equipment shall use no material other than water as other lubricants could contaminate the soil (e.g. oil, bentonite, etc.).



Manual Excavation

Excavation within RPAs will be undertaken by hand under direct on-site arboricultural supervision of the required depth of the foundation; Or to a minimum of 600mm deep of any excavation, whether for proposed foundations, hard surfacing or underground services. The total depth of the manual excavation will be determined by the arboriculturist whilst on site.

The soil is to be loosened with the aid of a fork or pick axe and then cleared with the aid of an Air-spade, Air-vac and or shovel. Any roots found will be cleanly severed by the arboricultural consultant with either a hand saw or secateurs.

Any roots found with a diameter of less than 25mm shall be cleanly severed by the arboricultural consultant. Any roots of 25mm and above shall be excavated around without damaging them; the arboricultural consultant shall decide if it's feasible or necessary to retain the root, if not it shall be severed.

The edge of the excavation closest to the trees will be covered with damp hessian to prevent soil collapse or contamination by concrete.

Soil beneath the depth may be sheet piled, regular piled or excavated deeper. Machinery may be used for this providing that it is situated outside of the RPA or has appropriate ground protection in place to move around on and work upon.

Broken Trench – Hand Dug

This technique combines both trenchless techniques and manual excavation where excavation is unavoidable. Excavations should be limited to where there is clear access around and below the roots. All trenches shall be excavated by hand with the same precautions taken as for manual excavation. Open section of trench should only be large enough to allow access for linking to the next section.



Landscaping

Landscaping around retained trees may only be carried out once all tree protection measures have been removed (planting, turfing, fencing etc.).

All excavations within the Root Protection Areas shall be undertaken by hand and without reducing current ground levels unless it is agreed in writing with the LPA. At no time is the use of a rotavator permitted within the RPAs of retained tree.

Any tree roots discovered will be left in-situ and shall not be cut or otherwise damaged. Where possible, the soil structure within the Root Protection area shall be preserved.

No works will be carried out within the RPAs of any trees if the soil moisture is of such a level that soil compaction may be likely. Should the soil become compacted or has poor structure which would hinder the development of the existing trees and plants or any new plantings the arboriculturist should be consulted about soil decompaction techniques.



Monitoring and Supervision

Where trees have been identified within this method statement and tree protection plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 03 for retention, there should be an auditable system of arboricultural monitoring. This is to extend to arboricultural supervision whenever demolition or construction activity is to take place within or adjacent to any canopy or RPA.

The development's tree protection measures are to be monitored and all demolition and construction works to be undertaken within or adjacent to the RPAs of retained trees are to be supervised by project arboriculturist, who should be retained to record and report observations to the council at appropriate intervals.

Pre-commencement site meeting

Prior to the commencement of any works or machinery and materials arriving on site a pre-commencement site meeting involving the project arborist, land owner or agent, site manager, contractors and engineer (as appropriate) and the relevant LPA officers will be held to ensure that all aspects of the arboricultural method statement and tree protection are understood and for all parties to swap contact details (see **Appendix 3**).

Monitoring and supervision schedule

The initial monitoring visit will be to check that the tree protective measures are in the correct location and as specified within the approved method statement; if so to sign off their installation.

There after monitoring visits are to take place at regular intervals, to ensure that tree protection measures are in place and are functioning as designed or whenever necessary to undertake works to be carried out under arboricultural supervision. The frequency of the monitoring visits is to be determined with the LPA tree officer at the pre-commencement site meeting.

A record of all arboricultural monitoring and supervision visits will be kept and any faults will be logged, this will then be copied to the site agent, developer and local planning authority in a digital format.

If during the course of the development it is necessary for areas to be re-designed so that they would require changes to the approved arboricultural method statement or tree protection plan and so affecting retained trees the project arborist and LPA tree officer will be invited to attend a site meeting with all relevant parties. Prior to any changes being implemented these must have been approved in writing by the LPA tree officer.



Supervision

The arboricultural consultant will be required to attend site to directly supervise all demolition and construction works that are to be undertaken within or adjacent to the RPAs of all retained trees and will be advised a minimum of 72 hours prior to the commencement of any works that require his attendance, these will include:

- 1. Pre-start meeting.
- 2. Location of protective measures.
- 3. Supervised demolition of hard surfacing, kerb edging and foundations etc. within RPAs of tree nos. 2 & 3.
- 4. Installation of 'No Dig' hard vehicular surfacing within the RPAs of trees 2 & 3.
- 5. Installation of 'No Dig' hard pedestrian surfacing within the RPAs of trees 2, 3, 6 & G3.
- 6. Any excavations within or adjacent to RPAs, including foundations, hard surfacing or underground services.
- 7. Removal of protective measures.

Completion meeting

Once all construction works have been completed all materials and machinery has been removed from site the project arborist shall be informed and will invite the LPA tree officer to meet on site to discuss the process and discuss any final remedial works that may be required and to sign the development off so that the protective measures may be removed.

Appendix 1: Tree Survey Schedule

Arbtech Consulting Limited

Client: Channing School Project: Channing Junior School Survey Date: 12/10/2017 Surveyor: Aran Nearn

ARBTECH

Unit 3 Well House Barns Chester Cheshire CH4 0DH Phone: 01244661170

Tree and Tag No			9	Stems		Crov	vn		RP			Preliminary Pecommendations		endations	Cat	
Species		Hght (m)	No	(n	Ø Spre nm) (m	ad)	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	on Condition	iral ion		Survey Comm	ent	ERC
G1															Estimated M	leasurements
A Group		5	1	90	Ν	1.5	1	SM	A: 3.7	Good	C: Fair					C.1.2
See comments for details					Е	1.5	1	L	R: 1.08		S: Fair		Rockery an	oun: species include sumac	h elder and aucuba:	10 to 20
					S	1.5	1	L			B: Fair		stem and c	crown dimensions recorded	are the largest	yrs
					W	1.5	1	L					represente	d within the group.		
G2															Estimated M	leasurements
A Group		4	1	100) N	1.5	() SM	A: 4.5	Fair	C: Fair					C.1.2
See comments for details					E	2	C)	R: 1.19		S: Fair		Linear bou	ndany aroun: arows from: a	ar nark odgo: notontial	10 to 20
					S	2	C)			B: Fair		compaction	n from vehicle access over r	oot plates; species	yrs
					W	2	C)					include eld	ler, lilac, holly, cherry laurel,	ash and beech; stem	
													and crown	dimensions recorded are th	e largest represented	
													within the	group.		
G3															Estimated M	leasurements
A Group		5	1	130) N	2	() SM	A: 7.6	Good	C: Fair					C.1.2
See comments for details					E	2	()	R: 1.55		S: Fair		Understore	ey shrub group, species inclu	ude holly, yew, buddleia	20 to 40
					S	2	()			B: Fair		and elder;	stem and crown dimension	s recorded are the	yrs
					W	2	()					largest rep	resented within the group.		
1																
Pittosporum		8	5	200) (Eq) N	4	3	8 M	A: 18.1	Good	C: Good					B.1
Pittosporum tenuifolium					E	4	3	3	R: 2.4		S: Fair		Grows from	n planted bed; multi-stemm	ed from base; up to	20 to 40
					S	4	3	3			B: Fair		200mm of	included bark at several tig	ht compression forks	yrs
					W	4	3	3					throughout diameter u	t crown; previously crown li p to 100mm.	fted to 3m, wound	
Age Classifications:	N	Newly plant	ted	EM	Early Mature	9		Condi	tion:	C Crown		Sten	ns: 🤇	Ø Diameter		
	Υ	Young		М	Mature					S Stem			(E	Eq) Equivalent stem diame	ter using BS5837:2012 de	efinition
	SM	Semi-matur	re	OM	Over Mature	•				B Basal are	ea					
Darra 1										Tues Alivedau					44 5	

Tree and Tag No		U-LL		Stem	5		Crow	n		RP	Dhave	Charlestowe	Preliminary Recommendations	
Species		Hght (m)	No		Ø	Sprea	ad	Clear	Age	A (m ²)	Condition	Structural Condition	Survey Comment	ERC
				(I	nm)	(m))	(m)		K (III)				
2														
Common Horse Chestnut		18	1	71	0	N	6	7	М	A: 228.1	Good	C: Good		B.1
Aesculus hippocastanum						E	6	7		R: 8.52		S: Good	Grows from fenced off area; potential compaction from vehicle	20 to 40
						S	6	7				B: Fair	access over root plate; previously crown reduced away from	yrs
						W	6	7					neighbouring building, wound diameter up to 100mm.	
3														
Common Yew		15	2	42	9 (Eq)	Ν	4	3	М	A: 83.4	Good	C: Fair		B.1
Taxus baccata						Е	4.5	3		R: 5.15		S: Good	Grows from forced off area: two co-dominant stoms from 1m;	20 to 40
						S	3	3				B: Good	asymmetrical crown distribution due to suppression from	yrs
						W	3	3					neighbouring trees.	
4														
Common Yew		12	2	41	9 (Eq)	Ν	2	3	М	A: 79.3	Good	C: Fair		B.1
Taxus baccata						Е	4	3		R: 5.02		S: Good	Crows from forced off areas two co dominant stores from 1m	20 to 40
						S	4	3				B: Good	asymmetrical crown distribution due to suppression from	yrs
						W	3	3					neighbouring trees.	
5														
Common Yew		8	2	41	9 (Eq)	Ν	3	1	М	A: 79.3	Good	C: Fair		B.1
Taxus baccata						Е	4	1		R: 5.02		S: Fair	Grows from forced off area: two co-dominant stoms from 1m;	20 to 40
						S	4	1				B: Good	stem leans at 20 from vertical to northeast; asymmetrical	yrs
						W	3	1					crown distribution due to suppression from neighbouring	
													trees; climber throughout crown.	
6													Estimated Me	easurements
Common Lime		20	1	60	0	Ν	5	4	М	A: 162.9	Good	C: Fair		B.1
Tilia europaea						Е	4	4		R: 7.2		S: Good	Off-site tree: grows from neighbouring land: base not visible	20 to 40
						S	3	4				B: Not Visible	over boundary fence; stem diameter estimated from site.	yrs
						W	3	4						
7													Estimated Me	easurements
Common Lime		18	1	60	0	Ν	5	4	М	A: 162.9	Good	C: Fair		B.1
Tilia europaea						Е	4	4		R: 7.2		S: Good	Off-site tree: grows from neighbouring land; base not visible	20 to 40
						S	3	4				B: Not Visible	over boundary fence; stem diameter estimated from site.	yrs
						W	3	4						
Age Classifications:	N	Newly plant	ed	EM	Early I	Mature		C	Cond	ition: (Crown	Ster	ms: Ø Diameter	c
	Y	Young Somi motur	~	M	Mature	Aoture					Basel are		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 de	TINITION
		Jenn-matur	6	OW	Overli	viature				Ľ		a		

Tree and Tag No		5	Stems	5	(Crow	n		RP		<u>.</u>		Preliminary Peronmendations	<u>.</u> .	
Species		Hght (m)	No	(r	Ø nm)	Sprea (m)	nd	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Conditio	n n	Survey Comment	ERC
8															
Holm Oak		16	1	60	0	Ν	8	4	М	A: 162.9	Good	C: Fair			B.1
Quercus ilex						Е	9	4		R: 7.2		S: Good		rows from forced off areas two so dominant stome from any	20 to 40
						S	4	4				B: Good	as	symmetrical crown distribution due to suppression from	yrs
						W	3	4					ne	eighbouring trees.	
9															
Norway Maple		7	1	34(0	Ν	3.5	2.5	SM	A: 52.3	Good	C: Good			B.1
Acer platanoides						Е	4	3		R: 4.08		S: Fair	G	rows from verge on edge of track; potential compaction from	20 to 40
						S	4	3				B: Fair	Ve	chicle access over root plate; previously crown lifted to 3m,	yrs
						W	4	3					W	ound diameter up to 100mm.	
10															
Common Ash		6	1	18	0	Ν	3	3	SM	A: 14.7	Fair	C: Good			B.1.2
Fraxinus excelsior						Е	3	3		R: 2.16		S: Good	G	rows from hedge block, in close proximity to boundary wall;	20 to 40
						S	4	3				B: Fair	di	ieback in lower crown.	yrs
						W	4	3							
11															
Common Ash		8	1	270	0	Ν	4.5	3	SM	A: 33	Good	C: Fair			B.1.2
Fraxinus excelsior						E	5	3		R: 3.24		S: Good	G	rows in close proximity to track; potential compaction from	20 to 40
						S	5	3				B: Fair	Ve	ehicle access over root plate; visible from road.	yrs
						W	5	3							
12															
Common Holly		5	2	224	4 (Eq)	Ν	1.5	0	SM	A: 22.6	Fair	C: Fair			C.1
Ilex aquifolium						E	3	0		R: 2.68		S: Good	G	rows in close proximity to track; potential compaction from	10 to 20
						S	2	0				B: Fair	Ve	ehicle access over root plate twin-stemmed from base.	yrs
						VV	1	0							
13															
Common Holly		6	2	262	2 (Eq)	Ν	3	2	SM	A: 31	Good	C: Fair			B.1
Ilex aquifolium						E	3	2		R: 3.14		S: Fair	G	rows in close proximity to track; potential compaction from	20 to 40
						S	3	2				B: Fair	Ve	ehicle access over root plate; two co-dominant stems from	yrs
						W	3	2					ba	ase; vertical suppression from neighbouring tree.	
Age Classifications:	Ν	Newly plante	d	EM	Early M	<i>l</i> ature		(Condi	tion: C	Crown		Stems:	Ø Diameter	
	Y	Young		M	Mature)				S	Stem		2	(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 def	finition
	SM	Semi-mature	;	OM	Over N	lature				В	Basal area	а			
Page 3											TreeMinder			14 Fel	bruary 2019

Tree and Tag No				Stem	s	C	Crow	'n		F	RP	Divers	Preliminary Recommendations		
Species		Hght (m)	No) (Ø mm)	Sprea (m)	d	Clear (m)	Ag	e A(R((m²) (m)	Phys Condition	Condition	Survey Comment ER	IC IC
14												I		Tree removed for risk management reasons following approval by	
Sycamore		20	2	70)0 (Eq)) _ N	7	5	M	A: 2	221.7	Good	C: Fair	LPA TO. Removed from survey following site visit 13/02/19.	2
A cer pseudoplatanus						E S ₩	5 9 7	5 5 5		R: 8	3.4		S: Good B: Fair	Grows in close proximity to track; potential compaction from vehicle access over root plate; two co dominant stems from Yrs 1m, with up to 1m of included bark at union; cable bracing of the two co-dominant stems at 15m.	-40 s
15															
Irish Yew		6	3	19	91 (Eq)) N	1.5	1	SM	A: 1	6.5	Good	C: Fair	C.1	1
Taxus baccata 'Fastigiata'						E S W	2 2 2	1 1 1		R: 2	2.29		S: Fair B: Fair	Grows in close proximity to car park; potential compaction >40 from vehicle access over root plate.	yrs
16															
Common Holly		7	1	23	80	Ν	2.5	2	SM	A: 2	23.9	Fair	C: Fair	C.1	1
Ilex aquifolium						Е	1.5	2		R: 2	2.75		S: Good	Grows in close proximity to car park edge: potential 10 to	20
						S W	3 3.5	2 2					B: Fair	compaction from vehicle access over root plate; lower than yrs average foliage density; asymmetrical crown distribution due to suppression from neighbouring tree.	S
17															
Common Holly		5	2	34	17 (Eq)) N	3	4	М	A: 5	54.3	Good	C: Fair	B.1	1
Ilex aquifolium						E	2	4		R: 4	1.15		S: Good	Grows from car park edge; potential compaction from vehicle 20 to	40
						S W	1 2	4 4					B: Fair	access over root plate; two co-dominant stems from base; Yrs asymmetrical crown distribution due to suppression from neighbouring trees.	5
18														Estimated Measurem	ients
Sycamore		18	1	30	00	Ν	2	5	М	A: 4	10.7	Good	C: Fair	B.1	1
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	3	5		R: 3	3.59		S: Ivy	Off-site tree grows from neighbouring land; base not visible 20 to	40
						S W	3 3	5 5					B: Not Visible	over boundary fence; 60% ivy coverage of stem; asymmetrical yrs crown distribution due to suppression from neighbouring trees.	S
19														Estimated Measurem	ients
Sycamore		18	3	37	74 (Eq)) N	2	5	М	A: 6	53.3	Good	C: Fair	B.1	1
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	3	5		R: 4	1.48		S: Ivy	Off-site tree grows from neighbouring land; base not visible 20 to	40
						S W	3 3	5 5					B: Not Visible	over boundary fence; 60% ivy coverage of stem; asymmetrical yrs crown distribution due to suppression from neighbouring trees.	S
Age Classifications:	Ν	Newly plant	ed	EM	Early	Mature			Cond	ition:	С	Crown	Ste	ms: Ø Diameter	
	Y SM	Young Semi-matur	е	M OM	Mature Over N	e Mature					S B	Stem Basal area	a	(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition	
Dama 4											-				

TreeMinder

Tree and Tag No		Uabb		Stems Crown		n		RP	Dhue	Churchar			Preliminary Recommendations	C-+		
Species		(m)	No) (I	Ø mm)	Sprea (m)	d	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²)	Conditio	n Conditio	n n		Survey Comment	ERC
20															Estimated Me	asurements
Common Lime		18	1	50	0	Ν	4	3	М	A: 113.	L Fair	C: Good				B.1.2
Tilia europaea						Е	4	3		R: 6		S: Ivy		Off cito troop	groups from poighbouring lands base not visible	20 to 40
						S	4	3				B: Not Visi	ible o	over boundar	y fence; 60% ivy coverage of stem; tip dieback	yrs
						VV	4	3					t	throughout ci	rown.	
21															Estimated Me	asurements
Sycamore		18	1	40	0	Ν	1	5	М	A: 72.4	Good	C: Fair				B.1.2
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	3	5		R: 4.8		S: Ivy	(Off-site tree:	arows from neighbouring land: base not visible	20 to 40
						S	4	5				B: Not Visi	ible o	over boundar	y fence; 80% ivy coverage of stem; asymmetrical	yrs
						W	2	5					C	crown distrib	ution due to suppression from neighbouring tree.	
22															Estimated Me	asurements
Sycamore		18	1	40	0	Ν	2	5	М	A: 72.4	Good	C: Fair				B.1.2
Acer pseudoplatanus						Е	3	5		R: 4.8		S: Ivy	(Off-site tree:	grows from neighbouring land; base not visible	20 to 40
						S	2	5				B: Not Visi	ible o	over boundar	y fence; 80% ivy coverage of stem; asymmetrical	yrs
						W	2	5					C	crown distrib	ution due to suppression from neighbouring tree.	
23													-	Tree remove	d for risk management reasons following approval b	V
Cedar of Lebanon		20	1	13 7	70	N	1 4	θ	M	A: 707	Good	C: Good	l	LPA TO. Ren	noved from survey following site visit 13/02/19.	, A.1.2.3
Cedrus libani						E	11	0		R: 15		S: Good	C	Grows from li	awn: focal tree of site: dense low hanging canony	>40 yrs
						S	15	0				B: Good	,			,
						₩	17	θ								
24																
Holm Oak		10	1	85	0	Ν	7	0	М	A: 326.	9 Good	C: Good				A.1.2.3
Quercus ilex						Е	8	0		R: 10.2		S: Good	(Grows from la	awn: surface roots visible to south no apparent	>40 yrs
						S	8	0				B: Good	Ċ	damage; seve	eral cavities in stem up to 100mm diameter,	,
						W	8	0					F	previously oc	cluded or surrounded by strong wood wound	
													Ç	growth.		
25			_	. –				_								
Mountain Ash		4	3	17	'9 (Eq)	N	1.5	2	SM	A: 14.5	Fair	C: Good				C.1
Sorbus aucuparia						с с	2	2		R: 2.14		S: GOOD B: Eair	(Grows from p	playground edge; three co-dominant stems from	10 to 20
						W	2	2				D. Fall]	1m; lower the	an average foliage density.	yrs
						••	2	2								
Ago Classifications	N	Nowly plant	tod		Forly	Anture			`ondi	tion	C Crown		Stores		Diameter	
Aye Glassifications.	Y	Young	Gu	M	Mature	eature		,	Jonul		S Stem		otems	(Ea)	Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837.2012 de	finition
	SM	Semi-matur	re	OM	Over N	/lature					B Basal a	rea		(-4)	,	
Paga 5											TrooMindo				14 50	bruany 2010

Tree and Tag No	Uabt	S	tems		Crow	'n		RP	Dhura	Structurel	Preliminary Recommendations	Cat	
Species	(m)	No	Ø (mm)	Spre (m	ad)	Clear (m)	Ag	e A (m² R (m)	Condition	Condition	Survey Comment	ERC	
26													
Whitebeam	4	1	120	Ν	1.5		<u>2</u> Y	A: 6.5	Good	C: Fair		C.1	
Sorbus aria				Е	2		2	R: 1.43		S: Fair	Grows from top of bank: future crown conflict with	20 to 40	
				S	2		2			B: Good	neighbouring tree.	yrs	
				W	2		2						

Age Classifications:	N	Newly planted	EM	Early Mature	Condition:	С	Crown	Stems:	Ø	Diameter
	Y	Young	Μ	Mature		S	Stem		(Eq)	Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition
	SM	Semi-mature	OM	Over Mature		В	Basal area			

Appendix 2: Tree Protection Notice

(To be printed at A3 or larger)

Tree Protection Area Do not move this fence

(TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990) TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCE ARE PROTECTED BY PLANNING CONDITIONS AND/OR ARE THE SUBJECT OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER. **CONTRAVENTION OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER MAY LEAD TO CRIMINAL** PROSECUTION

ANY INCURSION INTO THE PROTECTED AREA MUST BE WITH THE WRITTEN **PERMISSION OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY**



Arbtech Consulting Limited. Unit 3, Well House Barn, Chester Road, Chester, CH4 0DH https://arbtech.co.uk - 01244 661170





Appendix 3: Contact Details

Name	Position	Company	Contact
	Client		
	Tree Officer		
	Arboricultural Consultant	Arbtech Consulting Ltd.	01244 661170 <u>https://arbtech.co.uk</u>
	Site Manager		
	Main contractor		

Document Production Record

Document number	Editor	Signature	Position	lssue number	Date
Arbtech AMS 03	Jon Hartley	de la	Senior Consultant	01	21/02/2019

Limitations

Arbtech Consulting Ltd has prepared this Report for the sole use of the above-named Client/Agent in accordance with our terms of business, under which our services were performed. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this Report or any other services provided by us. This Report may not be relied upon by any other party without the prior and express written agreement of Arbtech Consulting Ltd. The assessments made assume that the sites and facilities will continue to be used for their current purpose without significant change. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this Report are based upon information provided by others and upon the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from third parties has not been independently verified by Arbtech Consulting Ltd.

Copyright

© This Report is the copyright of Arbtech Consulting Ltd. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person other than the addressee is strictly prohibited.