

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/5806/P	Shura Gailey	16/02/2019 14:49:43	OBJ	<p>although they did mention it was being revised I am concerned about the increased scale of the new development at No. 35 South Hill Park, next to our adjoining house. The raising of the conservatory roof is unacceptable. 1. The old conservatory now demolished, was not as obtrusive as the proposed new conservatory. 2. Raising of this conservatory will result in light pollution at night, as it will shine into bedrooms and living room. Their 3 new roof lights already creates a lot of light pollution, all night, into my bedroom windows, as they are always on. The light from the new conservatory will be more disturbing as it will also shine into bedrooms at the back of the house.</p> <p>3. The new proposed higher conservatory will result in increased glare, as it is south facing and the sun shines on it all day. The permitted planning application had a green roof in this position, which was acceptable and environmentally friendly.</p> <p>4. Retaining the garden level is favoured but there should be a retaining planter against our party fence wall. As this is a very old wall it would help its stability. This was previously proposed. The monitoring points on our building show that there has already been movement. They have already increased the number of basement levels, which is illegal according to Camden Section 106 Agreement and what was originally granted permission. So this is now a two storey basement, at the rear. I strongly object to this proposed increase in the height of the new glass extension.</p>
2018/5806/P	Theodora Mantzaris	16/02/2019 16:55:46	OBJ	<p>I oppose this new planned bigger, glass extension application.</p> <p>This new glass extension will create more light, that will be visible from my bedroom. If instead it was the green sedum roof, from the original application, this would be more acceptable, as no light would escape. The higher/bigger roof will all be more visible, create more sun reflection and upset the light in the garden. The decrease in garden removal is good, but not the removal of earth against the garden wall. As this may result in more problems and increase the impact of development on No. 37.</p>
2018/5806/P	Chris and Margarit Ziolfwicki	16/02/2019 16:22:41	OBJ	<p>I am against this new proposed variation. As I live next door. I believe that the increased height of the new conservatory and the extra glass will cause problems.</p> <p>The lights will come into our bedroom window, which is at the back of the house and affect my sleeping as they will cause more evening light.</p> <p>This extra light from the conservatory will also come into the garden and upset the natural light and this light will be very strong due to the increased amount of glass. This will affect our evenings in the garden.</p> <p>The conservatory will also cause a strong glare from the extra glass, as the sun may be on it all day.</p> <p>The original planning application had a lower, green roof. Which is preferable as there would be less light created and glare and it would be greener, less noticeable and create less impact.</p> <p>It is good that they keep their old garden level, but I am against them removing yet more day/earth from the garden party wall because this could cause issues with the wall or building.</p> <p>I am against this new larger, glass conservatory, it will be worse for us next door and the environment.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/5806P	Beverley Griffiths	16/02/2019 13:33:40	OBJEMPER	<p>I wish to object to the revised plans proposed by 35 SHP which will result in great problems for No. 37 - both properties (and back gardens) sited on a downward slope.</p> <p>On the basis of giving reasoned arguments against the initial planning application, attending public planning meetings and submitting "expert" reports relating to the problems resulting from the geographic consistency of the Hampstead area, it seems to me that Camden seems to take no notice of resident's concerns. Indeed, I have no information that the planning committee members even visit the sites they are making decisions about. I think this consultation about a new alteration is simply a window dressing sham and the decision has already been taken to allow it.</p>
