Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:
2018/6139/P	Nicholas Grimshaw	07/01/2019 17:38:45	OBJEMPER	Dear Sirs,
				RE: 2018/6139/P
				I have examined the drawings and description giving details of the above application, and have the following objections/comments.
				1) This is an exceptionally constricted site, which has no direct access for loading and unloading. Can you please give details as to how all materials are to be handled within the site. Could you also give details as to how materials are to be stored and maintained within the confines of the site during the whole construction period.
				2) There is no residence parking directly connected with this site. Can you please show how the existing residence parking space is to be used. Clearly a number of existing residencies in the square will be affected and a viable plan of how this is to be done should be made available.
				3) Loading and unloading of building materials and storing them within the site will need a detailed materials handling program, so that neither the highway nor the pavement are affected.
				4) Can you please confirm that the existing hair plaster will be retained as a matter of conservation. In the recent conversion of 15 Chalcot Square, all the existing plaster was knocked off and replaced with studs and lining. This resulted in an extremely long period of hammering on the party walls and hardly a day without "kango" hammering.
				5) Can you please confirm that no loading/unloading will occur before 8:00am, as this caused major noise and disruption during the building contract for 15 Chalcot Square.
				6) Can you please confirm what measures are proposed to control dust production levels on this very confined site. Can you please also confirm that adjoining properties will be cleaned and hosed down at the end of the contract.
				7) Can you please confirm that no demolitions or alterations are planned to the under pavement vaults of the property in question. In the recent work to the adjoining property (21 Chalcot Square), pneumatic drilling and 'kango' hammering took place for a considerable period of time and I believe this is now contrary to Camden's planning policy.
				We should be most grateful to have your responses to the above points.
				Yours faithfully,
				Nicholas Grimshaw Lavinia Grimshaw

Printed on: 09/01/2019

09:10:04