

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/5887/P	Margaret Bloom	05/02/2019 22:40:57	OBJ	<p>We object to the proposed large development in the middle of a residential neighbourhood because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We have already suffered from months of noise from the current development of a swimming pool. The application does not explain why a further and different development is required. As the 'existing site plan' in the application ignores the current development it is seriously misleading. Is Camden aware of the development work that has taken place on the site? Will there be further loud noise while the existing development is removed to make way for the new one? 2. It is too large. We are concerned that large and noisy pool parties will be held, reducing the quality of life for neighbours. 3. It is too close to the boundary fence so that its impact on neighbours will be much worse both in relation to noise and visual impact. 4. It is unclear from the plans how the development will be screened. The Arboricultural report does not cover this issue. The large brick structure will look very unattractive from our home, in contrast to the current view of bushes and trees. The development needs to be screened from neighbours either by evergreen trees or evergreen creepers on the building itself. 5. The development appears to be in the path of the former River Westbourne. In August 1975, water flooded down this route from Hampstead Heath and on to West Hampstead. Surely, it is very unwise to put a new development in a known flood path?
2018/5887/P	JULIA WARD-LILLEY	05/02/2019 16:00:08	COMMNT	<p>We object to the scale and position of the proposed development for the following reasons</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Footprint of 153m2 is disproportionate to size of main property and takes up a lot of garden/green space. 2) Positioned too close to the boundary fence which landscapes and screens it from the proposers' residence to "complement the views from the main dwelling" but blights view of garden neighbours. A high blank wall and roof projecting circa 2m above the fence will be clearly visible to 32 Ferncroft Ave and other homes with rear garden views. 3) Plans show that screening trees will be planted very close (circa 50cm) to our boundary and significantly overhang garden of 32 Ferncroft Avenue reducing light and rainfall on flower beds and lawn below. <p>We would politely suggest a smaller footprint building closer to the main residence and further from the boundary which could be better screened from neighbours without impacting on gardens would be more appropriate to this setting. We were aware of Mr Sheleg's plan to build a covered pool in his garden but are disappointed by the details of the proposed structure for the reasons mentioned above.</p>