Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 04/02/2019 <b>Response:</b>	09:10:05
2018/6046/P	Nicholas Bradfield DPCAAC	02/02/2019 17:52:39	OBJEMAIL	DPCAAC object: This appears to be a resubmission of the refused 2018/3363/P with no substantial changes. We therefore object on the grounds cited in the refusal: "The proposed rear extension, by reason of its bulk, scale and detailed design, combined with the cumulative visual impact of proposed and existing unsympathetic rear alterations, would result in a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the host building, the unified character of the row of buildings which it forms part and wider Dartmouth Park Conservation area, contrary to policies D1 (Design) and D2 (Heritage) of Camden Local Plan 2017"	
2018/6046/P	Nicholas Bradfield DPCAAC	02/02/2019 17:52:50	OBJEMAIL	DPCAAC object: This appears to be a resubmission of the refused 2018/3363/P with no substantial changes. We therefore object on the grounds cited in the refusal: "The proposed rear extension, by reason of its bulk, scale and detailed design, combined with the cumulative visual impact of proposed and existing unsympathetic rear alterations, would result in a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the host building, the unified character of the row of buildings which it forms part and wider Dartmouth Park Conservation area, contrary to policies D1 (Design) and D2 (Heritage) of Camden Local Plan 2017"	