
From: Iversen, Margaret [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 January 2019 10:00
To: Constantinescu, Nora Andreea
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Case: 2018/1078/P; 35 Pilgrims Lane

RE: NMA 2018/6304/P

Dear Nora-Andreea Contantinescu,

Re: NMA 2018/6304/P

My husband and I tacitly approved the original planning application relating to this property because it did not interfere with the façade of the building. It seems to us that the amendment radically changes this proposed building plan.

The amendment to the application was made only a week ago, so we have not had time to consider it. Nor do the documents give an adequate picture of what the completed works will look like. We therefore request that the deadline be extended for a week.

Since we live directly opposite no. 35, this issue is of great concern to us. The corner house belongs to a triplet of similar buildings the integrity of which needs to be respected. I have spoken to our neighbors, Dr. and Mrs. Webb (58a Pilgrims Lane) who also wish to study the implications of the amendment.

Some of us would like to exercise our right to attend the meeting of the planning committee and speak to the case.

Given the extent of the amendments made to the original proposal, *involving demolition of part of the original façade*, we feel that there has been a lack of due process and inadequate consultation.

I trust that our request for an extension to the period of consultation will be granted.

Yours,

Margaret Iversen and Jules Lubbock
[REDACTED]