
From: Lisa Deaner [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 January 2019 10:08
To: Constantinescu, Nora-Andreea
Subject: Re: 2018/1078/P No 35pilgrims Lane. NMA 2018/6304/P
Attachments: image002.png; image003.png

Dear Nora,

I am still awaiting a response for advice from the Hampstead Forum and the Resident Association.

We only came back from our holiday on Monday and ask if you can extend the deadline from today, to next Friday so that we can carefully consider all of the proposed "minor alterations" which are clearly far from minor.

To my untrained eye it appears that all but the front bay window wall of this lovely 1880 Victorian property of which we are the centre of a triplet will be demolished.

It seems they are doing this because it is cheaper. Nothing to do with the inkeeping of this era of houses.

So, I ask if you can please let us respond by next Friday so that we can understand the full impact on us, on the environment and the Article 4 preservation order that exists.

Regards

Lisa

Lisa Deaner
[REDACTED]

On 3 Jan 2019, at 11:14, Constantinescu, Nora-Andreea <Nora-Andreea.Constantinescu@camden.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Lisa and Jeremy,

Happy new Year to you too!

Thank you for your email. I was planning to email you today to let you know about the new non-material minor amendment planning application ref no 2018/6304/P.

I discussed the situation in detail with the applicant's architects and given the proposed development that was granted permission under application ref 2018/1078/P, the fastest, safest and easiest way of building it would be to take down the existing rear wall and rebuild it back, brick by brick. The architects provided a letter from their project engineer which explains this proposal, please find attached.

The demolition of the rear wall should not affect you in any different way during construction works than it being still in place, other than it will enable works to be undertaken quicker and safer, and therefore it will be less disturbance for you and

area. In the event of any damage, as in any other situation, this would be covered by the party wall agreement.

I discuss this proposal with conservation officers and management, and as the wall will be taken down with hand tools brick by brick, and put up again in the same way, the outcome will be the same as existing, and therefore we do not consider that harm would be caused to the host building and conservation area as whole.

In light of the above, would you please provide me with your official response by Friday 11th of January so I can consider it in the assessment of this application for a minor amendment?

Best Regards,
Nora

Nora-Andreea Constantinescu
Planning Officer

Telephone: 02079745758

[<image001.png>](#) [in](#) [t](#) [<image004.jpg>](#)

From: Lisa Deaner [REDACTED]

Sent: 03 January 2019 08:01

To: Constantinescu, Nora-Andreea <Nora-Andreea.Constantinescu@camden.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: 2018/1078/P No 35pilgrims Lane.

Dear Nora,

Firstly may we wish you a very happy new year.

We have noticed that a additional application has been made at No 35 Pilgrims Lane to demolish the entire rear for the property for which we share a party wall.

We are horrified by this prospect and will be asking for advice from our architect and the Hampstead Forum in this matter.

As said previously it's up the the owners what they would like to do but certainly not to affect our ability to continue to reside next door during the process. Our property remains our only priority.

An official response will come soon but in the meantime, I wanted to ask you, can they be allowed to actually do this? I thought Camden were trying to preserve the area.

Your comment please.

Always appreciated

Lisa and Jeremy
No 37 Pilgrims Lane
NW3 1SS

Lisa Deaner
[REDACTED]