

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/5125/P	D Landes	07/12/2018 22:05:48	OBJ	<p>I wanted to register my opinion that this planning application should be stopped.</p> <p>As a close neighbour, all the houses in the street are of a similar look and age. There are no obvious ground level extensions I'm aware of. And I think the large extension proposed, made of different materials (e.g. glass roof) from the houses in the street, would be visible from my bedroom windows and would stand out considerably from the other gardens - which are all a similar size and shape.</p> <p>Currently there are birds in the gardens which can be heard singing on summer mornings - a rarity for London. So if this extension disturbed the wildlife, it would be a real shame.</p> <p>From the planning description, it seems out of character with the rest of the street. The pool in particular is really out of place. I am not clear if it would create any drainage issues or health risks, given the close proximity all houses and flats are to each other.</p> <p>Finally, the disruption of the work is also something which will affect a lot of residents. The ongoing noise is something we could do without.</p> <p>My hope is an application of this scale would not be approved.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/5125/P	Z Payne	08/12/2018 15:42:11	OBJEMAIL	<p>[REDACTED] would like to submit representations in respect of the above planning application, currently pending consideration by the Council.</p> <p>? We wish to object to the application in the strongest terms, on the basis that the proposals do not satisfactorily accord with the relevant Development Plan policies or National Planning Guidance.</p> <p>? A summary of my objections is outlined below.</p> <p><b>Design and Impact</b></p> <p>? Local Plan Policy D1 (Design) requires development to consider the character, setting, context, form and scale of neighbouring buildings.</p> <p>? In this case, there is no other similar form of development within the immediate row of terrace properties of which the application property forms a part, which would justify the scale, width and depth of the extension.</p> <p>? The proposal projects beyond the existing built footprint of the host building, with significant works proposed to the rear garden.</p> <p>? It has not been possible to assess the full impact of the proposals given the limited drawing information provided.</p> <p>? The Applicant has failed to provide any existing sectional drawing material, and it is therefore difficult to properly establish the full extent of excavation that may be required.</p> <p>? The design of the extension would contrast uncomfortably with the prevailing pattern of development, to the detriment of the character of the host property and the wider area.</p> <p>? The use of materials do not reflect those of the existing building which would add to its incongruous appearance. The development would also be readily apparent in views from neighbouring properties.</p> <p>? We note the previous approval for a summer house at the back of the garden (reference 2011/4119/P). When this application was considered, the Council had concerns about the size of the garden that would be retained, and the same considerations apply now. Furthermore, if both propos</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/5125/P	Z payne	08/12/2018 15:46:09	OBJEMAIL	<p>I am the owner / occupier of xxxx, and would like to submit representations in respect of the above planning application, currently pending consideration by the Council.</p> <p>? We wish to object to the application in the strongest terms, on the basis that the proposals do not satisfactorily accord with the relevant Development Plan policies or National Planning Guidance.</p> <p>? A summary of my objections is outlined below.</p> <p><b>Design and Impact</b></p> <p>? Local Plan Policy D1 (Design) requires development to consider the character, setting, context, form and scale of neighbouring buildings.</p> <p>? In this case, there is no other similar form of development within the immediate row of terrace properties of which the application property forms a part, which would justify the scale, width and depth of the extension.</p> <p>? The proposal projects beyond the existing built footprint of the host building, with significant works proposed to the rear garden.</p> <p>? It has not been possible to assess the full impact of the proposals given the limited drawing information provided.</p> <p>? The Applicant has failed to provide any existing sectional drawing material, and it is therefore difficult to properly establish the full extent of excavation that may be required.</p> <p>? The design of the extension would contrast uncomfortably with the prevailing pattern of development, to the detriment of the character of the host property and the wider area.</p> <p>? The use of materials do not reflect those of the existing building which would add to its incongruous appearance. The development would also be readily apparent in views from neighbouring properties.</p> <p>? We note the previous approval for a summer house at the back of the garden (reference 2011/4119/P). When this application was considered, the Council had concerns about the size of the garden that would be retained, and the same considerations apply now. Furthermore, if both proposals were advanced there would be serious overdevelop</p>

---



