

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/5571/P	Kentish Town Neighbourhood Forum	06/12/2018 12:07:39	OBJ	<p>As a Statutory Consultee, this application should have been sent to us.</p> <p>We wish to object to this application on the grounds of its appearance and location. We have commented on other applications in Kentish Town from this operator in the last two weeks.</p> <p>We have seen similar applications for units of this type which effectively are digital advertising signs. But in the application, no mention is made of advertising capability. If these units are advertising boards by another name, we object to the location on grounds of safety. Highgate Rd is a busy route, with bumper to bumper traffic, cyclists, and pedestrians, fire engines etc all vying for the same road space. Anything that could divert road users attention from the road is deeply concerning and we would ask that this also be taken into consideration when determining this application.</p> <p>We also wish to object to this application on the grounds of its appearance. In our view it is completely out of keeping with the streetscape. The location is near a Grade II Listed church, an art deco style Forum building and nearby Victorian warehouses. The style of unit chosen by the applicant for this location detracts from the nearby buildings and takes no account of the context to the surrounding area.</p>
2018/5571/P	Merle mahon	29/11/2018 15:04:01	OBJ	<p>There is no requirement for a telephone kiosk here - there is already one further up by the bus stop. The kiosk is most likely to be used for advertising and not for telephoning, and is highly likely to soon be vandalised and used for nefarious other purposes.</p>
2018/5571/P	Belinda Wakefield on behalf of Evangelist Road Residents' Association	02/12/2018 12:39:02	OBJ	<p>I am writing on behalf of Evangelist Road Residents' Association to object to the application for a telephone kiosk at 19-37 Highgate Road. First, the kiosk is unnecessary as there are two in the area already – one very near the proposed site and the other outside the Forum. Second, the design is very unattractive and will not add anything to the public realm. Third, the siting is on a piece of pavement where there is already a telephone box as well as road signs and a bus stop and it will, therefore create more pavement clutter. Those living in the flats opposite will have their outlook further impeded. We would take dispute with the applicant's claim that the siting is 'not an area where there is, or would be, visual congestion'. This is untrue. Perhaps they should visit the site. Finally, the applicants have applied for two other kiosks within a very small area (see 2018/5576/P and 2018/5572/P), also unnecessary, but, although denied by the applicants, would all be used for unsightly digital advertising that in addition would cause light pollution. We object to a sneaky way to get more advertising onto our streets without seeking advertising consent through the planning process.</p>
2018/5571/P	Sacha Lynch-Robinson	30/11/2018 05:36:20	OBJEMAIL	<p>This kiosk is just a digital advertising board masquerading as a phone box. Local residents and visitors will have little use for this so called phone box. It will be a blot on the landscape that will no doubt be urinated on by late night revellers and vandalized by poor quality graffiti. Whilst bombarding is all with yet more ads. Highgate roads needs trees, shrubs and plants not more visual noise.</p>