

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2018/4991/P	Helen Barratt	03/12/2018 17:39:09	OBJ	<p>We wish to raise an objection to the proposed works at no. 5 Lyme Street.</p> <p>We note the inclusion of the following in the application:</p> <p>"There is an established precedent for this proposal, as other properties within this group of listed buildings have been developed and extended in a similar manner. This report provides further detail on these precedents in support of the application."</p> <p>However the single story extensions to the rear of no. 7 and no. 8 were originally a pair of double garages, since converted into living accommodation.</p> <p>An application was made in 2014 for a larger extension to the lower ground floor which was rejected. Among the grounds for refusal the following statement was included in the appeal rejection.</p> <p>"In coming to this view I fully accept that the existing addition is lawful and was present when the building was first listed. Therefore, even if it would be a departure from the policies now in place, its presence cannot be questioned.</p> <p>However that does not mean further additions that exacerbated any departure from policy have to be subsequently accepted, and to my mind the cumulative concerns I have raised are valid."</p> <p>An application was made in 2016, to add a bathroom at ground floor level, to match that at no. 6, along with a dormer to the existing loft conversion, to match that at nos. 9 and 10. During consultation it was emphasised that existing extensions on neighbouring properties do not set a precedent and that planning policies had changed since those works were carried out. The application was subsequently withdrawn.</p> <p>We feel it is very important that the Planning Department apply consistency to their decisions regarding these listed properties and would like the above to be taken into consideration when reviewing the application.</p>

---