| Delegated Report                                 |  |    |                       |                       |                   |   |  |
|--|--|----|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| Officer  |  |    | <b>Application Nu</b> | Application Number(s) |                   |   |  |
| Tom Little                                       |  |    | 2018/4977/T           |                       |                   |   |  |
| <b>Application Address</b>                       |  |    |                       |                       |                   |   |  |
| 140 Maida Vale<br>London<br>W9 1QB               |  |    |                       |                       |                   |   |  |
| Proposal(s)                                      |  |    |                       |                       |                   |   |  |
| REAR GARDEN: 1 x Elm (T4) - Fell to ground level |  |    |                       |                       |                   |   |  |
| Recommendation(s):                               | No Objection to Works to Tree(s) in CA                           |    |                       |                       |                   |   |  |
| Application Type:                                | Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area |    |                       |                       |                   |   |  |
| Consultations                                    |  |    |                       |                       |                   |   |  |
| Adjoining Occupiers:                             | No. notified   | 64 | No. of responses      | 2                     | No. of objections | 1 |  |

wellbeing and to the environment.

None

Summary of consultation responses:

CAAC/Local groups\* comments:

\*Please Specify

The trees are in a Conservation Area. And trees are important to our

| The elm has low visibility from the public realm, it is leaning against a relatively high wall which it is causing damage to. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| It is not considered that it is worthy of being brought under the protection of a TPO at this time.                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |