

Dear Mr Sild,

Ref Planning Application 2018/4369/P.

We own the property at Tanza Road NW32UB which is affected by the above planning application. We placed an initial holding comment on the planning website, mainly relating to the glaring omission that our house and garden at Tanza Road is omitted/ wrongly described as Nassington. We called for new plans and a site visit, in order that the significant affect on the light and privacy to our garden and property, be properly described.

We are now able to expand on those comments.

- The inaccuracies and omissions in the plans submitted are extensive. If correct, they would mainly be
  positive for an approval, which means the real picture must be provided in order to make any assessment.
   So far we can see the following, which (if made accurate) would have the effect mentioned.
  - a) As previously mentioned our house and garden at Tanza Road is described inaccurately as Nassington Road. We are anxious to have the fair opportunity to consider the true impact on our Light and Privacy of the dimensions of the proposal. We see these as substantial. This important aspect is ignored altogether in the application. A site visit to our garden in the daylight would demonstrate this fact best.
  - b) The angles of the boundary between 2B Tanza and 26C Nassington are drawn incorrectly. The true angles result in significant light block to the middle level garden of 2B Tanza by the dimensions of the first floor proposed extension.
  - c) The Site Plan appears to show our first floor as having one instead of 2 windows. The attached photo (above) shows this plainly.

- d) The Proposed Plan also infers no affect on the light to our first floor bedroom. The attached photo makes it clear that an extension situated next to the window (the omitted window) would block light.
- e) The Document titled "Design and Access Statement" describes the proposed extension as "Ground Floor". We believe it is in fact a first floor extension (2 Floors total). Since 26C (the applicant) is a first and second floor flat, it cannot be a ground floor extension. This means the comparative planning approvals which the document describes are all irrelevant, since they are ground floor extensions.
- f) The Design and Access Document also stated that the Street Scene is unaffected. This maybe the case for Nassington Road but is inaccurate in relation to Tanza Road.

## 2) Further related questions:

- a) We have been unable to find any mention of access during the building work, but would not permit any over our property.
- b) Have the other Directors of the shared freehold at the 26 Nassington Building agreed to this based on inaccurate and ambiguous information?
- c) The proposal is to build on a current single storey extension to another flat in 26 Nassington. That "foundation" building has a border with our garden. There is no mention whether that building is adequate for a further storey to be added although we are aware of past structural issues to previous owners.
- d) Is it proposed to use the new roof as a terrace like the current one storey one is?
- e) There is currently a high privacy screen between 26c first floor terrace and the garden and house of 2B Tanza. What Privacy screens are proposed for the first floor extension, its patio and the second storey roof?

We hope these can be considered and a visit be arranged.

Yours sincerely,

Sent from Mail for Windows 10