

Regeneration and Planning Development Management London Borough of Camden Town Hall Judd Street London WC1H 9JE

Tel 020 7974 4444

planning@camden.gov.uk www.camden.gov.uk/planning

Application Ref: 2018/4223/P

Please ask for: David Peres Da Costa

Telephone: 020 7974 **5262** 

20 November 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Mr Emily Cochrane

Jones Lang LaSalle 30 Warwick Street

London

W1B 5NH

## **DECISION**

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

Grant of Non-Material Amendments to planning permission

Address:

Former Odeon site and Rosenheim Building Site bounded partly by Grafton Way Tottenham Court Road Huntley Street and University Street London WC1E 6DB

Proposal: Non material amendment involving change of material (from stone to brick) of loading bay wall fronting onto Paramount Court to redevelopment of the former Odeon site and demolition of the Rosenheim Building to provide a Proton Beam Therapy (PBT) cancer treatment facility and day surgery facilities approved under planning permission 2013/8192/P dated 17/12/2013.

Drawing Nos:

Superseded: A\_UCLH4\_1520\_L

Revised: P4PBT STW AAB GND DET A31 187201 Rev C; P4PBT STW AAB GND

SOW A31 187070 Rev E

The Council has considered your application and confirms that the proposals are acceptable as non-material amendments to the planning permission set out above.

For the purposes of this decision, condition no.2 of planning permission



2013/8192/P shall be replaced with the following condition:

## REPLACEMENT CONDITION 2

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans: A/UCLH4: 1516-M; 1517-M; 1818-M; 1519-N; 1521-N; 1522-N; 1523-N; 1524-N; 1525-N; 1526-M; 1527-M; 1531-F;; 1533-N; 1534-C; 1540-N; 1541-N; 1550-D; 1551-H; 1554-H; 1555-G; 1556-F; 1557-F; 1558-G; 1560-F; 1561-D; 1577-C; 1581-C; SK243-A; SK0250-D; 0203-E; SK0251; VN50118.09-ECC-DG-0003; P4PBT-STW-AAB-GND-GA-175143 Rev A; P4PBT-SLW-ALL-SL-ELV-A31-17544 Rev A; P4PBT-SLW-ALL-SL-ELV-A31-17546 Rev A; P4PBT-STW-ALL-SL-SEC-A31-175147 Rev A; P4PBT STW AAB GND GA 111071 Rev J1; P4PBT STW AAB GND DET A31 187201 Rev C; P4PBT STW AAB GND SOW A31 187070 Rev E

SUPPORTING DOCS: Preliminary Ground Movement Assessment Produced by Campbell Reith dated March 2014; Updated summary tables S1a, S2, S3a produced by Anstey Horne dated 18/11/2013; Design and Access Statement produced by Scott Tallon Walker Architects in association with Edward Williams Architects dated 13/12/2013; Planning Design Report: Acoustics prepared by Clarke Saunders Associates; Air Quality Assessment produced by SKM dated 06/12/2013; Archaeological Desk Based Assessment produced by CqMs dated 06/12/2013; BREEAM report produced by ARUP dated 05/12/2013; Clinical Overview Document produced by UCLH (undated); Ecology Survey to inform BREEAM produced by Thomson Ecology (undated); Energy Strategy produced by ARUP dated 05/12/2013; Heritage Statement produced by KM Heritage dated 01/12/2013; Planning Statement produced by Jones Lang LaSalle dated 17/12/2013; Statement of Consultation produced by UCLH (undated); Summary of Environmental Information produced by Jones Lang LaSalle dated Dec 2013; Transport Assessment by SKM; Basement Impact Assessment produced by Campbell Reith dated 04/12/2013; Daylight and sunlight report produced by Anstey Horne dated 04/12/2013; land Quality Statement produced by Campbell Reith dated 15/11/2013; Rosenheim Building Retention - Feasibility Study produced by Scott Tallon Walker Architects dated 10/12/2013; Structural Demolition report produced by Campbell Reith dated 04/12/2013; Water Environmental Impact Statement produced by Campbell Reith dated 15/11/2013; Covering Letter dated 2nd November 2017.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interest of proper planning.

## Informative(s):

1 Reason for granting permission:

The approved drawings show that while the existing boundary wall would be retained, the wall would be extended by 6m to enclose the loading bay area. The amendment to change the material of this section of wall from stone to brick is relatively minor. The proposed alteration would improve the design of the boundary wall in this location as the remaining proposed wall is brick. It would also respond to the materiality of Paramount Court.

The full impact of the scheme has already been assessed by virtue of the previous planning permission 2013/8192/P dated 17/12/2013. In the context of the permitted scheme, it is considered that the amendment would not have any material effect on the approved development. Furthermore, it is considered that the changes are relatively minor in the context of the approved scheme and can therefore be regarded as a non-material variation of the approved scheme.

You are advised that this decision relates only to the changes set out in the description and on the application form and shall only be read in the context of the substantive permission granted on 17/12/2013 under reference number 2013/8192/P and is bound by all the conditions and obligations attached to that permission.

In dealing with the application, the Council has sought to work with the applicant in a positive and proactive way in accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Yours faithfully

David Joyce

Director of Regeneration and Planning

favid T. Joyce

It's easy to make, pay for, track and comment on planning applications on line. Just go to <a href="https://www.camden.gov.uk/planning">www.camden.gov.uk/planning</a>.