				Printed on: 21/11/2018 09:10:05
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/5561/P	Azeem Ahmad	21/11/2018 07:56:06	ОВЈ	As a resident of Central St Giles I object to this application. There is a route from St Giles Piazza through to Shaftesbury Avenue so this can get very busy. I know this as this is directly in my path when I and others go jogging. When crossrail opens this route will get busier and any street furniture that is not absolutely necessary is going to make my neighbourhood harder for me to enjoy when doing simple tasks such as jogging. I am also concerned about how this will attract criminal activity at night. I often walk home in the evening past this location as this is safer than Buckley St.
2018/5561/P	Eric Stuart	20/11/2018 17:07:47	ОВЈ	I strongly object to the installation of a public call box at this location.  The proposed public call box would add to street clutter and presents a safety hazard as it obstructs the flow of pedestrian traffic in an area of high pedestrian and vehicle traffic density.  There is no need for public call boxes in this location – existing public call boxes nearby are only used as a shield for drugdealing/using and other anti-social behaviours, and are never used for their intended purpose as virtually all UK residents and tourists carry mobile phones.  Furthermore, the proposed development is adjacent to a conservation area and would have a negative impact on the Seven Dials (Covent Garden) Conservation Area.
2018/5561/P	Jeff Claxton	20/11/2018 16:28:18	OBJNOT	Hello,
				I wish to object to this application to install a phone box. I do not believe that it provides any public benefit as there are enough existing phone boxes for the very small number of people who need to use a telephone on the move but do not have a mobile phone. I also believe that this will constitute a threat to public safety and an obstruction to free movement of people on the already crowded pavements. Phone boxes seem merely to serve as a place for pimps to place cards advertising prostitutes which merely results in litter on the pavement when one card poster removes one set of cards to replace them with another. I have witnessed some very unpleasant behaviour when the two parties argue. They provide a place for a variety of items to be stowed. They are often used as urinals by men who are caught short.  This application seems merely an attempt to get advertising space on the street without admitting as much. For these reasons, I would ask that this is rejected.
				Thank you