Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:
2018/5104/P	Catherine Haworth	14/11/2018 14:18:10	INT	I wish to contest the above application on the grounds of 1) security 2)privacy 3) Parkhill Road and Upper Park Rd Conservation Area Document 2011.  1) The proposed extension and stairway would allow easy access from the garden of 30 to that of 32 Upper Park Road and for an athletic person to the 1st floor balcony of this property.  2) The Roof Terrace would impact on the privacy of adjacent properties. 32 would be particularly badly affected, but it would also impact on 34, 28 Upper Park Road, the mid 20th century houses between Upper Park Road and Parkhill Road (accessed from Tasker Road) and possibly the play are of the pre-school nursery at 29-31 Parkhill Road.  3) Granting planning permission for this extension could lead to a hotchpotch of groundfloor extensions throughout the Conservation Area. This would be in contravention of the policies set out in the 2011document. In Part 1 Section 2 in the Definition of the 'Special Character' - the tranche of back gardens behind the houses lining the streets, a typical characteristic of 19th century residential areas. The rear gardens are referred to again in sections 4.3 and 4.4 siting the importance of the long gardens 'making a green oasis behind the street front.' In section 5.8 the importance of the views along the rear garden vista is mentioned. In section 5.9 30 and 32 Upper Park Road are listed as a building that makes a 'positive contribution'. In Part 2 section 7.2 in reference to infill extensions it is stipulated that these will be set back at least 1 metre from the front and rear buildings. This means that preservation of the original Victorian building line both at the front and rear is worthy of preservation.  I believe that giving planning permission or the above development would contravene Section 3 - 'Applications will be determined having regard to the ConservationArea'.  I urge you to reject this application on the above grounds.
2018/5104/P	Mrs Mavis Watney	14/11/2018 11:14:25	OBJ	This application goes against the whole concept of the Upper Park Road and Park Hill Road Conservation area, which protects both the front and rear elevation of both roads.  It invades the privacy of the neighbouring houses, the proposed terrace on top of the extension overlooking the first floor of my property. It involves an invasion of my land and the destruction of my party wall.  Digging foundations to a modern standard to an adjoining property wall, risks the stability of both houses either side, which appear to have no structural foundations.

Printed on: 20/11/2018

08:05:09

				Printed on: 20/11/2018 08:05:09
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/5104/P	Catherine Haworth	14/11/2018 14:18:32	INT	I wish to contest the above application on the grounds of 1) security 2)privacy 3) Parkhill Road and Upper Park Rd Conservation Area Document 2011.  1) The proposed extension and stairway would allow easy access from the garden of 30 to that of 32 Upper Park Road and for an athletic person to the 1st floor balcony of this property.  2) The Roof Terrace would impact on the privacy of adjacent properties. 32 would be particularly badly affected, but it would also impact on 34, 28 Upper Park Road, the mid 20th century houses between Upper Park Road and Parkhill Road (accessed from Tasker Road) and possibly the play are of the pre-school nursery at 29-31 Parkhill Road.  3) Granting planning permission for this extension could lead to a hotchpotch of groundfloor extensions throughout the Conservation Area. This would be in contravention of the policies set out in the 2011document. In Part 1 Section 2 in the Definition of the 'Special Character' - the tranche of back gardens behind the houses lining the streets, a typical characteristic of 19th century residential areas. The rear gardens are referred to again in sections 4.3 and 4.4 siting the importance of the long gardens 'making a green oasis behind the street front.' In section 5.8 the importance of the views along the rear garden vista is mentioned. In section 5.9 30 and 32 Upper Park Road are listed as a building that makes a 'positive contribution'. In Part 2 section 7.2 in reference to infill extensions it is stipulated that these will be set back at least 1 metre from the front and rear buildings. This means that preservation of the original Victorian building line both at the front and rear is worthy of preservation.  I believe that giving planning permission or the above development would contravene Section 3 -' Applications will be determined having regard to the ConservationArea'.  I urge you to reject this application on the above grounds.