

Application No:	Consultee Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/4645/P	James McWilliams	18/11/2018 18:47:03	OBJ	<p>I object to this application on the grounds of noise, cooking smell, waste and overall environmental impact on a densely-occupied mixed residential and commercial street.</p> <p>The submitted plans indicate that the rear extension will allow 42 seating covers to add to the existing 88 in the main restaurant - a capacity increase of over 50%. In addition to potential fire-safety, hygiene and licensing issues (which I would ask that Camden review either as part of or separately from this application), the extension provides for hot table cooking. This is already leading to cooking odours being generated in what is effectively an uncontained external setting in a densely occupied residential area. The site of the extension is in a tight well faced onto by residential property on Princeton Street, Three Cups Yard and Red Lion Street itself. Strong and offensive cooking odours have been persistent throughout the summer and continue to pervade our flat [REDACTED] through the rear windows - which include bedrooms.</p> <p>The additional footfall at the premises has already resulted in a disproportionate increase in waste, noise and traffic issues in Red Lion Street. Evidence of this has already been provided to Camden by myself and many other residents. The impact from this single restaurant has been greater than the combined impact of the other half-dozen restaurant premises in this short stretch of street. It is also encouraging a general deterioration in the neighbourliness of other entertainment businesses in the street.</p> <p>Like other properties in Red Lion Street, the property is a typical 19th century structure not well-suited to restaurant use. Other premises have shown that restaurant use is possible when well-managed at a small-scale with good environmental noise, smell and traffic control. This application would result in a disproportionate expansion of restaurant use - and of a type which is intrinsically incompatible with a residential area. It should be refused and the use of the outside area tightly controlled.</p>
2018/4645/P	Mr Benjamin Albert	19/11/2018 07:59:32	OBJ	<p>The canopy has been erected to cover the entirety of a very small backyard surrounded by residential buildings on all sides.</p> <p>It has been used by the restaurant for open cooking at multiple tables (i.e. tables underneath the canopy are not used for serving meals prepared in the kitchen as a restaurant would normally do). As the canopy is open on the sides, extremely strong smells rise up into the surrounding flats every evening and all day on the weekends. In the summer, we were unable to open any windows.</p> <p>Furthermore, the canopy has increased the number of customers the restaurant can cater for significantly. This has led to waste left in the street every evening - something not seen with any of the smaller restaurants in the street.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/4645/P	Edward Troup	17/11/2018 22:18:01	OBJNOT	<p>I object to this application on the grounds of noise, cooking smell, waste and overall environmental impact on a densely-occupied mixed residential and commercial street.</p> <p>The submitted plans indicate that the rear extension will allow 42 seating covers to add to the existing 66 in the main restaurant – a capacity increase of over 50%. In addition to potential fire-safety, hygiene and licensing issues (which I would ask that Camden review either as part of or separately from this application), the extension provides for hot table cooking. This is already leading to cooking odours being generated in what is effectively an uncontained external setting in a densely occupied residential area. The site of the extension is in a tight well faced onto by residential property on Princeton Street, Three Cups Yard and Red Lion Street itself. Strong and offensive cooking odours have been persistent throughout the summer and continue to pervade our flat through the rear windows – which include bedrooms.</p> <p>The additional footfall at the premises has already resulted in a disproportionate increase in waste, noise and traffic issues in Red Lion Street. Evidence of this has already been provided to Camden by residents of 25/26 Red Lion Street and I support the concerns raised. The impact from this single restaurant has been greater than the combined impact of the other half-dozen restaurant premises in this short stretch of street.</p> <p>Like other properties in Red Lion Street, the property is a typical 19th century structure not well-suited to restaurant use. Other premises have shown that restaurant use is possible when well-managed at a small-scale with good environmental noise, smell and traffic control. This application would result in a disproportionate expansion of restaurant use – and of a type which is intrinsically incompatible with a residential area. It should be refused and the use of the outside area tightly controlled.</p> <p>My objections are supported by our freeholder at 22-23 Red Lion Street as well as the leaseholders of 8 of the flats, specifically flats 1 (also known as 23 Red Lion St), 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12.</p>