

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2018/4525/P	Mandy Seal	19/11/2018 19:11:36	INT	Whilst the design is sympathetic with the mansard roof at no 23 Conway Street there is little mention in the application of the original roof at no 27, and any efforts which perhaps should be made to construct a design sympathetic with the original design of this terrace of listed buildings. Whilst I have no objection in principle with an extension, in addition to the aforementioned concern, my main concern is the structural integrity of the adjoining building at number 27. This terrace is 220 years old and one wonders what effect the extra weight of an extension of considerable size, and constructed of heavy building materials will have on the adjoining property. When considering this application the council should impose the same protective provisions (for the protection of a listed building) as they would for an application to construct a basement.
2018/4525/P	Mandy Seal	19/11/2018 19:11:51	INT	Whilst the design is sympathetic with the mansard roof at no 23 Conway Street there is little mention in the application of the original roof at no 27, and any efforts which perhaps should be made to construct a design sympathetic with the original design of this terrace of listed buildings. Whilst I have no objection in principle with an extension, in addition to the aforementioned concern, my main concern is the structural integrity of the adjoining building at number 27. This terrace is 220 years old and one wonders what effect the extra weight of an extension of considerable size, and constructed of heavy building materials will have on the adjoining property. When considering this application the council should impose the same protective provisions (for the protection of a listed building) as they would for an application to construct a basement.
2018/4525/P	Mandy Seal	19/11/2018 19:11:50	INT	Whilst the design is sympathetic with the mansard roof at no 23 Conway Street there is little mention in the application of the original roof at no 27, and any efforts which perhaps should be made to construct a design sympathetic with the original design of this terrace of listed buildings. Whilst I have no objection in principle with an extension, in addition to the aforementioned concern, my main concern is the structural integrity of the adjoining building at number 27. This terrace is 220 years old and one wonders what effect the extra weight of an extension of considerable size, and constructed of heavy building materials will have on the adjoining property. When considering this application the council should impose the same protective provisions (for the protection of a listed building) as they would for an application to construct a basement.