

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/4168/P	Vania Melo	06/11/2018 16:42:31	OBJ	<p>Dear sir/madam,</p> <p>The idea of having using the 1st floor roof has Already been rejected on the grounds that it will infringe in the garden users privacy, the privacy of first and lower ground floor in the adjacent buildings as well as increase the level of noise. As a resident of the lower ground floor, the erection of the extension has already affected the level of light in our seating room (the room facing the garden) and the addition of planters etc, will only make this even more so. I wish all the complaints regarding this planning request could be saved for future application so that we do not have to keep on writing to ask for it to be denied. Thank you</p>
2018/4168/P	debbie scott	06/11/2018 19:25:15	OBJ	<p>For the same reasons this was objected to previously by the first developer. It is out of keeping with the other properties on Goldhurst Terrace. Indeed no other similar properties have been allowed to use the roof in this way as it causes light and privacy issues for the tenants in neighbouring properties. Planning applications for similar designs have all been turned down. On that basis I object to this planning application</p>