

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/4639/P	PJ White	12/11/2018 10:09:27	COMMNT	I wish to object in the strongest possible way to the cynically opportunistic changes which the applicant has made to this facade. There is a pattern, which is very well preserved, to house basement front facades in this terrace. This application seeks to change the front window and the entrance door and side window and has as far as I can see no permission to do so. The casement window is in material, metal, and of a style which are inappropriate to the CA, to the basement front window pattern and to this type of terraced house in the area. It is too large, too high, not in wood and should be removed with an original style double-hung sash timber window reinstated. The entrance door has completely gone and is replaced with a two large patio-style glass panels, one a door presumably. The small side window has been removed. The glazed bricks are also without permission. All of this is without consent and is completely out of order. There is no precedent in this well preserved terrace or the CA for such a modern alien design of window and door. This should be removed as entirely inappropriate and the original door and side window should be reinstated.
2018/4639/P	PJ White	12/11/2018 10:09:14	COMMNT	I wish to object in the strongest possible way to the cynically opportunistic changes which the applicant has made to this facade. There is a pattern, which is very well preserved, to house basement front facades in this terrace. This application seeks to change the front window and the entrance door and side window and has as far as I can see no permission to do so. The casement window is in material, metal, and of a style which are inappropriate to the CA, to the basement front window pattern and to this type of terraced house in the area. It is too large, too high, not in wood and should be removed with an original style double-hung sash timber window reinstated. The entrance door has completely gone and is replaced with a two large patio-style glass panels, one a door presumably. The small side window has been removed. The glazed bricks are also without permission. All of this is without consent and is completely out of order. There is no precedent in this well preserved terrace or the CA for such a modern alien design of window and door. This should be removed as entirely inappropriate and the original door and side window should be reinstated.
2018/4639/P	Laura Rivkin	12/11/2018 00:31:29	OBJ	<p>I object strongly to this application.</p> <p>The new basement window is entirely out of keeping with the otherwise remarkably well-preserved basement windows along this terrace. It is metal-framed – it looks like a crittall window. All the other basement windows along this terrace are wood-framed sashes. The window is much taller than all the other windows, reaching almost to ground level.</p> <p>Also, the installation of an entire glass wall in place of the masonry-rendered wall that makes up the entrance wall of the basement is entirely out of keeping with the rest of the terrace. The glazed bricks are not in style with any other property anywhere in the neighbourhood.</p> <p>I cannot see any drawings in any of the earlier applications either for the front window or the masonry side wall. This work was carried out entirely without permission.</p> <p>This terrace is particularly well-preserved. These new elements are absolutely an infraction of planning rules in this conservation area and should not be allowed to get through.</p> <p>Please note, there is precedent in Princess Road for windows to be put back as were previously. See paperwork for 35 Princess Road (circa 1996). The owners ripped out the original Victorian window and replaced it with a large single plate glass window. They were obliged to remove the plate-glass window and replace it with an identical sash window like the one they ripped out.</p>