

**From:** Robert Owen [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 16 November 2018 14:25  
**To:** Lawlor, Josh [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** glynis Owen [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Planning application 2018/5118/P

Josh

I have attached our comments on this planning application and would be grateful if you could upload them as offered below.

I would also be grateful if you could confirm when that has been done.

Regards

Dr Robert Owen

[REDACTED]

We wish to raise the following concerns with the application submitted for the proposed single storey replacement to the existing haphazard two storey structure attached to the outside wall of No. 54 (Design Statement item 2.3).

1. The plans lack adequate detail as to how the replacement structure will be positioned in relation to the boundary wall of our semi-detached house (No. 52), and if any new foundations may be required on that boundary to support the structure.
2. The proposed site boundary line has been mistakenly drawn on the plans as running through the middle of the outside wall of No. 52. This crucial error of the proposed plan should be corrected.
3. [REDACTED] No 52, built in 1878, predates No. 54 by two years, and is detached from No. 54 as the OS map (2049 O.S. pdf) shows. That OS map shows the open side-passage alongside No. 54 into which space the existing haphazard two storey structure was inserted sporadically together with an assortment of soil pipes, multiple boiler flues and vents.
4. The adjacent boundary wall of No. 52 is a key structural element [REDACTED] rising from the lower ground floor, providing a side entrance to the house and garden, with two floors above. The proposed side extension to No. 54 should not be attached to [REDACTED] 52 nor affect the stability of [REDACTED] boundary wall.