



I am writing to you again because I am very concerned regarding the above amended Planning Application. I have already strongly opposed the original application. That objection still stands.

My husband and I are elderly and this last year has been a worry for us both regarding this application. We have heard nothing official from either our Freeholder or Camden Council since our objections and concerns regarding this big development for this small site went in. Please accept this email as a formal objection.

The yellow notices regarding the amendment were placed in the same places as the original ones for the new development. It did not occur to me that they were any different. It would have been helpful if we had been notified about the change. On closer inspection by one of our Lessees, it was seen that this was indeed a new and different application. I tried to find out what the difference was between this and the other application, but I saw a document which meant nothing to a lay person like myself. Also not good for those of us who have difficulty with using a computer. Please think in future about the elderly in the community who often live in flats.

We have had no feedback on the original objections regarding our concerns, especially on the following items.

1. There have been considerable water problems in and around this building. There have been days with no water, hot and/or cold and numerous underground problems of flow, many leaks and inadequate pressure. These problems have so far shown little sign of abating and we suspect that the problems will become worse if more units are added to this site.
2. Although not part of the application, Camden council should understand there are many real and worrying uncertainties in this area due to proposed developments around the Swiss Cottage are and encroaching on St. Edmund's Terrace. The will be considerable traffic flow

problems and parking problems, which have been pointed out many times but which have brought no information from the Council to alleviate

the fears and worries that extra development will bring should further units be squeezed in to this small site.

3. It had been hoped – and indeed suggested by both sides – that somebody from Camden Planning Department would come to visit the block and see for themselves the impact this new development would have. Many residents offered their flats. To my knowledge, nobody from the council has visited a flat here in Barrie House. Surely, that would have been a good way to access the real impact?

4. Barrie House is a 7 storey building built on an upward gradient. I am advised it is built on inadequate pads and is also built over large main water pipes. .

Has the impact on Barrie House of digging out basements for the new development been fully understood? I am also concerned whether a full survey has been carried out on nearby walls and basement of Barrie House to access impact.

5. The proposed new development would be very near our existing flats. There is bound to be noise from a generator, air conditioning units etc into our flats.

Not to mention their lights and noise. The new development will be 2.5 metres from our building – instead of having a nice view from our window as I have enjoyed for over 20 years, I will be looking over a five storey building of different colour and hue from this existing one.

6. Parking is a nightmare in this road. One side is for Camden residents and one for Westminster – but they are both shared. After this the nearest

place to park is either over Primrose Hill or in Ellsworthy road. Too far for some of the residents of Barrie House to get to – especially my husband and myself

Due to a lot of development in this road in the past few years, car parking places are few and far between..

7. There has been a serious lack of communication regarding this development which makes us very uncomfortable. . The Freeholder and the Application

details have not made plain whether the proposed development is to be a separate unit or part of the existing unit. His suggestions seem to be that it is

separate. However, parts of the building could join our existing building or facilities.. This gives rise to how maintenance costs for the upkeep of the grounds etc would be shared. including facilities such as recycling, bins etc. .

I strongly oppose the forced erection of such a large building so close to our existing homes. The noise, dirt and upheaval during the construction will be unbearable and the final result an eyesore right in front of us. Barrie House has large picture windows thus this development will be clearly permanently in our vision.

Please can you confirm receipt of this email.

[REDACTED]

