

From: Jeannie Billington [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 November 2018 09:56
To: Bell, Nick [REDACTED]
Cc: Little, Tom [REDACTED]
Subject: Application 2018/4793/T - Objection re: 27 West Hill Park

Dear Nick, (copy to Tom Little)

Richard Sillitoe and Jeannie Billington, both [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] wish to object to Application 2018/4793/T [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

We wish to object for the following reasons:

1) **CONFUSING and INCORRECT APPLICATION:** The application, submitted to Camden on Oct 5th 2018 by Dan Simon is confusing and incorrect. [REDACTED] do not have a Pear Tree (with a TPO 27) in either [REDACTED] front or rear gardens. We now know the tree in question (see diagram accompanying the above application) is a tree in [REDACTED] rear garden. This garden overlooks the Heath. The branch referred to, overhangs the communal path adjacent to [REDACTED] garden and supposedly causes a threat. However, on July 16th 2018, this branch was removed, together with two other branches by the Estate's resident gardener. This was done without our knowledge and consent, or that of Camden council.

I reported this to Tom Little who came to see for himself whilst visiting no. 32 Merton Lane (on August 7th, 2018). **When I questioned the chief gardener why these** branches had been removed he said it was because one of the branches was dangerous

2) **THE REMOVAL OF THE FINAL BRANCH AFFECTS [REDACTED] PRIVACY AND PROTECTION:** The removing of the three branches referred to above, took away [REDACTED] privacy and made

access to ■■■ garden more accessible for prospective burglars. See Fig 1 and Fig 2 below.

PRIVACY: Our lounge window can now be viewed from Millfield lane.

PROTECTION: Prospective burglars could more easily get into the garden by climbing over and/or removing the flimsy fence. The branches of the tree made it more difficult which is why we never applied to remove them ourselves.

Removing the final branch, for no real reason, will affect our privacy and protection even more.

We believe the branch referred to in **Application 2018/4793/T** causes no threat over the path.

Yours sincerely,

Jeannie Billington

Fig 1. Before branches were removed. Fig 2. After branches and ivy were removed. Fig 2 shows the one branch left overhanging the communal path.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.



