

# Delegated Report

**Officer**

Tom Little

**Application Number(s)**

2018/4276/T

**Application Address**79 Gloucester Avenue  
London  
NW1 8LB**Proposal(s)**

FRONT GARDEN: 1 x Cotoneaster (T1) - Fell to ground level

**Recommendation(s):**

No Objection to Works to Tree(s) in CA

**Application Type:**

Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area

**Consultations****Adjoining Occupiers:**

No. notified

**9**

No. of responses

**00**

No. of objections

**1****Summary of consultation responses:**

- The tree provides significant greenspace and amenity.
- The road has few trees.
- Provides privacy and screening.
- Appears to be in good health.
- Adds to the healthy surroundings.
- We need more trees in Camden not fewer.
- The tree does not harm the conservation area.

**CAAC/Local groups\* comments:**

\*Please Specify

## Assessment

The cotoneaster is highly visible from the public realm and does provide visual amenity within the public realm. On closer inspection it became apparent that this is a lapse hedge with a number of smaller stems across the front of the property. The cotoneaster is not considered to be worthy of being brought under the protection of a TPO for the following reasons:

- Generally this would be classified as a shrub rather than a tree.
- It is not a particularly large or noteworthy example of its species with poor form
- It is sandwiched between a birch tree in the street which is larger and of higher quality and the property. This will severely curtail the cotoneasters ability to meet its full potential.

The Council does not wish to object