
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 October 2018 23:31
To: Planning; Chana, Jaspreet
Subject: Re: Comments on 2018/3274/P have been received by the council.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Jaspreet, Planning,

We filed the objection online on 14-10-2018. We'd like to add one more reason (#4) - which is the most important. Can you acknowledge please?
Please remove my email and private information when you post our comments online.
There are at least two more parties going to file the objection over the weekend.

We object to this planning application, based on the following four reasons.

1. Access to dustbin enclosure

The lease of 122B Finchley Road contains an explicit right to use the dustbin enclosure, with access to both rubbish and recycling facilities on the premise; this plan continues to block off access to this enclosure from their flats above in the same building, breaking the lease thereof mentioned. The freeholder at 122A is obliged to restore access to the dustbin enclosure for use by 122B. This plan further pushes the dustbin areas inside. This plan continues to evict our wellbeing to contribute to our Camden borough as responsible citizens, making food and recycling an impossibility, and an unacceptable and unsanitary sight for our town to have piles of rubbish bags thrown nearer the subway corner closely in front of their own shop. It is therefore their duty to legally abide to the law and act accordingly as accountable citizens.

2. Party Wall notice

The tenants at 122B Finchley Road have repeatedly requested the freeholder to serve Party Wall notice, and the request was repeatedly ignored. The plan would also make the matter worse. The freeholder fails to serve Party Wall notice to the residents at 122B Finchley Road.

3. Consistency of purpose

The side door would add significant foot traffic to this narrow alley, and more people would be endangered by the traffic in this alley.

3. Invalid Residential land use

The application suggests the property already has both A1 and C3 usage rights. This is not correct. It has no rights to residential dwelling (these are for the properties in 122b) as it is currently in a commercial property and has been used to provide A3 services (i.e. a beauty salon).
The applicant is trying to mislead Camden into approving C3 usage rights.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



On 10/14/2018 11:36 PM, planning@camden.gov.uk wrote:

We object to this planning application, based on the following reasons.

1. Access to dustbin enclosure

The lease of 122B Finchley Road contains an explicit right to use the dustbin enclosure, with access to both rubbish and recycling facilities on the premise; this plan continues to block off access to this enclosure from their flats above in the same building, breaking the lease thereof mentioned. The freeholder at 122A is obliged to restore access to the dustbin enclosure for use by 122B. This plan further pushes the dustbin areas inside. This plan continues to evict our wellbeing to contribute to our Camden borough as responsible citizens, making food and recycling an impossibility, and an unacceptable and unsanitary sight for our town to have piles of rubbish bags thrown nearer the subway corner closely in front of their own shop. It is therefore their duty to legally abide to the law and act accordingly as accountable citizens.

2. Party Wall notice

The tenants at 122B Finchley Road have repeatedly requested the freeholder to serve Party Wall notice, and the request was repeatedly ignored. The plan would also make the matter worse. The freeholder fails to serve Party Wall notice to the residents at 122B Finchley Road.

3. Consistency of purpose

The side door would add significant foot traffic to this narrow alley, and more people would be endangered by the traffic in this alley.



